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Executive summary

Scope of the report
This report is Aegon Levensverzekering’s Solvency and Financial Condition Report (SFCR) for the year 2021. This report informs Aegon Levensverzekering’s stakeholders about its:

• Business and performance;
• System of governance;
• Risk profile;
• Valuation for solvency purposes; and
• Capital management.

The SFCR report contains both quantitative and qualitative information. The main focus of this report is on the Solvency Balance Sheet, its relation to IFRS and on the Solvency Capital Requirement (“SCR”). Material differences between Aegon Levensverzekering’s financial statements based on IFRS-EU and the Delegated Regulation Solvency II, are discussed in chapter D. Valuation for Solvency Purposes.

Basis of presentation
This report is prepared in accordance with the requirements of Solvency II Directive and Delegated Regulation (in particular articles 51, 53 – 55 of the Solvency II Directive, articles 290-298 of the Delegated Regulation, and relevant EIOPA Guidelines, in particular ‘Guidelines on reporting and public disclosure’ (EIOPA-BoS-15/109) as issued by the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA)).

The figures reflecting monetary amounts in the SFCR are presented in Euro (EUR) unless otherwise stated. Aegon Levensverzekering discloses monetary amounts in millions of units for disclosing purposes. All values are rounded to the nearest million unless otherwise stated. The rounded amounts may therefore not add up to the rounded total in all cases. All ratios and variances are calculated using the underlying amount rather than the rounded amount.

In case IFRS figures are disclosed, the figures are prepared in accordance with the International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union (IFRS-EU).

The 2021 SFCR of Aegon Levensverzekering has been prepared and disclosed under the responsibility of the Executive Board. This document was approved on March 21, 2022 by Aegon Levensverzekering’s Executive Board.

Summary
The 2021 Solvency Financial Condition Report provides Aegon Levensverzekering’s stakeholders with insight into:

A. Business and performance
Aegon Nederland N.V.
Aegon Nederland N.V. (hereafter: Aegon Nederland) is the holding company of Aegon Levensverzekering N.V. (hereafter: Aegon Levensverzekering) and several other companies, such as Aegon Schadeverzekering N.V., Aegon Spaarkas N.V. and Aegon Bank N.V., which together form Aegon Nederland. Aegon Levensverzekering is the main life insurance carrier for the Aegon Group of companies in The Netherlands.

Aegon Nederland is transitioning from a traditional insurer to a customer-centric financial services provider. Aegon Nederland’s ambition is to become the most customer-centric and innovative financial services provider within the Dutch market. As the lives of our customers become longer and more varied, at Aegon we strive to be a financial services company that gives people the confidence and flexibility to find their own way and contribute to a better world.

This ambition underpins our new company purpose, Helping people live their best lives. Our purpose shapes how we engage with and create value for our customers and wider stakeholder base. In turn, this provides the foundation for our vision and strategy, as well as for our business planning and decision-making.

On December 10, 2020 at the Capital Markets Day, Aegon N.V. announced its new global strategy and financial targets. Within the refocused strategy, the Netherlands was designated as a core market.

In order to achieve our strategic and financial goals, the various business units of Aegon Nederland are managed and organized in two categories:

• Financial Assets: where we focus on maximizing value and releasing capital over time to invest in Strategic Assets, and
• Strategic Assets: the businesses in which we will invest to grow our customer base, improve customer retention, and margins.

Financial assets
The Life activities of Aegon Nederland (incl. Aegon Levensverzekering and Aegon Spaarkas) are considered a ”Financial Asset”. Aegon has established a dedicated team to manage these businesses, which is responsible for maximizing its value through active in-force management, disciplined risk management and capital management actions. These actions are focused on protecting the capital position, increasing capital generation, and reducing expenses by outsourcing of the servicing of the life-books. As of June 1, 2020, the Individual Life book is serviced by IBM. For Insured Pension, the strategy is to outsource...
the pension administration to TKP. Aegon selectively competes in the defined benefit market with a focus on products with higher returns and a moderate risk profile. This also includes supporting employers in their transition towards defined contribution solutions under the new pension agreement.

**Strategic Assets**

In 2 years’ time Aegon Nederland wants to be the most valued provider of modern solutions in the field of “Income” and “Living”. The strategical focus is on 3 pillars for growth (our “Strategic Assets”):

1. Mortgages (Aegon Hypotheken);
2. Bank (Knab / Aegon Bank); and
3. Workplace solutions.

Aegon Nederland offers annuity, linear and interest only (max. 50% of the market value when the mortgage is granted) residential mortgages. Mortgage loans are originated both as investments for Aegon Nederland’s insurance and bank entities as well as for distribution to third-party investors. Such investors are provided access to this high-quality asset class through the Aegon Dutch Mortgage Fund, Robuust (a third-party label where Aegon has the exclusive right to purchase and distribute the mortgages receivables), SAECURE (Aegon’s Dutch residential mortgage-backed securities program), Aegon Bank’s covered bond program, and various bespoke structures tailored to investors’ needs. The mission of Aegon is to be the number 1 non-bank mortgage originator in the Netherlands with satisfied and loyal customers, advisors and investment partners, driven by engaged and enabled employees. In line with the aforementioned mission, Aegon Nederland aims to grow its fee business portfolio through Assets under Administration (AuA) increase, enabling asset strategies for internal balance sheets.

In 2021, Aegon completed the migration and integration of the Aegon Bank brand to the Knab brand. Knab is a fully online digital bank that went live in 2012 and forms the gateway to individual retirement solutions. By integrating both operations and rationalizing product offerings, costs are lower, operations more efficient, and governance is unified. Knab continues to offer banking products like savings accounts and investments, as part of Aegon’s pension offerings in the Netherlands.

The strategic pillar “Workplace solutions” consists of our business in the wholesale market: Aegon Cappital, TKP Pensioen, Aegon Schadeverzekering, Robidus and Nedasco.

Aegon Cappital continues to grow in the DC pension market with EUR 6.1 billion assets under management and more than 300 thousand unique pension participants at 31 December 2021. We aim to improve our existing market position and benefit from economies of scale through outsourcing to Aegon Asset Management and TKP Pensioen. TKP Pensioen benefits from its strong market position in the pension market and is a top-three player in the Dutch market for pension administration, with more than 3 million participants.

Aegon Schadeverzekering consists of the segments Retail P&C (Property & Casualty) and Income (Accident & Health).

Robidus advises corporations on the risks and associated costs of absenteeism and disability under Dutch social security legislation and acts as an insurance broker for income related insurances. Nedasco is an intermediary service provider that is mainly active in non-life business domains.

**Aegon Levensverzekering**

**Performance**

The income before tax for 2021 was EUR 436 million (2020: EUR 334 million), EUR 102 million higher than in 2020, which is mainly explained by an improved investment margin, primarily impacted by lower interest credited as a result of the run-off of the portfolios, and improved result on fair value items. For fair value items, in 2021 the positive results on real estate revaluations, alternative assets and the LAT (Liability Adequacy Test) deficit were partly offset by a negative result on the guaranteed portfolio and the interest rate hedges. Furthermore, there was a significant negative impact of model and assumption updates.

Premium income for 2021 decreased to EUR 1,328 million (EUR 1,633 million in 2020) which is driven by the shrinking individual life portfolio and a shift in traditional pension portfolio from defined benefit towards defined contribution solutions.

Commissions and expenses for 2021 in the amount of EUR 275 million are EUR 40 million lower (EUR 315 million in 2020). The claims and benefits paid to policyholders in 2021 (EUR 2,930 million) are slightly higher than 2020 (EUR 2,928 million).

The change in valuation of liabilities for insurance and investment contracts is the result of fair value changes of the guarantee provision as well as the profit recorded due to a decrease of the LAT deficit. The change includes a gain in 2021 of EUR 1,856 million (2020: loss of EUR 1,671 million) relating to fair value movements of guarantees and is due to the decrease of the guarantee provision as a result of higher interest rates in 2021. The LAT deficit decreased by EUR 1,771 million (2020: increase of EUR 1,853 million), which is primarily the result of higher interest rates and lower spreads, partly offset by a negative impact from model and assumption updates.

Full details on the Aegon’s business and performance are described in chapter A. Business and performance.
B. System of governance

The system of governance has been put in place centrally at Aegon Nederland N.V., which is the holding company of Aegon Levensverzekering N.V. and several other companies and is used throughout Aegon Nederland. Aegon Levensverzekering complies with the policies of both Aegon Group and Aegon Nederland. The Aegon Nederland policies are tailored to fit local circumstances and therefore imply additional restrictions to the Group policies.

Over the last few years, Aegon Nederland’s strategy has been enhanced and refined. Changes to the strategy have been made to reflect developments inside as well as outside of the company. Changes to our organization and governance structure were required; further transforming the organization from being product-driven towards being customer-driven.

Aegon Nederland is divided into four Business Units: Life, Bank, Mortgages and Workplace Solutions. For each Business Unit, a management team with full managerial accountability for the business has been established. Within each Business Unit, the managerial team of each legal entity remain responsible for its own statutory accounting and reporting (including Solvency II reporting). By creating separate management accounting segments and bringing value delivery and cost under control of the relevant Business Unit accountability will further increase.

The Business Units are managed and organized in two categories, being:
1. Financial Assets: where we focus on maximizing value and releasing capital over time to invest in Strategic Assets; and
2. Strategic Assets: the businesses in which we will invest and grow our customer base, improve customer retention and increase margins.

The Life Business Unit (comprising of Aegon Levensverzekering and Aegon Spaarkas) is considered a Financial Asset. By doing so, the organizational structure is now more aligned to our business strategy and consequently, a more efficient and effective execution of our strategy is expected.

General governance

Aegon Levensverzekering’s Statutory Management Board is charged with the overall management of the company and is responsible for achieving Aegon Levensverzekering’s goals, developing the strategy and its associated risk profile, in addition to overseeing any relevant sustainability issues and the development of the company’s earnings. Aegon Levensverzekering’s Statutory Management Board is assisted in its duties by the Chief Legal Officer and Chief People Officer, collectively referred to as Management Board NL (MBNL).

Aegon Levensverzekering’s Supervisory Board oversees the management of the Statutory Management Board, in addition to the company’s business and strategy. The Supervisory Board takes into account the interests of all Aegon stakeholders. The Supervisory Board also oversees the activities of its committees. These committees are composed exclusively of SB members and deal with specific issues related to Aegon’s financial accounts, risk management, and executive remuneration. These committees are the:
- Risk & Audit Committee; and
- Compensation Committee.

In addition to the corporate bodies, described above, Aegon Levensverzekering has in place a number of key functions, as required under Solvency II. These key functions are described below, in the section ‘control environment’.

Risk management

Aegon Levensverzekering’s risk management framework is designed and applied to identify and manage potential events and risks that may affect Aegon Levensverzekering. It is established through the Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework, which aims at identifying and managing individual and aggregate risks within Aegon Levensverzekering’s risk tolerance limits in order to provide reasonable assurance on the achievement of Aegon Levensverzekering’s objectives. Aegon Levensverzekering’s ERM framework is based on a well-defined risk governance structure:
- Supervisory Board;
- Executive Board;
- Management Team Aegon Netherlands;
- Risk & Capital Committee.

Control environment

In addition to the risk management framework, Aegon Leven’s Solvency II control environment consists of an internal control system, an actuarial function and an internal audit function. The internal control system serves to facilitate compliance with applicable laws, regulation and administrative processes. It also provides Aegon Levensverzekering with an adequate control environment including appropriate control activities for key processes. The actuarial function has end-to-end accountability for opining on the adequacy and reliability of reported technical provisions, including policy setting and monitoring of compliance regarding actuarial risk tolerance. Aegon Leven’s internal audit function is independent and objective in performing its duties in evaluating the effectiveness of Aegon Leven’s internal control system.

Full details on the Aegon Levensverzekering’s system of governance are described in chapter B. System of governance.
C. Risk profile

Aegon Levensverzekering accepts and manages risk for the benefit of its customers and other stakeholders. Aegon Levensverzekering’s risk management and control systems are designed to ensure that these risks are managed effectively and efficiently, aligned with the Aegon Levensverzekering’s strategy. The targeted risk profile is determined by customers’ needs, Aegon Levensverzekering’s competence to manage the risk, Aegon Levensverzekering’s preference for risk as well as by the availability of sufficient capacity to take the risk. Aegon Levensverzekering is exposed to a range of underwriting, market, credit, liquidity and operational risks.

Aegon Levensverzekering’s Partial Internal Model SCR amounted to EUR 2,978 million on December 31, 2021 (2020: EUR 3,459 million). The decrease in SCR is driven by model and assumption changes and management actions with an offset from market movements and cross effects. The impact from model and assumption changes reflects several Pension model changes, a change in the capital treatment of callable bonds, a Dynamic Volatility Adjustment (VA) model change and the increase in the LAC-DT factor. The impact from management actions reflects the benefit from reinsuring part of the longevity exposure of Aegon Leven. The combined SCR lowering impact from these initiatives is offset by market movements, where the benefit from increased interest rates is offset by the negative impact from narrowed credit spreads (namely mortgage and corporate spreads). Furthermore, changes in diversification benefits during the year also had an increasing impact on the SCR.

Full details on the Aegon’s risk profile are described in chapter C. Risk profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
<th>Components description</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>C.2 Market risk</strong></td>
<td>Market risk (SF)</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Market risk (IM) including DA</td>
<td>2,036</td>
<td>2,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C.3 Credit risk</strong></td>
<td>Counterparty default risk (SF)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Counterparty default risk (IM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Life underwriting risk (SF)</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Life underwriting risk (IM)</td>
<td>1,439</td>
<td>2,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C.2 Underwriting risk</strong></td>
<td>Health underwriting risk (SF)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health underwriting risk (IM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-life underwriting risk (SF)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-life underwriting risk (IM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational risk (SF) including De Hoop</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational risk (IM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E.2.1 Solvency Capital Requirement</strong></td>
<td>Diversification</td>
<td>-/- 2,029</td>
<td>-/- 2,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAC Deferred Taxes</td>
<td>-/- 597</td>
<td>-/- 457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total SCR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,978</td>
<td>3,459</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. Valuation for Solvency purposes

Aegon Levensverzekering values its Solvency II balance sheet items on a basis that reflects their economic value. Where the IFRS fair value is consistent with Solvency II requirements, Aegon Levensverzekering follows IFRS for valuing assets and liabilities other than technical provisions.

The reconciliation of Excess Assets over Liabilities (Solvency II basis) and Shareholder’s Equity (IFRS-EU basis) can be summarized as follows:

- Revaluation differences mainly on assets and liabilities using a method other than fair value in the IFRS balance sheet;
- De-recognition of items on the Solvency II economic balance sheet that are admissible on the IFRS statement of financial position but not under Solvency II, for instance Deferred policy acquisition costs, Goodwill and Intangible assets.

Full details on the reconciliation between Aegon Levensverzekering’s economic balance sheet based on Solvency II and consolidated financial statements based on IFRS-EU are described in chapter D. Valuation for solvency purposes.

E. Capital management

Eligible Own Funds of Aegon Levensverzekering equaled 186% of the SCR at year-end 2021. This ratio being higher than 100%, demonstrates Aegon’s ability to meet policyholder obligations when they fall due, even under stressed conditions. The lower bound for the target range for the Solvency II ratio (Eligible Own Funds divided by SCR) of Aegon Levensverzekering is set by the company’s Executive Board at 135% (per January 1, 2021). The Solvency II ratio at year-end 2021 (186%) is higher than at year-end 2020 (159%).

The current capitalization of Aegon Levensverzekering is within the target range.

Solvency II key figures for Aegon Levensverzekering are presented as of December 31, 2021, in the following tables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
<th>December 31, 2021</th>
<th>December 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Own Funds</strong></td>
<td>5,545</td>
<td>5,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PIM SCR</strong></td>
<td>2,978</td>
<td>3,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solvency II ratio</strong></td>
<td>186%</td>
<td>159%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solvency II ratio without Volatility Adjustment</strong></td>
<td>126%</td>
<td>102%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR)</strong></td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>1,403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unrestricted Tier 1 – before adjustments</strong></td>
<td>5,458</td>
<td>5,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-available</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-/-850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier 2</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tier 3</strong></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total eligible Own Funds to meet the SCR</strong></td>
<td><em>5,545</em></td>
<td><em>5,507</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared to year-end 2020, eligible Own Funds increased. The increase is driven by operating capital generation (OCG), market movements (where the benefit from narrowed credit spreads is offset by increased interest and inflation rates and a lower EIOPA VA) and management actions (including a new longevity reinsurance transaction and a co-insurance settlement). The combined Own Funds increase from OCG, market movements and management actions is offset by the impact from framework, model and assumption changes (including the lowering of the UFR and more granular modelling and data regarding spouses) and dividend payments. The total eligible Own Funds do not contain non-available and Tier 2 capital anymore with the internal loans being settled in 2021.

Aegon Levensverzekering uses a Partial Internal Model (PIM) to calculate the solvency position. Aegon’s internal model was approved by the College of Supervisors as part of the Internal Model Application Process. Aegon is of the opinion a (partial) internal model is a better representation of the actual risk, since this contains Company specific modelling and sensitivities as opposed to industry-wide approximations included in the standard formula methodology. When using the standard formula of the Solvency II legislative framework, Aegon Levensverzekering has not applied simplified calculations or undertaking specific parameters for any of the risk modules and sub-risk modules.
With respect to the eligible Own Funds of Aegon Levensverzekering, the liability calculation includes the use of the Volatility Adjustment (VA) but does not include the use of any transitional measures. Aegon Levensverzekering uses a Partial Internal Model to calculate the SCR for its life insurance activities under Solvency II. The Partial Internal Model was approved by DNB on November 26, 2015, concluding the Internal Model Application Process. Following the Internal Model Application Process, Aegon Levensverzekering made several major changes to its PIM, the latest in 2021, all of which have been approved by the DNB.

In 2020 Aegon Levensverzekering identified improvements to its internal model that mitigate volatility caused by the basis risk between the EIOPA VA reference portfolio and its own asset portfolio (“Deterministic adjustment”). These improvements were implemented for year-end reporting 2020 and they will be in place until changes arising from the Solvency II review are enacted.

Developments in 2021 regarding LAC-DT
The following adjustments in regulation in 2021 have resulted in changes to the LAC-DT model:

- **Corporate Income Tax (CIT) rates**
  The Dutch CIT was announced to be changed to 25.8% (from 25%) starting from 2022. In determining the LAC-DT for year-end 2021 reporting, the tax rate increase in 2022 is taken into account.

- **Publication of DNB Q&A on the role of deferred taxes in SII**
  On December 8, 2020 the DNB published a Q&A for the treatment of deferred taxes in the Solvency II framework. With this Q&A additional guidance is provided around:
  - Inclusion of with time increasing uncertainty within profit sources, both pre- and post-shock.
  - External recapitalization is no longer allowed unless the recapitalization is unconditional, but there is also no need for recapitalization to 100% Solvency ratio after shock.
  - For substantiation of the DTA position the IAS 12 substantiation is not sufficient anymore. It should be made clear from the Solvency II projections that sufficient future profit sources are available to substantiate the DTA position.
  - Several reporting requirements.

Aegon reflected this guidance in year-end 2020 reporting by considering additional scenarios derived from the DNB guidance in setting the LAC-DT factor. In 2021, the LAC-DT methodology was revised and a final implementation reflecting the DNB Q&A was structurally embedded into the model. This has resulted in an increase in the LAC-DT factor from 45% to 65% per year-end 2021.

The Solvency II balance sheet of Aegon Levensverzekering does not include any contingent liability potentially arising from unit-linked products sold, issued or advised on by Aegon Levensverzekering in the past, as the potential liability cannot be reliably quantified at this point.

Aegon Levensverzekering was compliant with the Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR) over the reporting period 2021. Furthermore, there was no non-compliance with the SCR.

Full details on the Aegon’s available and eligible Own Funds are described in section E.1 Own Funds. Details on Aegon’s PIM SCR is described in section E.2.1 Solvency capital requirement.
A. Business and performance

A.1. Business

A.1.1. Name, details and legal form of the undertaking

Aegon Levensverzekering’s share capital is 100% held by Aegon Nederland. Aegon Nederland’s share capital is 100% held by Aegon Europe Holding B.V. Aegon Europe Holding B.V.’s share capital is 100% held by Aegon N.V., the ultimate parent company of the Aegon Group. Aegon Nederland and Aegon N.V. are public limited liability companies and Aegon Europe Holding B.V. is a private limited liability company. Aegon N.V., Aegon Nederland and Aegon Europe Holding B.V. have their statutory seats in The Hague, the Netherlands. All of these companies are mixed financial holding companies, as defined in article 212 (1) (h) of the Solvency II Directive. Solvency II group supervision, as well as supplementary supervision in accordance with EU Directive 2002/87/EC is exercised at the level of Aegon N.V..

Aegon N.V.’s largest shareholder is Vereniging Aegon, a Dutch association located in The Hague, the Netherlands, with the special purpose to protect the broader interests of Aegon N.V. and its stakeholders. On December 31, 2021, Vereniging Aegon held a total of 301,774,161 common shares and 538,250,640 common shares B. All issued and outstanding shares B are held by Vereniging Aegon. Under the terms of the 1983 Merger Agreement as amended in May 2013, Vereniging Aegon has the option to acquire additional common shares B. Vereniging Aegon may exercise its call option to keep or restore its total stake to 32.6% of the voting rights, irrespective of the circumstances that caused the total shareholding to be or become lower than 32.6%.

The Voting Rights Agreement entered into between Vereniging Aegon and Aegon provides that under normal circumstances, i.e. except in the event of a Special Cause, Vereniging Aegon is not allowed to exercise more votes than is proportionate to the financial rights represented by its shares. This means that in the absence of a Special Cause, Vereniging Aegon may cast one vote for every common share it holds and one vote only for every 40 common shares B it holds. In the event of a Special Cause, Vereniging Aegon may cast one vote for every common share and one vote for every common share B.

A Special Cause may include:
• The acquisition by a third party of an interest in Aegon N.V. amounting to 15% or more;
• A tender offer for Aegon N.V. shares; or
• A proposed business combination by any person or group of persons, whether acting individually or as a group, other than in a transaction approved by the Company’s Executive and Supervisory Boards.

If Vereniging Aegon, acting at its sole discretion, determines that a Special Cause has arisen, it must notify the General Meeting of Shareholders. In this event, Vereniging Aegon retains full voting rights on its common shares B for a period limited to six months. Vereniging Aegon would, for that limited period, command 32.6% of the votes at a General Meeting of Shareholders.

Aegon Levensverzekering is the parent company of several subsidiaries, and other related undertakings, which have been described below in accordance with their description in the annual accounts of Aegon Levensverzekering as investments in associates and joint ventures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of incorporation</th>
<th>Primary business operation</th>
<th>% equity interest 2021</th>
<th>% equity interest 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AEGON DL B.V.</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>Investment Company</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMVEST Home Free B.V.</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>Investment Company</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vastgoedmaatschappij Inpa B.V.</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>Investment Company</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US PENG, INC., Wilmington</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Investment Company</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Investments in associates and joint ventures
Aegon Levensverzekering has the following investments in associates and joint ventures.

Associates
The principle associates of Aegon Levensverzekering are:

- Amvest Residential Core Fund, (29.5%) real estate;
- N.V. Levensverzekering-Maatschappij ‘De Hoop’, The Hague (33.3%);
- OB Capital Cooperatief U.A., Schiphol (95.0%).

The main associate is the Amvest Residential Core Fund which invests in real estate. Up to 2019 this investment was accounted for as ‘Investment in joint ventures’.

OB Capital Cooperatief U.A. is a company involved in investment in litigation finance and is incorporated in the Netherlands with principal activities based in the Netherlands, Switzerland, United Kingdom and Guernsey. Aegon Levensverzekering has a 95% interest in OB Capital Cooperatief U.A.. Even though Aegon Levensverzekering held more than half of the voting rights, Aegon Levensverzekering does not have control over the company because the existing contractual agreements with other shareholders do not provide Aegon Levensverzekering with rights to direct the daily activities of the company.

The joint ventures of Aegon Levensverzekering are:

- Amvest Vastgoed B.V., Utrecht (50%), property management and real estate
- Amvest Development Fund B.V. Utrecht (50%), real estate
- Amvest Living & Care Fund, (50%), real estate

Amvest Vastgoed B.V. is the fund manager of the funds. The other major participant in the joint venture, Stichting Pensioenfonds Zorg en Welzijn in Zeist, has equal interests and voting power in the entities mentioned above.

Investments in structured entities
Aegon Levensverzekering currently holds no investments in structured entities.

A.1.3. Name and contact details of the external auditor of the undertaking
The external auditor of Aegon Levensverzekering is:

PricewaterhouseCoopers Accountants N.V.
Thomas R. Malthusstraat 5
1066 JR Amsterdam
Postbus 90357
1006 BJ Amsterdam The Netherlands
Telephone: +31(0)88 792 00 20

The external auditor’s mandate does not cover an audit on the information disclosed in this SFCR.

A.1.4. The undertaking’s material lines of business and material geographical areas where it carries out business
Aegon Levensverzekering is incorporated and domiciled in the Netherlands and operates from The Hague and Leeuwarden. Aegon Levensverzekering and its subsidiaries are active in individual life and pension insurance.

A.1.5. Any significant business or other events that have occurred over the reporting period that have had a material impact on the undertaking
Aegon Levensverzekering, is incorporated and domiciled in the Netherlands. Aegon Levensverzekering is active in life insurance and pensions.

Life - Pensions
The fundamental change of the Dutch pension system was postponed in 2021 by one year as a result of which the transition should now be finalized at the start of 2027. For Aegon Levensverzekering this means that the transition takes a bit more time, but the result remains the same: all group pension clients will move to a version of a defined contribution plan where all investment risk and longevity risk will largely be borne by the policyholders. Aegon Nederland offers these schemes in a separate legal entity, Aegon Cappital. As a result, Aegon Levensverzekering will focus on managing the currently existing portfolio of defined benefit (DB) and defined contribution (DC) books and will offer risk insurance as a rider to customers of Aegon Cappital’s DC schemes. In addition, Aegon Levensverzekering selectively competes in the defined benefit market with a focus on products with higher returns and a moderate risk profile. It currently offers immediate pension annuities to both internal and external clients and renewals in DB subscriptions – a standardized product that offers a 1-year guarantee – to facilitate clients in their transition to DC.
To optimize the pension administration and to reduce costs, the administration of pension products is transferred to Aegon Nederland’s subsidiary TKP Pensioen. In 2021 another 320,000 policies were migrated which results in 70% of the Insured Pension portfolio being now administered by TKP. Together with the administration of Aegon Cappital, the majority of pension schemes of Aegon Nederland are now administered by TKP Pensioen.

Aegon Levensverzekering is doing well in the market of immediate pension annuities that are bought by customers out of their DC-capital at retirement age. In a competitive market, Aegon Levensverzekering aims for quality over price and has continued its pricing discipline, thus achieving a consistent value of new business.

Life - Individual
2021 showed a continued decline in the market for endowment products and a further decrease in our in-force portfolio, as anticipated. No new business was generated in this market segment, due to the strategic decision to stop offering new business in the term-life and (deferred) annuity proposition in the second quarter of 2020.

Aegon Levensverzekering manages the existing life portfolio as efficiently as possible and is optimizing its portfolio from both the customers’ and Aegon Nederland’s perspective. The decreasing portfolio requires stringent control of costs which should reflect the (downward) movements in the portfolio. To keep a grip on the costs of the declining portfolio, Aegon Nederland completed business process outsourcing (BPO) for the individual Life service book as of June 1, 2020. As of this date, BPO partner IBM is responsible for administrating and servicing all policies. In addition, all policies will be transferred to a new platform. For Aegon Nederland this outsourcing makes costs more variable and more predictable.

Working closely with Aegon Nederland’s banking business and IBM, Aegon Nederland continues to offer customers integrated solutions and services fit to the customer demands.

A.2. Underwriting performance

In this section we highlight the key attributors to the underwriting performance. The figures below are based on the annual IFRS accounts of Aegon Levensverzekering (Company financial statements). As of the financial statements 2020 Aegon Levensverzekering no longer prepares consolidated financial statements.

Table: Underwriting Performance Aegon Levensverzekering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Premium income</td>
<td>1,328</td>
<td>1,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Commissions and expenses</td>
<td>-/- 275</td>
<td>-/- 315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Claims and benefits paid to policyholders</td>
<td>-/- 2,930</td>
<td>-/- 2,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Change in valuation of liabilities for insurance and investment contracts</td>
<td>1,756</td>
<td>-/- 3,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Income before tax</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Premium income
Premium income for 2021 amounts to EUR 1,328 million, which is EUR 305 million lower compared to 2020. The decrease is mainly driven by shrinking Individual Life portfolio and shifts in traditional pension portfolio from defined benefit towards defined contribution solutions. Defined contribution plans are not offered by Aegon Levensverzekering, but are placed with Aegon Cappital, which is a subsidiary of Aegon Nederland.

2 Commissions and expenses
The commissions and expenses decreased with EUR 40 million to EUR 275 million (2020: EUR 315 million). This is mainly driven by expense savings programs.

3 Claims and benefits paid to policyholders
The claims and benefits paid to policyholders for increased by EUR 2 million in 2021 and are in line with 2020.

4 Change in valuation of liabilities for insurance and investment contracts
The change in valuation of liabilities for insurance and investment contracts is the result of fair value changes of the guarantee provision and insurance contracts for account of policyholders as a result of equity market developments as well as the loss recorded as a result of the LAT deficit. The change in valuation of liabilities for insurance and investment contracts include a gain of EUR 1,856 million (2020: loss of EUR 1,671 million) regarding fair value movements of guarantees. This change is due to the decrease of the guarantee provision as a result of higher interest rates in 2021.
5 Income before tax
The income before tax for 2021 was EUR 436 million (2020: EUR 334 million), EUR 102 million higher than in 2020, which is mainly explained by an improved investment margin, primarily impacted by lower interest credited as a result of the run-off of the portfolios, and improved result on fair value items. For fair value items, in 2021 the positive results on real estate revaluations, alternative assets and the LAT deficit were partly offset by a negative result on the guaranteed portfolio and the interest hedges. Furthermore, there was a significant negative impact of model and assumption updates.

A.3. Investment performance
In this section the key attributors to the investment performance are presented. The figures below are based on the company financial statements of Aegon Levensverzekering

A.3.1. Breakdown of investments
Aegon Levensverzekering holds investments both for the own general account and for the account of policyholders. The composition of the assets in the balance sheet is presented in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
<th>General Account</th>
<th>Account Policyholder</th>
<th>Total assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debt securities</td>
<td>21,544</td>
<td>9,508</td>
<td>31,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>19,573</td>
<td>2,062</td>
<td>21,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other investments</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4,598</td>
<td>4,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares</td>
<td>2,591</td>
<td>7,843</td>
<td>10,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>2,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>45,824</strong></td>
<td><strong>24,601</strong></td>
<td><strong>70,425</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The decrease in investments is in line with the decrease in insurance liabilities.

Other loans include deposits with insurance companies with respect to reinsurance agreements. These are not freely available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Investment income</td>
<td>1,483</td>
<td>1,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Results from financial transactions</td>
<td>-/- 1,037</td>
<td>3,675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Investment income
The investment income is further explained in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Debt securities (Interest)</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans (Interest)</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other investments (Interest)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shares (Dividend income)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate (Rental income)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,483</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,469</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Investment income related to general account
Investment income for account of policyholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment income related to general account</td>
<td>1,084</td>
<td>1,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment income for account of policyholders</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,483</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,469</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Results from financial transactions
The results from financial transaction are further explained in the table below:

**Table: Breakdown Results from financial transactions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net fair value change of general account financial investments FVTPL, other than derivatives</td>
<td>38 / -/- 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realized gains / (losses) on financial investments</td>
<td>119 / 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gains / (losses) on investments in real estate</td>
<td>180 / 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fair value change of derivatives</td>
<td>/- 2,574 / 2,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net fair value change on financial assets at fair value through profit or loss for account of policyholder</td>
<td>1,111 / 1,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net foreign currency gains / (losses)</td>
<td>89 / -/- 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>/- 1,037 / 3,675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information about Investment performance through equity

**Table: Investment performance through equity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gains / (losses) on revaluation of available-for-sale investments</td>
<td>285 / -/- 81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net gains / (losses) transferred to income statement</td>
<td>/- 117 / -/- 13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The gains / (losses) on revaluation of available-for-sale investments and impairment of available-for-sale investments are relevant attributors that are included in the statement of other comprehensive income in the IFRS financial statements. Both attributors relate to the revaluation of assets that classified as available-for-sale, such as certain debt securities and are shown directly in equity on the IFRS balance sheet.

**A.3.3. Investments in securitization**
Aegon Levensverzekering’s interests in unconsolidated structured entities can be characterized as basic interests. Aegon Levensverzekering does not have loans, derivatives or other interests related to these investments.

For unconsolidated structured entities in which Aegon Levensverzekering has an interest, the following table presents the amount of investments and total income received.
A.4. Performance of other activities

Aegon Levensverzekering does not perform any other activities than underwriting and investment activities. Therefore, overall performance is disclosed under A.2 Underwriting performance and A.3 Investment performance.

A.5. Any other information

All relevant information is covered in the previous sections.
B. System of governance

B.1. General information on the system of governance

B.1.1. Structure, roles and responsibilities of the Administrative, Management or Supervisory Body (AMSB)

Structure

Aegon Nederland is the holding company of Aegon Levensverzekering, Aegon Spaarkas, Aegon Schade and several other companies which together form Aegon Nederland. The Statutory Management Board of Aegon Nederland centrally manages Aegon Nederland companies and also forms the statutory management board in charge of the day-to-day management of Aegon Levensverzekering. Since Aegon Levensverzekering is part of Aegon Nederland, the report on the system of governance will also contain various references to Aegon Nederland, amongst others the governance, remuneration policy, risk system and key functions that are centrally organized at Aegon Nederland.

Aegon Nederland operates in an ever-changing environment due to customer and stakeholder demands, as well as market developments. By providing clear information (“Klantbelang Centraal” or Customer Centricity) and easily comprehensible products and services, Aegon Nederland empowers people to make better financial decisions.

Over the last few years, Aegon Nederland’s strategy has been enhanced and refined. Changes to the strategy have been made to reflect developments inside as well as outside of the company. Changes to our organization and governance structure were required; further transforming the organization from being product-driven towards being customer-driven.

Aegon Nederland is furthermore divided into four Business Units: Life, Bank, Mortgages and Workplace Solutions. For each Business Unit, a management team with full managerial accountability for the business has been established. Within each Business Unit, the managerial team of each legal entity remains responsible for its own statutory accounting and reporting (including SII reporting). By creating separate management accounting segments and bringing value delivery and cost under control of the relevant Business Unit accountability will further increase.

The Business Units are managed and organized in two categories, being:

1. Financial Assets: where we focus on maximizing value and releasing capital over time to invest in Strategic Assets; and
2. Strategic Assets: the businesses in which we will invest and grow our customer base, improve customer retention and increase margins.

The Life Business Unit (comprising of Aegon Levensverzekering and Aegon Spaarkas) is considered a Financial Asset. By doing so, the organizational structure is now more aligned to our business strategy and consequently, a more efficient and effective execution of our strategy can be expected.

To improve the corporate agility to drive productivity, time to market, quality and customer and employee satisfaction, Aegon Nederland incorporated agile functions and responsibilities and implemented SAFE processes to support our delivery of value to our clients. The processes are designed to find the optimal balance between ‘value, time criticality and risk reduction’ and align ‘strategy with execution’. This ensures a way of working in which Quality by Design is embedded.

In our Operating Model four governance departments are introduced:

1. Business Units: The Business Unit is integrally responsible for run and change of the Legal Entity with a dedicated management team and end-to-end responsibility;
2. Value Stream (VS): the VS is integrally responsible for run and change of one or more businesses of the legal entity;
3. Enabling Business Services (EBS): An EBS enables the VS to deliver value to customers by delivering generic services;
4. Center of Expertise (COE): the COE delivers expertise and dedicated people to the VS and EBS.

Management hierarchy

On 1 August 2021 the new target operating model (TOM) has been implemented within Aegon Nederland, as part hereof:

- Aegon’s activities in the Netherlands has been organized in four Business Units (as described above);
- the Business Units are empowered to pursue their activities within a framework on strategy, capital allocation, policies and guidelines set by Aegon N.V. (accountability within the framework);
- the functional governance has been updated (the Functional Governance).
Pursuant to this system of Functional Governance double reporting lines have been installed whereby a function holder at Business Unit level (for example the person responsible for Finance, Risk & Compliance or Legal) has a (hierarchical) solid reporting line to both the CEO or MD of the relevant Business Unit as well as a (functional) solid reporting line to the function holder at the level of Aegon Nederland N.V. (e.g. the CFO, CRO or CLO). As Aegon Nederland N.V. serves as a span breaker in the system of Functional Governance, the double reporting lines also exist at Aegon Nederland N.V. level, whereby each function holder within Aegon Nederland N.V. has a (hierarchical) solid reporting line to both the CEO of Aegon Nederland N.V. as well as a (functional) solid reporting line to the function holder at the level of Aegon N.V. (e.g. the CFO, CRO or CLO). This system of Functional Governance is further set-out in below structure chart.

Roles and responsibilities

Supervisory Board
Aegon Nederland has a Supervisory Board which is responsible for supervising the policy of the Statutory Management Board and the general course of affairs within Aegon Nederland and its related businesses and entities. The Supervisory Board is also responsible for advising the Statutory Management Board.

The Supervisory Board has adopted rules on its way of working and decision making and included that in a Regulation that has been drawn up pursuant to Article 22.6 of the Company’s Articles of Association. According to this Regulation the supervision by the Supervisory Board shall also include: (i) focusing on the client’s interests; (ii) achieving the Aegon Nederland’s objectives; (iii) the strategy; (iv) the risks associated with Aegon Nederland’s activities, including Aegon Nederland’s risk policy and risk appetite; (v) the structure and operation of the internal risk management and control systems; (vi) the financial reporting process; (vii) implementation of the Aegon Nederland Remuneration Policy; and (viii) compliance with the applicable legislation and regulations.

The majority of the members of the Supervisory Board are independent and operate independently in accordance with the Principles and requirements of DNB’s Suitability Policy Rule 2012. Given the members’ different professional and educational backgrounds, ages and range of knowledge and experience, the Supervisory Board has a broad-based membership.
The terms of the Supervisory Board members are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Year of first appointment</th>
<th>(Re-)Appointment</th>
<th>Resigns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. D.H. Jansen Heijtmajer</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>August 4, 2020</td>
<td>2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. M.J.E. Hoek</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>May 13, 2019</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. M.J Rider</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>July 1, 2021</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. G.J.M. Vrancken</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>January 1, 2019</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statutory Management Board

Aegon NL’s Statutory Management Board is charged with the overall management of Aegon Nederland N.V. and acts as holding company of Aegon NL subsidiaries. Aegon NL’s Statutory Management Board is responsible for achieving Aegon’s aims and developing the strategy and its associated risk profile, in addition to overseeing any relevant sustainability issues and the development of the company’s earnings. Aegon NL’s Statutory Management Board has four members. Each member has duties related to his or her specific area of expertise. Decisions within Aegon NL and entities part of Aegon NL for which the Statutory Management Board members also constitute the Statutory Management Boards are adopted by the members of the Statutory Management Board. The Statutory Management Board determines the long-term strategy and the budget of Aegon NL. The members of the Statutory Management Board are regarded as daily decision makers (“dagelijkse beleidsbepalers”) under regulation as published by the supervisory authorities.

The composition of the Statutory Management Board as of December 31, 2021 is as follows:

- Mrs. A.C.C. van Hövell-Patrizi (Chief Executive Officer);
- Mr. B. Magid (Chief Financial Officer);
- Mr. W. Horstmann (Chief Risk Officer)*;
- Mrs. A.H.T.M. Schlichting (Chief Technology/Transformation Officer)*.

* Mr. W. Horstmann resigned as of February 1, 2022 and Mrs. A.H.T.M. Schlichting resigned as of April 4, 2022.

The Management Board Aegon NL (MBNL)

Aegon NL’s statutory management board is assisted in its duties by the Chief Legal Officer and Chief People Officer, collectively referred to as Management Board NL (MBNL). MBNL - as of 31 December 2021 - consists of six (6) members including the statutory management board, being:

- CEO Aegon Nederland N.V.
- CRO Aegon Nederland N.V.
- CFO Aegon Nederland N.V.
- Chief Technology and Transformation Officer (CTTO) Aegon Nederland N.V.
- Chief Legal Officer (CLO) Aegon Nederland N.V.
- Chief People Officer (CPO) Aegon Nederland N.V.

MBNL works in unison with the Statutory Management Board and helps oversee operational issues and the implementation of Aegon NL’s strategy. While the Statutory Management Board is Aegon NL’s sole statutory executive body, MBNL provides vital support and expertise in pursuit of the company’s strategic objectives. The non-statutory MBNL members have an advisory role and also manage a department within Aegon NL. Moreover, MBNL is assisted by a company secretary who also acts as the secretary of the SB of Aegon NL and its subsidiaries.

Committees and Boards

The Supervisory Board and/or the Statutory Management Board have established Committees and Boards which sometimes have an advisory role and are sometimes authorized to take certain decisions on behalf of the Statutory Management Board. These Committees and Boards always report and escalate to the Supervisory Board and/or the Statutory Management Board of Aegon Nederland. The composition, tasks, responsibilities and reporting and escalation lines are laid down in a charter for each Committee and Board. The charters are made accessible to the organization via the Aegon Nederland Policy House.

The table below provides an overview of the roles and responsibilities of the Committees and Boards of Aegon NL.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANL Committee/boards</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statutory Management Board/MBNL</strong></td>
<td>The Statutory Management Board is responsible for centrally managing Aegon NL companies, thereby striving for the continuity of the company and its affiliated enterprise. The management board focuses on long-term value creation and takes into account the stakeholder interests that are relevant in this context.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supervisory Board</strong></td>
<td>Aegon NL’s Supervisory Board oversees the management of the Statutory Management Board, in addition to the company’s business and corporate strategy. The Supervisory Board must take into account the interests of all Aegon NL’s stakeholders. The Supervisory Board operates according to the principles of collective responsibility and accountability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remuneration Committee</strong></td>
<td>The Remuneration Committee is instituted by the Supervisory Board and is designated to safeguard sound remuneration policies and practices within Aegon Nederland by overseeing the development and execution of these policies and practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk and Audit Committee (RAC MT-NL and SB RAC)</strong></td>
<td>The RAC monitors, discusses and supports the controlled execution and management of risks and issues relevant to the operational risk management of Aegon NL. Financial risks are within the remit of RCC. The RAC uses the ERM Taxonomy as its leading methodology. RAC meetings are constituted at three levels: legal entities, MTNL and Supervisory Board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk and Capital Committee (RCC)</strong></td>
<td>The Risk &amp; Capital Committee (RCC) is mandated to manage the balance sheet of Aegon NL, the Aegon insurance subsidiaries and Aegon Hypotheken B.V. and operates within the limits as set by its charter as well as the Capital Management Policy. RCC meetings are constituted at the level of Aegon NL and level of insurance subsidiaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pricing &amp; Expenses Committee</strong></td>
<td>The purpose of the Pricing &amp; Expenses Committee (PEC) is to take unanimous decisions or, in case of no unanimity, prepare decision making on methodology, models and assumptions for actuarial pricing (excluding expense cost base and allocations) and client facing models under delegated authority of the local Risk &amp; Capital Committee. PEC meetings are constituted at the level of Life and Non-Life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Propositie Approval Board (PAB)</strong></td>
<td>The Propositie Approval Board (PAB) is mandated to advise the Statutory Management Board of Aegon NL regarding the development of propositions for products or services for adjustments, reviews, introductions and/ or ceasing of activities. For each legal entity / business unit a separate PAB will be installed. The PAB is mandated by the Boards of Aegon NL, insurance subsidiaries, [Legal Entities/Business Units], Aegon Advies B.V. The CEO is chairman of the PAB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asset and Liability Committee (ALCO)</strong></td>
<td>The purpose of the Asset &amp; Liability Committee (ALCO) is to prepare decision making and in addition it has limited decision authority on Balance Sheet Management Transactions &amp; Initiatives, thereby managing economic, accounting and statutory balance sheet position and financial risks of the Aegon NL entities. The scope of the ALCO includes investment proposals and ALM proposals and excludes dividend proposals. The ALCO is instituted by the RCC. The ALCO is a Decision-making and Advising Body.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Assumptions and Methodology Committee (AMC)**

The AMC is responsible for preparing proposals for the eventual decision making by the RCC on Framework, Methodology and Assumption changes excluding pricing and expense cost base and allocation. AMC is authorized to take decisions on behalf of the RCC.

**Data Governance Board (DGB)**

The Data Governance Board (DGB) is mandated to perform oversight and provide requirements to the Aegon NL organization applicable to persons, processes and information technology needed for a consistent and adequate data processing and understanding of information within Aegon NL. The DGB also has an oversight and review role with regard to the data governance organization of Aegon NL.

The DGB has a leading role with regard to the design, implementation and monitoring of the data quality objectives of Aegon NL as well as the management of data which are being processed within Aegon NL.

The DGB is instituted on behalf of the boards of Aegon NL, the insurance entities, Aegon Advies, Aegon Bemiddeling, Capptal, Aegon Hypotheken and Aegon Bank and is mandated to take decisions on behalf of these entities relating to data.

**Model Validation Committee (MVC)**

Aegon Levensverzekering has outsourced the Model Validation function to the Group Risk function, where it is independent from model owners and business users. All model validation reports are approved in the ANL Model Validation Committee (MVC). It is the sole responsibility of the ANL MVC to decide on model validation reports related to Aegon Levensverzekering as well as the opinion expressed therein. The ANL MVC reports to the Group MVC.

**Aegon NL Offerte overleg**

MT NL Offerteoverleg Zakelijk segment is mandated to safeguard the issuance of proposals (customized proposals as well as large proposals for business clients) by Aegon Levensverzekering and Aegon Schade is performed in accordance with the minimum requirements as set by Aegon NL.

It safeguards that these proposals are brought for approval to the board of Aegon NL before they are submitted by the Value Streams. This MT also reviews smaller proposals when the Value Streams want to deviate from the minimum required Cost of Capital and/or the WACC.

**FN Change Board**

The purpose of the FN Change Board is to, on behalf of MT Finance, manage the processes with respect to (changes in) models and dataflows within the FN Change Process.

The FN Change Board is instituted by the MT Finance. The FN Change Board is a Decision making (only on behalf of MT Finance) and Advising body.

**Functiehouders overleg**

The four Key function holders of Aegon NL:
- Risk Function Holder;
- Actuarial Function Holder;
- Audit Function Holder; and
- Compliance Function Holder

The key function holders have periodic informal meetings to discuss and exchanges views on the Solvency II entities of Aegon NL.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NL FLATT Committee</td>
<td>The NL FLATT Committee is a multidisciplinary committee that assesses decisions proposed by the management of any Aegon-entity within Aegon NL to execute certain corporate actions which affect the internal legal, financial and/or tax structure of Aegon NL. Such actions also need prior written approval of MBNL or the RCC. The NL FLATT Committee assesses the technical soundness of these proposed corporate actions from different perspectives and takes the business rationale into account, but it is not the NL FLATT Committee’s responsibility to judge or evaluate this business rationale. As such, the NL FLATT Committee functions as advising body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Usage and Privacy Board (DUPB)</td>
<td>The DUPB promotes and facilitates the organization in the specific area of data usage and privacy and to process data in accordance with the defined Data Strategy and privacy policies. The DUPB decides on requested deviations from data usage and privacy policies. The Data Usage &amp; Privacy Board acts on the delegated authority of the Statutory Management Boards of Aegon Nederland N.V., the insurance entities, Aegon Advies B.V., Aegon Bemiddeling B.V., Aegon Hypotheken B.V. and Cappital, with a specific focus on topics related to data usage and privacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory Change Committee</td>
<td>The NL Regulatory Change Committee is a multi-disciplinary committee, which aims to discuss identified Regulatory change events relevant to the Aegon NL entities. This relevance may arise from potential impact on business model, operating model and/or strategy or a potential business opportunity. The NL Regulatory Change Committee further aims to assign ownership of Regulatory changes events and will report to relevant management bodies on overall the (implementation) status of Regulatory change events. The Statutory Management Board of Aegon Nederland N.V. has instituted the NL Regulatory Change Committee. The NL Regulatory Change Committee is a Decision making and Advising body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framework &amp; Policy Committee</td>
<td>The Framework &amp; Policy Committee is responsible to review and approve policies, standards, charters and guidances, determine the time legal entities are given to implement standards (&lt;Compliance date), advise on waivers and deviations to Aegon policies, determine additions and prioritization to the ERM Framework, decide on the (frequency) review cycle of policies, standards and charters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT Finance</td>
<td>MT Finance is instituted by the Statutory Management Board of Aegon NL and is a Decision making and Advising body. MT Finance is chaired by the CFO. MT Finance supervises the design, implementation and monitoring of the financial processes as well as the relevant control frameworks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT Life</td>
<td>MT Life is instituted by the Statutory Management Board of Aegon Levensverzekering and Aegon Spaarkas and is a Decision making and Advising body. MT Life is chaired by the MD Life and is in charge of managing the operations of the Business Unit Life (including the legal entities and Value Streams forming part thereof).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An assessment of the adequacy of the system of governance

Aegon Nederland must perform a periodic integral evaluation of the system of governance in order to assess its appropriateness in relation to the strategy and the business operations. Aegon Nederland has performed over the year 2021 an overarching evaluation which includes assessments of the setup and structure of the governance and Solvency II key functions. This evaluation will be shared with DNB. The evaluation is not finalized yet at the time of publishing.

B.1.2. Key Functions

Apart from the Supervisory Board, the Statutory Management Board and the Management Team Aegon Nederland, in line with Solvency II Delegated Regulation, Aegon Levensverzekering has identified the following individuals as Key Function Holders:

- **Actuarial Function Holder**
  Tjeerd Degenaar

- **Risk Function Holder**
  Martijn Tans

- **Compliance Function Holder**
  Qiumei Yee

- **Internal Audit Function Holder**
  Paul van der Zwan

- **Risk management**: The Risk Function Holder (RFH) is reporting to the Chief Risk Officer (CRO) who directs the department of Risk & Compliance. The RFH operates independently from the first line functions and other functions and reports (and escalates if necessary), next to the CRO, also periodically to the Statutory Management Board and to the Risk & Audit Committee of the Supervisory Board.

- **Compliance**: The Chief Compliance Officer is the key function holder for compliance. The Chief Compliance Officer reports to the CRO and is therefore a second line role given Solvency II independence requirements and responsibility for ensuring that the risk profile is managed in line with risk tolerance. The compliance function holder has an escalation possibility to the CEO and the Supervisory Board and a periodic reporting line to the Risk & Audit committee of the Supervisory Board. The organization, roles and responsibilities of the compliance function are more extensively described in section B.4.2.

- **Internal audit**: The Chief Audit Executive is the function holder for Internal Audit. In line with the requirements, Internal Audit is fully objective and independent from all other functions, reporting directly into the CEO and Supervisory Board Risk & Audit Committee. The organization, roles and responsibilities of the internal audit function are more extensively described in section B.5.

- **Actuarial function**: The Actuarial Function Holder (AFH) is reporting to the Chief Risk Officer (CRO), who directs the department of Risk & Compliance. The AFH operates independently from the first line functions and other functions and reports (and escalates if necessary), next to the CRO, also periodically to the Statutory Management Board, to the Risk & Audit Committee of the Supervisory Board and to the Global Chief Actuary. The organization, roles and responsibilities of the Actuarial function are more extensively described in section B.6.

The key functions stated above have the necessary resources to carry out their tasks. Resourcing of staff and other means required to execute control is documented as part of the charters agreed with the Statutory Management Board and the Supervisory Board. Issues in resourcing can be brought forward to the Executive and the Supervisory Board. The necessary operational independence of the key functions is also documented as part of the charters.

B.1.3. Remuneration policy

B.1.3.1. General Information on the remuneration policy and practices

The remuneration policy is centralized at Aegon Nederland level and also applies to Aegon Levensverzekering. Aegon Nederland pursues a careful, sound and sustainable remuneration policy. As Aegon Nederland has adopted the Regulation on Sound Remuneration Policies (Regeling beheerst beloningsbeleid as issued by DNB), the Aegon Nederland remuneration policy is in line with the requirements stipulated in the regulation.

Aegon Nederland’s remuneration policy applies to the Statutory Management Board, management teams, senior management and other employees of Aegon Nederland and subsidiaries and complies with the applicable national and international regulations. The policy is in accordance with the Aegon Group Global Remuneration Framework (AGGRF) drawn up by Aegon N.V. and has due regard for developments in society. In 2021 an addition was made to the policy reflecting that environmental, social and governance events and conditions (ESG factors) are increasingly a (potential) core issue for Aegon’s stakeholders.
The remuneration policy is in line with the strategy, vision, core values and risk appetite of Aegon Nederland. This means that the level of variable remuneration for employees is discussed in meetings of the Supervisory Board, as well as the financial performance criteria which are applied to variable remuneration. These are adjusted for the estimated risks and cost of capital, whereby the variable remuneration components are in line with Aegon Nederland’s long-term objectives.

Regarding the form and timing of payments, the regulation requires a portion of the variable remuneration paid to Material Risk Takers (i.e., members of the Management Team) to be deferred and partially paid in shares.

Variable remuneration is based on performance related to present targets on the following three levels: (i) Aegon N.V., (ii) Aegon Nederland and (iii) personal. The targets are a mix of financial and non-financial performance criteria, which are as objective as possible. The financial criteria were adjusted for estimated risks and cost of capital upon assessment of the actual performance.

Under the governance provisions of Aegon Nederland’s remuneration policy, the Supervisory Board is authorized, following the results of an ex-post assessment, to suspend or cancel all or part of the variable remuneration granted conditionally to Material Risk Takers (‘malus clause’). This malus clause on variable remuneration granted conditionally to Material Risk Takers was applied in 2021 to variable remuneration over performance year 2017 allocated in 2021, because the AFM imposed a fine in 2019 for failure to comply with regulations on Aegon Levensverzekering in 2017.

The AFM imposed a fine of EUR 500,000 on Aegon Levensverzekering as the product development requirements for the pension products were not being met when the products were launched in December 2016. Following the recommendation of the malus and claw back risk assessment, the Supervisory Board of Aegon Nederland N.V. approved to apply a malus of 10% for the MRT concerned. This involves the statutory board of directors of Aegon NL at the time of the violation: the former CFO Aegon NL, CEO Aegon NL, former COO Aegon Particulier, COO Aegon NL and CRO Aegon NL. The application of the malus to the CEO Aegon NL is approved by the Supervisory Board of Aegon N.V..

The governance provisions in Aegon Nederland’s remuneration policy state that the Supervisory Board is authorized to recover variable remuneration previously paid to members of the management team and senior management, if it was granted on the basis of inaccurate financial or other information (‘claw back’ clause). In 2021, there was no claw back of variable remuneration.

Governance

In accordance with Aegon Nederland’s remuneration policy, the Supervisory Board has the following duties and responsibilities: (i) approval of the general principles of the remuneration policy, (ii) regular assessment of the general principles of the remuneration policy, (iii) responsibility for the remuneration policy of the Statutory Management Board, (iv) review of the remuneration of Material Risk Takers, (v) instructing the Statutory Management Board to implement the remuneration policy and (vi) instructing the Remuneration Steering Group and/or Internal Audit to assess the application of the policy and the procedures covered.

B.1.3.2. Principles of the remuneration policy

Members of the Statutory Management Board as well as other selected jobholders have been defined as ‘Material Risk Takers’ in accordance with new rules, guidelines and interpretations. Of these, the Dutch 2015 Wbfo, the DNB Regulation on Sound Remuneration policies 2014 and the guidelines issued by the European Banking Authority and its predecessor issued under the successive European CRD frameworks (in particular CRD III and IV) are prominent examples. The rules have been adopted in Aegon N.V.’s Global Remuneration Framework and consistently applied within Aegon Nederland in the Aegon Nederland Remuneration Policy. After the performance period, and based on the framework, variable compensation, if any, is partially made available and partly deferred.

Variable compensation is paid in both cash and in Aegon N.V. shares. The shares are conditionally granted at the beginning of the year at the average share price on the Euronext stock exchange in Amsterdam during the period between December 15 preceding a plan year and January 15 of the plan year. The performance indicators apply over a performance period of one year and consist of Aegon N.V. and/or Aegon Nederland targets (both financial and non-financial) set by the Supervisory Board or the remuneration committee and personal/strategic targets. The conditional grant of variable compensation is also dependent on continued employment of the individual employee to whom the rights have been granted.

An ex-post assessment is applicable to determine whether allocated (unvested) variable compensation should become unconditional or should be adjusted. In addition, for Members of the Statutory Management Board, Aegon Nederland’s Supervisory Board has the right to reclaim variable compensation that has already been paid out or vested.

For members of the Statutory Management Board all variable compensation has vested after three years following the performance period. At vesting, the variable compensation is transferred to the individual employees. Additional holding periods may apply for vested shares. Members of the Statutory Board have the right to reclaim variable compensation that has already been paid out or vested.
Management Board are not entitled to execute any transactions regarding the shares for a period of three years following vesting (with the exception of shares withheld to cover for the payment of any applicable taxes, social security premiums and possible other deductions by the government due for which the company holds a withholding obligation in connection with the vesting of the shares). In compliance with regulations under Dutch law, no transactions regarding the shares may be exercised in closed periods.

B.1.3.3. Share options, shares or variable components of remuneration

Variable remuneration for the statutory board members of Aegon Nederland were paid 40% in cash and 60% in shares of Aegon N.V.

The remuneration policy and its implementation were discussed in meetings held by the Supervisory Board on several occasions during 2021. In 2021, in accordance with Aegon Nederland’s Remuneration policy, 40% of the 2020 variable remuneration was paid directly to members of the Statutory Management Board of Aegon Nederland and the remaining 60% was conditionally deferred. The 60% is subject to a deferral period of three years without any further holding requirements in accordance with the principles of the Aegon Group Global Remuneration Framework. Shares are withheld to pay payment of any applicable taxes, social security premiums and/or other possible deductions by the government for which the company holds a withholding obligation in connection with the vesting of the shares).

Variable compensation awarded to Material Risk Takers remains conditional, an ex-post risk assessment may indicate reasons for lowering the amounts or not pay at all. In 2021, a malus of 10% was applied to the total deferred variable compensation related to performance year 2017. The malus followed after the AFM imposed a fine for failure to comply with regulations on Aegon Levensverzekering in 2017. This malus was applied to the statutory board of directors of Aegon NL who were responsible at the time of the violation.

B.1.3.4. Supplementary pension or early retirement schemes for the members of the administrative, management or supervisory body and other key function holders

Members of the Statutory Management Board, Supervisory Board and key function holders are offered pension arrangements and retirement benefits in conformity with the standard Aegon Nederland arrangement. Pension arrangements do not include discretionary elements.

Aegon Levensverzekering does not grant Statutory Management Board members and Supervisory Board members personal loans, guarantees or other such arrangements, unless in the normal course of business and on terms applicable to all employees, and only with the approval of Aegon Nederland’s Supervisory Board.

B.1.4. Disclosure on material transactions

There were no material transactions with members of the Supervisory Board, the Statutory Management Board and/or MB NL.

B.1.5. Material changes in the system of governance

Reference is made to section B.1.1 of this SFCR.

B.2. Fit and proper requirements

B.2.1. Requirements for skills, knowledge and expertise

Statutory Management Board

To fulfil their tasks, the specific skills that members of the Statutory Management Board of Aegon Nederland should have at their disposal include: i) Leadership (i.e. ideas, people and change); ii) Strategic thinking and sound judgment; iii) Financial and commercial acumen, particularly around complex and inorganic change activities; iv) Influencing and relationship building; v) Communication; vi) Delivery with clear focus on outcomes; vii) Innovation and problem solving; and viii) Customer-centricity.

Moreover, the members of the Statutory Management Board should possess knowledge and experience in the areas of:

1. Strategic understanding of and insight into the financial services industry, with particular emphasis on the challenges and opportunities associated with achieving success for a market leading life and pensions and digitized platform company;
2. Specifically, good understanding of the different regimes associated with Insurance and Investments, including capital management and regulatory frameworks; and
3. Extensive industry and executive management experience in a number of financial, operational and strategic roles – an industry leader respected by regulators, trade associations and government bodies; and Proven ability to lead complex transactions across an organization, including inorganic activity.

Requirements for skills, knowledge and expertise are also reflected in the Statutory Management Board profile which has been drawn up for the Statutory Management Board and which is updated periodically.

Supervisory Board

The Supervisory Board, as a collective, should have qualifications including an international composition; experience with, and understanding of the administrative procedures and internal control systems; affinity with and knowledge of the industry, its clients, its products and services, the financial services market and Aegon Nederland’s businesses and strategy; knowledge and experience in (digital) marketing and distribution and the applications of information technology; expertise and experience in digital transformation; experience in the business world, both nationally
and internationally; and financial, accounting and business economics’ expertise and the ability to judge issues in the areas of risk management, solvency, actuarial currencies and investment and acquisition projects. Requirements for skills, knowledge and expertise are also reflected in the Supervisory Board profile which has been drawn up for the Supervisory Board and which is updated periodically.

**Solvency II key function holders**
The existing Permanent Education program of Aegon Nederland for key function holders and their direct reports is being strengthened. Aegon Nederland has set up a Permanent Education program that entered into force in 2020. Aegon Nederland has developed this program together with the University of Amsterdam (UvA) and is certified by the UvA.

**B.2.2. Process for assessing the fitness and the propriety requirements**
In accordance with the Dutch Financial Supervision Act, Aegon Nederland has identified, in addition to the members of the Statutory Management Board and Supervisory Board, those persons that fulfil 'key functions' as referred to in Articles 3:271 and 3:272, in connection with Articles 3:8 and 3:9 of the Dutch Financial Supervision Act. This group of persons is broader than but includes all persons that fulfil key functions as referred to in art. 294 (2) of the Solvency II Delegated Regulation. These persons are subject to a pre-employment screening prior to their employment within Aegon Nederland, as well as a propriety assessment by the Dutch supervisory authorities prior to their appointment in a key function. Ongoing compliance with propriety requirements of the persons that effectively run the undertaking or have other key functions is a joint responsibility of the respective person as well as Aegon Nederland. Persons that fulfil key functions also undergo an internal fitness assessment process. Within this process the resume of the candidate will be assessed, interviews are held, and the skills and expertise of the candidate is checked against the function profile.

Aegon Nederland has a pre- and in-employment screening process in place. Whereas pre-employment screening aims to assess the internal fitness of employees ahead of hiring, in-employment screening aims to periodically reassess the internal fitness during employment.

Aegon Nederland facilitates various education programs for Statutory Management Board, Supervisory Board and other key functions.

**Statutory Management Board**
The members of the Statutory Management Board have broad-based commercial backgrounds and experience in the financial sector in general and in insurance in particular. With this wide range of experience, they have the knowledge and fully understand the valuable function of insurance companies in society and are making their decisions in the interests of all Aegon Nederland’s stakeholders. Each member of the Statutory Management Board also has the necessary knowledge to be able to assess and determine the main points of Aegon Nederland’s overall policy and to form a balanced and independent opinion on the risks that Aegon faces.

The knowledge of the members of the Statutory Management Board is kept up to standard and is improved by means of Aegon Nederland’s permanent education program, which is organized by the Secretary of the Board together with the HR Learning & Development department. The latter is also responsible for keeping records on participation. The ongoing program covers national and international developments in the financial sector as well as corporate governance in general and in the financial sector in particular. The program further includes topics such as the duty of care towards customers and putting customers’ interests first, integrity, risk management, financial reporting and audit.

The members of the Statutory Management Board act in a careful, expert and fair manner. They keep up to date with developments in legislation and regulations, partly through the permanent education program. All members of the Statutory Management Board took the oath or affirmation as required by the Financial Sector Oath or Affirmation Regulations.

**Supervisory Board**
Individual members of the Supervisory Board will be assessed on the basis of personal qualifications including: managerial experience and skills at the highest levels; experience with large listed companies; understanding of a global business; entrepreneurial attitude; sound business judgment, common sense and decisiveness; independence and a sufficiently critical attitude with regard to the other Supervisory Board members and the Statutory Management Board and international orientation and outside experience.

All members of Aegon’s Supervisory Board have been scrutinized by the Dutch supervisory authorities, the Dutch Central Bank (“DNB”) and the Netherlands Authority of Financial Markets (“AFM”), prior to their appointment and fulfil these requirements on an ongoing basis.

In Aegon Nederland’s view, the members’ knowledge and experience complement each other. Aegon has set out in detail the Supervisory Board’s duties in the Supervisory Board Charter. Aegon has a profile of the Supervisory Board, further specifying and recording its vision on the membership. The profile is tailored to Aegon Nederland’s nature, size and complexity and also incorporates the competences in DNB’s Suitability Matrix for Supervisory Boards.
The members of the Supervisory Board act in a careful, expert and fair manner. They keep up to date with developments in legislation and regulations, partly through the permanent education program. All members of the Supervisory Board took the oath or affirmation as required by the Financial Sector Oath or Affirmation Regulations.

**B.3. Risk management system including the own risk and Solvency assessment**

**B.3.1. Risk management system**

ERM is a framework, which is designed and applied to manage risk in creating, preserving and realizing value that may affect Aegon Levensverzekering. ERM builds on the current level of risk management that exists in the normal course of business. The aim is to manage risk within Aegon Levensverzekering’s risk tolerance in order to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of Aegon Levensverzekering’s objectives.

For Aegon Levensverzekering, ERM involves:
1. Understanding which risks the company is facing;
2. Establishing a firm wide framework through which the maturity of risk management practices can be monitored;
3. Establishing risk tolerances, and supporting policies, for the level of exposure to a particular risk or combination of risks;
4. Monitoring risk exposure and actively maintaining oversight over the company's overall risk and solvency positions.

The ERM framework is based on the international accepted standard COSO ERM and lays the foundation for managing risk throughout Aegon Levensverzekering’s operations. Aegon Nederland’s subsidiaries must all adhere to Aegon Nederland’s ERM framework and be able to demonstrate compliance to the extent, nature and size that is appropriate to the organization. The ERM framework applies to all material businesses of Aegon Nederland for which it has operational control.

The aim of Aegon Nederland’s ERM framework is to enable management to effectively deal with uncertainty, and the associated risk and opportunity, by enhancing the organization's capacity to build value which contributes to the fulfillment of its corporate strategy. In addition, ERM ensures that risk tolerances and strategy are aligned.

Enterprise risk management supports Aegon Leven’s corporate strategy by ensuring a common system for measuring value and risk. The purpose of Aegon Leven’s risk strategy is to provide direction for the desired risk profile while supporting Aegon Nederland’s business strategy. A key element in Aegon Nederland’s approach toward risk strategy is that taking a risk should in the first place meet a customer need. Furthermore, an assessment is made whether Aegon Levensverzekering has the competence to manage the risk and Aegon Leven’s risk preferences are formulated. In other words: from a risk-return perspective, which risks Aegon Levensverzekering accepts and which risks Aegon Levensverzekering wants to avoid. The assessment of Aegon Levensverzekering’s risk preferences eventually leads to a targeted risk profile that reflects the risks Aegon Levensverzekering wants to keep on the balance sheet and which risks Aegon Levensverzekering would like to avoid by means of hedging, product design or other risk mitigation techniques/management actions.

**ERM Building Blocks**

Aegon Nederland’s enterprise risk management framework considers risk from various perspectives and can be decomposed into multiple components. However, enterprise risk management is not strictly a serial framework, where one component affects only the next. It is a multidirectional, iterative framework in which almost any component can and does influence another. The principles and requirements of ERM apply on all organizational levels and concern both financial and operational risks. Risks are managed from multiple perspectives, including culture, economic, regulatory and accounting. Relevant metrics in ERM include capital, earnings, liquidity and franchise value.
Risk Strategy
The first building block in the enterprise risk management process is the formulation of an enterprise risk management strategy. The risk strategy forms the basis for the risk tolerance statements, which are specified in terms of financial strength, continuity, culture and risk balance and are translated into operating guidelines for the various risk types.

Risk Tolerance
Risk Tolerance includes the risk appetite of Aegon Levensverzekering including qualitative and quantitative risk tolerances that are the basis to support the business in making decisions about whether risks are within appetite, acceptable or need to be mitigated or avoided. Qualitative and quantitative tolerances are to be determined by management based on the values and principles of Aegon Levensverzekering and should be in line with the company’s purpose, values, objectives, Code of Conduct, and Market Conduct Principles.

Risk Identification
The risks that Aegon Levensverzekering faces are identified and presented in the risk universe. An emerging risk process ensures that the risk universe will capture the full spectrum of risks. In order to assess the risks, Aegon Nederland has developed a methodology for measuring the risks as defined in the risk universe.

Risk Assessment
Aegon Nederland’s approach to evaluating operational risks is based on the quantitative and qualitative rating of those risks with regard to their potential impact and likelihood after consideration of the effectiveness of controls. Risk impact is assessed along the following four impact dimensions: financial loss, customer, reputation and financial misstatement. The resulting ratings reflect the uncontrolled (residual) risk the business area is running.

Risk Response
Once the risks have been identified, evaluated and prioritized, an appropriate risk response needs to be defined. Action plans are developed and managed if Aegon Levensverzekering’s risk tolerances are violated.

Risk Reporting (& Monitoring)
Compliance with the risk tolerance statements and the risk policy requirements is monitored and reported on a periodic basis to senior management. Through a formal Risk and Audit Committee and Risk and Capital Committee senior management is informed on their forward looking risk profile on a quarterly basis, together with details of action plans that address key risks. In the quarterly report the CRO’s opinion on, among others, the effectiveness of those plans is formalized.
Aegon Levensverzekering controls the risk it faces along various dimensions through its risk governance framework, risk monitoring, model validation, and embedding of risk management into functional areas, such as business planning, capital planning and management, remuneration, pricing and product development. Risk control is further supported by a strong risk culture and effective compliance risk management. The execution of these building blocks is a continuous and iterative undertaking, including periodic or ad hoc adjustment of the strategy and risk tolerance based on new risk information or changes in the business (environment). The full enterprise risk management methodology is formalized in the ERM Manual, ERM policy and underlying detailed policies and manuals.

**Implementation of risk management system**

The second line of defense of Aegon NL is represented by the Risk & Compliance department (see figure below). The department is managed by the Chief Risk Officer (CRO) of Aegon NL together with the Head of Risk Management (HoRM), Head of Actuarial Risk (HoAR) and the Chief Compliance Officer (CCO). The Head of Risk Management holds the responsibility for the Risk Management Function and hierarchically reports to the CRO of Aegon NL. The day-to-day operations of the Risk Management Function are performed by the departments Operational Risk Management (ORM), and Financial Risk Management (FRM).

For the insurance entities of Aegon NL (related to the Solvency II Directive), the Head of Risk Management is also the Risk Function Holder (RFH) and responsible for the Risk Management Function. The departments FRM and ORM support the RFH in its regulatory responsibilities related to the function. Moreover, the following departments support the RFH:

- The department Risk Methodology is responsible for the design and maintenance of the SII Partial Internal Model (PIM). The head of Risk Methodology hierarchically reports to the global head of FRM. The RFH draws on resources of this department for the regulatory responsibilities related to the PIM. There is a reporting line from the Head of Methodology to the RFH. A Service Level Agreement (SLA) is in place between Aegon NL and Aegon Group.

- The department Model Validation (MV) is responsible for the validation of the PIM. The head of MV hierarchically reports to the global head of OMRM. A Service Level Agreement (SLA) is in place between Aegon NL and Aegon Group. Based on the SLA, the RFH is accountable for model validations related to elements of PIM, while the Aegon Group Head of MV is responsible for adequate execution of the model validations in line with the Model Validation Calendar. These starting points are both in line with Solvency II (SII) requirements as well as an optimal safeguard of independence between model validators on the one hand and model developers and business users on the other hand.
The insurance entities within Aegon NL have a management team installed with a position of head of risk. The relationship between the managerial risk functions, the risk management function, and the key function holders is explained:

- Aegon Life: is the business unit managing the balance sheets of Aegon Levensverzekering N.V. and Aegon SpaarBank N.V. As stated above, the Head of Risk Management is the Risk Function Holder (RFH) of these insurance entities. The managerial role of Head of Risk of Life is conducted by the HoRM as well. Through a Service Level Agreement, the Head of Risk of Life has access to services and / or dedicated capacity of the other risk teams. However, the Head of Risk of Life can only speak on behalf of key function holders when explicitly agreed.

**B.3.2. Solvency II PIM Governance**

Aegon Levensverzekering’s methodology for assessing risks includes the Solvency II PIM and is used to measure and aggregate risks and to calculate the Solvency Capital Ratio. All models within Aegon (but PIM in particular) are subject to model governance and should comply to the model policies and standards. This includes the independent validation as part of the Internal Model Application Process, and regular validation program to secure ongoing appropriateness on a rolling basis.

The regulatory responsibility for the risk management function to both design and validate the partial internal model leads to a potential conflict of interest. Aegon has mitigated this as follows:

- The activities related to Model Validation are outsourced to the model validation department, but the risk management function retains accountability for model validations related to elements of Aegon NL’s Partial Internal Model. Regarding the validation of the Partial Internal Model, the Risk Management Function holder has the right to put model validation results on the MT NL agenda for discussion, in particular when the RMF disagrees with the final Model Validation Committee opinion.

- Model changes can also be implemented without the assessment from Model Validation department, conditionally on the impact of these changes as defined in the model governance policy. Such changes are subject to approval of the model committee, the Assumptions & Methodology Committee (AMC). The decision-making process within the committee provides mitigation for the conflict of interest as the different roles within the function (design and review) are represented and the committee needs to unanimously approve the model changes.

In addition to the validation of individual models, the Solvency II PIM is also subject to a top-down analysis as part of the overarching validation performed by the Model Validation function. The overall purpose of the overarching validation is to provide an independent assessment of the overall appropriateness of the Solvency II PIM as adopted and used within Aegon Leven. The overarching validation of the Solvency II PIM is updated annually. There were no material changes to the internal model governance during the reporting period.

**B.3.3. Own risk and solvency assessment**

The Own Risk & Solvency Assessment (ORSA) is a continuous process which builds on the existing risk and capital management and business planning processes within Aegon Leven. The ORSA unites these processes under a single framework, ensuring key business decisions are based on an internal assessment of risk and associated capital requirements. It connects and aligns risk and capital management, business planning, and strategic decision-making processes, and delivers the “ORSA outcomes” namely:

- The assessment of overall solvency needs taking into account the specific risk profile, approved risk tolerance limits and the business strategy of the undertaking.

- The compliance, on a continuous basis, with the capital requirements and with the requirements regarding technical provisions; and

- The comparison of the risk profile with the assumptions underlying the Solvency Capital Requirement and internal model.”

An ORSA policy is in place within Aegon NL. The purpose of this policy is to ensure that Aegon Levensverzekering’s ORSA process is compliant with the Solvency II regulations, appropriate, and integrated within the management of the business. The policy also covers the roles, responsibilities, and processes. The policy is annually tested as part of the policy attestation process.

A graphical overview of the ORSA process is provided below. The process is based on the following key working assumptions:

- The process is iterative and subject to on-going monitoring to ensure the ORSA responds to major changes impacting the business.

- The business strategy for Aegon Levensverzekering is set.

- The financial strategy for Aegon Levensverzekering must be set to support the business strategy. The business plan combines the business and financial strategy to calculate key results.

- The risk & capital assessment must include the identification, measurement, management and monitoring of risk. The capital needs of the business must be considered taking account of the proposed strategy and the acceptable level of the associated risks in pursuit of that strategy. The assessment must take into account both the present and the future.

- Aegon’s Partial Internal Model (PIM) and Economic Framework (EF) are key tools used in the measurement and quantification of risk.
The output from the business strategy, financial strategy, business plan and the risk and capital assessments (together the Budget/MTP) must be used in the decision-making process.

“Use” applies across a spectrum of areas including Asset & Liability Modelling, product development and pricing, business strategy, risk management and performance management.

All of the above is evidenced and documented in Aegon Leven’s annual ORSA report.

**B.4. Internal control system**

**B.4.1. Internal control system**

In order to ensure conscious risk-return decisions and limit the magnitude of potential losses within defined levels of certainty, Aegon Nederland’s internal control environment has been established based on the principles of the ‘Three lines of defense’ model.

The three lines of defence are represented by the following: 1) risk owners, 2) risk managers, and 3) independent assurance. The overall responsibility for risk management lies with the Statutory Management Board. The application of the three lines of defence structure enables a professional risk culture where risk management can be optimally embedded within the business.

**First line of defense:** Risks naturally arise out of Aegon Levensverzekering’s business activities, in particular through the sales and administrative processing of insurance policies and balance sheet and capital management. Business management is directly responsible for the processes on which achievement of the company’s objectives depends. They are responsible for risk identification, risk assessment and, especially, the control of all material risks in their area of activity, consistent with applicable risk tolerances and risk policies.
Second line of defense: The risk functions and committees, being the second line of defence, facilitate and oversee the effectiveness and integrity of ERM across the company. They facilitate ERM by developing, maintaining, and supporting the implementation of risk governance, risk tolerances, risk policies, risk methodology and risk management information. The role of the second line is also to oversee policy compliance, to maintain objectivity in business decisions and to challenge business management in this context. Risk policy breaches and excessive risk taking are escalated as needed. In this regard, the CRO has the authority to defer Risk & Capital Committee decisions that can have a material adverse impact on the company’s solvency, liquidity or operations to Board meetings. In addition to those mentioned above, second line of defence is also responsible for model validations.

Third line of defense: Audit along with its committees provide the third line of defence and is a function directed by and accountable to the Statutory Management Board, principally through its Risk and Audit Committee. It is independent of senior management, which has responsibility for the first and second lines of defence and is therefore able to provide independent assurance opinions on the effectiveness of the systems of internal control and risk management.

B.4.2. Implementation of the compliance function
Within its mission it is the purpose of the Compliance Function to advise the Statutory Management Board and the Supervisory Board on the assessment and definition of the Compliance Risk Appetite and related risk tolerance levels, and to advise the Statutory Management Board on the acceptance of specific risk events based on impact analysis. Furthermore, the Compliance function supports management by raising awareness of Compliance Risk Appetite, established good business practices, and by identifying, assessing and overseeing the mitigation of Compliance Risks.

The Compliance Function consists of the Chief Compliance Officer and all Compliance Officers and other staff reporting to the Chief Compliance Officer. These include the compliance officers appointed as such and working within Aegon Nederland for all organizational business units (segments), and those working for Aegon Nederland subsidiaries in a dedicated role. Furthermore, Data Protection Officer Aegon NL, Money Laundering Reporting Officer and the Regulatory Office/Watchtower report to the Chief Compliance Officer. To ensure a consistent approach within the entire organization the aforementioned Compliance Officers and the other teams reporting to the Chief Compliance Officer meet regularly to coordinate.

All Compliance and Data Protection Officers at Aegon Nederland, including the Compliance and Data Protection Officers of the Aegon Nederland subsidiaries, have a functional reporting line to the Chief Compliance Officer. The Chief Compliance Officer has an escalation reporting line to the Supervisory Board (Risk & Audit Committee) and to the Global Head of Regulatory Compliance and Global Head of Operational & Conduct Risk Management. Furthermore, the Chief Compliance Officer is entitled to investigate or have investigated (independently or on its behalf) compliance risks by performing Compliance monitoring activities. The Chief Compliance Officer reports each quarter to the MB NL, through the CRO, on the topics mentioned above and meets with the Supervisory Board and/or or the Risk & Audit Committee at least four times a year.

The Compliance Function shall be independent from the business, which is established using the following principles:
1. The Compliance Function has a formal status, which is stated and communicated through the Compliance Charter;
2. A Compliance Officer, in particular the Chief Compliance Officer, is not placed in a position where possible conflict of interest may occur between compliance responsibilities and any other responsibilities; and
3. The Compliance Function staff are entitled to have access to the information and personnel necessary to carry out their responsibilities.

B.5. Internal audit function

B.5.1. Implementation of the internal audit function
Aegon Nederland’s Internal Audit Function ("Internal Audit") assists the Statutory Management Board, the Risk & Audit Committee of the Supervisory Board and Senior Management in protecting Aegon Nederland’s assets, reputation, and sustainability by independently and objectively evaluating the effectiveness of internal controls, risk management and governance processes. Aegon Nederland has implemented the ‘three lines of defence model’. The (line) management control is the first line of defence. Risk management, the risk control and compliance over-sight functions are the second line of defence, and independent assurance is the third line of defence. As part of this assurance Internal Audit recommends improvements which are agreed with management and pursues corrective actions on identified issues until implementation.

Additionally, Internal Audit executes advisory services related to the evaluation and improvement of the management control environment of Aegon Nederland. When providing advisory services, Internal Audit needs to maintain operational independence. Opportunities to strengthen the existing management control environment, effectiveness and Aegon Nederland’s reputation may be identified from the business. Internal Audit derives its authority from their respective Boards and is authorized to examine the internal controls, risk management and governance processes in all areas of Aegon Nederland.
B.5.2. Independence of the internal audit function

Internal Audit executes its duties freely and objectively in accordance with the Institute of Internal Auditors’ International Professional Practices Framework. The purpose, objectives and responsibilities of the Internal Audit function of a Country Unit and of Group Internal Audit function are covered in the Internal Audit Charter and are aligned with the (inter)national professional auditing standards. Internal Audit avoids any conflicts of interest and accesses the expertise and knowledge necessary to undertake work in respect of specialist business functions.

Internal Audit does not execute any operational duties for Aegon Nederland and will not review a business area or function in which they have had recent management or operational responsibility or are otherwise conflicted. The Aegon Nederland Chief Audit Executive reports to the Chief Executive Officer. To ensure the independence of the auditors and effective governance, the Aegon Nederland Chief Audit Executive has a reporting line to the Group Chief Audit Executive, as well as to the Chief Executive Officer of Aegon Nederland and Audit Committee of the Supervisory Board.

B.6. Actuarial function

The Actuarial Function Holder is positioned under the statutory board member who directs the department of Risk & Compliance, also the Chief Risk Officer (CRO). The AFH operates independently from the first line functions and other functions and reports (and escalates if necessary), next to the CRO, also periodically to the Statutory Management Board, to the Risk & Audit Committee of the Supervisory Board and to the Global Chief Actuary.

Aegon Nederland implemented various actuarial roles to ensure proper and efficient pricing and valuation of policyholder liabilities and to embed actuarial considerations in key management decisions in order to ensure continuity of Aegon Nederland and to support the creation of sustainable value for all our stakeholders.

B.7. Outsourcing

Aegon Nederland has outsourced certain critical and/or important operational functions or activities related to front-, mid- and back-office processes. As stated earlier all employees working at Aegon Levensverzekering are employed at and have a labor contract with Aegon Nederland. This also means that Aegon Levensverzekering has outsourced the key functions to Aegon Nederland. This relates to the functions, but key function holder responsibilities are assigned on legal entity level.

Outsourcing may affect business exposure to operational risk through material changes to, and reduced control over, people, processes and systems used in outsourced activities. Aegon Nederland has developed and formalized an outsourcing Risk Policy to ensure that outsourcing arrangements entered into by Aegon Nederland which can result in material risk are subject to appropriate due diligence, approval and on-going monitoring. All material risks arising from outsourcing activities should be appropriately managed to ensure that Aegon Nederland is able to meet both its financial and service obligations. The outsourcing risk policy will be further enforced and strengthened due to the implementation of the third-party risk management policy.

The policy applies to all entities and business units of Aegon Nederland, including arrangements where Aegon Nederland has a controlling interest in other business units and entities. Furthermore, both outsourcing arrangements with vendor/suppliers and internal outsourcing arrangements within a business unit or between business units of Aegon Nederland are in scope of this policy. Aegon Nederland has implemented the policy to ensure that outsourcing activities that can result in material risk are managed and under supervision of Aegon Nederland.

B.8. Any other information

All relevant information is covered in the previous sections.
C. Risk profile

General

This section is outlined as follows. The first subsection describes the risk assessment and measurement, sensitivity analyses and risk concentrations in general. The second subsection discusses the Prudent Person Principle, which relates to Market, Credit, Liquidity and Operational risk.

In subsections C.1 through C.5, more detailed information is provided on Underwriting, Market, Credit, Liquidity and Operational risk, respectively.

Finally, subsection C.6 comments on other risks and uncertainties.

Risk Assessment and Measurement: Solvency Capital Requirement

The assessment of Aegon Levensverzekering’s risk profile forms part of the ERM framework, which is discussed in section B.3. Within this framework, risk policies provide specific operating guidelines for Aegon Levensverzekering’s risk governance and risk tolerance statements. Aegon Levensverzekering complies with the risk policies of both Aegon Group and Aegon Nederland. The Aegon Nederland risk policies are tailored to fit local circumstances and therefore entail additional restrictions compared to the Group policies.

Within the ERM Framework, risk exposures are identified and quantified using Aegon Levensverzekering’s PIM. The PIM, which has been developed in close cooperation with Aegon Group, has been validated by Aegon Nederland’s Risk Function and approved by Aegon Levensverzekering’s supervisor DNB. The main output of the PIM is the SCR. The SCR is the minimum level of Eligible Own Funds (hereafter: Own Funds) required in accordance with Solvency II legislation to absorb unexpected developments in all risk exposures of Aegon Levensverzekering combined. It serves to ensure that obligations to policyholders can be met with a very high degree of certainty. When available Own Funds are in excess of the aggregate SCR, Aegon Levensverzekering will be able to meet obligations to policyholders with a likelihood of at least 99.5% over a period of one year.

The PIM contains separate modules for Market Risk, Counterparty Default Risk, Underwriting risk, and Operational Risk. A separate SCR is determined for each of them. Major risks within the PIM are assessed using an internally developed model. For the other risks, the Solvency II standard formula is applied.

The table below shows the components and the structure of Aegon Levensverzekering’s PIM, the amounts of the main risk types and whether the components have been developed internally or are based on the Solvency II standard formula.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
<th>Components description</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C.2 Market risk</td>
<td>Market risk (SF)</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Market risk (IM)</td>
<td>2,036</td>
<td>2,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>including DA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.3 Credit risk</td>
<td>Counterparty default risk (SF)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Counterparty default risk (IM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Life underwriting risk (SF)</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Life underwriting risk (IM)</td>
<td>1,439</td>
<td>2,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.1 Underwriting risk</td>
<td>Health underwriting risk (SF)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health underwriting risk (IM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-life underwriting risk (SF)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-life underwriting risk (IM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.5 Operational risk</td>
<td>Operational risk (SF) including De Hoop</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational risk (IM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.2.1 Solvency Capital Requirement</td>
<td>Diversification</td>
<td>-/- 2,029</td>
<td>-/- 2,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAC Deferred Taxes</td>
<td>-/- 597</td>
<td>-/- 457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SCR</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,978</td>
<td>3,459</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mitigating effects of diversification between risks, as well as the loss absorbing capacity of deferred taxes (LAC-DT) are taken into account in the aggregate SCR. Diversification exists as the degree to which different risks are related to one another is, in many cases, limited. As a result, the likelihood of severely adverse developments of all risks occurring within the same year is extremely remote. The impact of diversification is measured separately within the PIM. Further explanation on the LAC-DT and diversification is provided in Section E.2.1.

Furthermore, with regards to the methodology to derive the SCR, it should be noted that:

- The SCRs for Underwriting, Market, Credit and Operational risk include the exposures to these risks in the remaining Aegon Vastgoed subsidiaries, AMVEST joint ventures and Direct Lending B.V. The so-called ‘look through’ approach ensures assessment of the risks within these subsidiaries on a consistent basis with the exposures directly held by Aegon Levensverzekering.
- The risks related to other, smaller participations (as listed in section A) are included as Market risks, based on a reduced value of the participation in an adverse scenario.
- For Liquidity Risk, no SCR has been determined, as the Liquidity Risk policy ensures that sufficient liquidity is available with a very high degree of certainty over a period of two years. Liquidity risk is discussed further in section C.4.

**Solvency Ratio, Sensitivity Analysis & Stress Testing**

The Solvency ratio is the main indicator of the ability of Aegon Levensverzekering to meet all its obligations to policyholders and other stakeholders, as and when they fall due. It is defined as follows:

\[
\text{Solvency ratio} = \frac{\text{Own Funds}}{\text{SCR}}
\]

The Own Funds are the assets of the company, valued according to Solvency II principles, in excess of all obligations to policyholders as well as other liabilities that are not subordinated. Own Funds, SCR and Solvency ratio as of December 31, 2021 are shown below.

**Table: Own Funds & SCR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
<th>Own Funds</th>
<th>SCR</th>
<th>Solvency Ratio December 31, 2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,545</td>
<td>2,978</td>
<td>186%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The current Solvency ratio of 186% indicates that Aegon Levensverzekering operates in its target range (this range has a lower bound of 135%) of the SCR as specified in Aegon Levensverzekering’s Capital Management policy. Further details about this policy and the composition of the Own Funds can be found in chapter E.

In addition to the derivation of the SCR, Aegon Levensverzekering performs sensitivity analyses and stress testing on a regular basis to assess the impact of the scenarios considered in these tests.

**Sensitivity Analyses**

Sensitivity analyses are performed on a bi-annual basis. For a part of the market and mortality scenarios, including all sensitivities described below, a quarterly analysis is performed. In these analyses, the impact of instantaneous changes of external factors related to various risk types on Aegon Levensverzekering is assessed. For each sensitivity analysis, the immediate impact on Aegon Levensverzekering’s Solvency ratio as per year end 2021 is as follows:

**Table: Overview of sensitivity analyses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Change to Solvency ratio in Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5% decrease in Mortality rates</td>
<td>-/- 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate curve + 0.5%</td>
<td>-/- 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate curve +/- 0.5%</td>
<td>+/- 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 30 curve steepening + 0.1%</td>
<td>-/- 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25% increase in Equities</td>
<td>+/- 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25% decline in Equities</td>
<td>-/- 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit spreads – Non-Gov + 0.5%</td>
<td>-/- 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit spreads – Non-Gov +/- 0.5%</td>
<td>+/- 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit spreads – Gov +/- 0.5%</td>
<td>+/- 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit spreads – Gov +/- 0.5%</td>
<td>+/- 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage spreads + 0.5%</td>
<td>+/- 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage spreads +/- 0.5%</td>
<td>+/- 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIOPA VA + 5 bps</td>
<td>+/- 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIOPA VA +/- 5 bps</td>
<td>+/- 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss Absorbency Factor +/- 25%</td>
<td>+/- 13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFR down to 3.45%</td>
<td>+/- 6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The methods and outcomes of the sensitivity analyses are described in more detail by risk type in the next sections.
Extreme Event Scenarios

Aegon Levensverzekering develops extreme events scenarios on an annual basis. These scenarios are based on the position of Aegon Levensverzekering on June 30 of each year, and form part of the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA). The ORSA process is further discussed in section B.

In the extreme event scenarios, the impact of extreme but plausible scenarios is determined over a multi-year business planning period. Scenarios considered are for example a severe recession, adjustments to the Volatility Adjustment (VA) and the Ultimate Forward Rate (UFR), improvement of life expectancy and changes in laws and regulations.

In each scenario, the impact on net earnings, Own Funds, SCR and Solvency ratio is analysed, taking into account the mitigating impact of management actions or other applicable measures.

Risk Concentrations - Identification & Approach

Aegon Levensverzekering considers a Risk Concentration to be either one of the following types of exposure:

- A relatively high exposure to a single risk within a portfolio of risks. An example is a loan with a high amount to a single counterparty;
- An exposure to a large number of risks that exhibit a high degree of correlation with one another. An example is the outbreak of an epidemic that may cause a large number of deaths simultaneously.

Specific attention to concentration risk is needed in case its impact is not already reflected in the SCR, or another risk assessment method, of the risk type where it manifests itself. In this case, an additional amount of SCR for Concentration Risk may be required. If no SCR for the risk in question is accounted for, additional consideration must be given in case concentrations are not reflected in the original risk assessment.


Prudent Person Principle

The prudent person principle ensures that assets are managed on behalf of policyholders or other stakeholders in a prudent manner, and covers aspects that relate to market, credit, liquidity and operational risk.
The requirements related to the use of derivatives are specified in the Derivative Use Policy. Key principle here is that derivative programs should be documented and are used for risk mitigation purposes. In general, Aegon Levensverzekering manages the asset allocations to prudent levels on the basis of ALM and risk management frameworks.

The prudent person principle requires specific attention to be paid to assets that are not traded on regulated financial markets. In this category, mortgages are particularly relevant, as they form a major asset class in which, Aegon Levensverzekering holds investments. Within the Aegon Netherlands holding, of which Aegon Levensverzekering forms part, mortgage loans have been originated and serviced for over thirty years. Consequently, considerable expertise exists within Aegon Netherlands in these areas.

In addition, the prudent person principle requires that specific attention be given to illiquid assets. Illiquid assets held by Aegon Levensverzekering, including mortgages, form a good match with the illiquid profile of Aegon Levensverzekering’s liabilities. As such, these assets provide an excellent risk-return trade-off for Aegon Levensverzekering and its policyholders.

C. Risk profile

C.1. Underwriting risk

C.1.1. Description of the measures used to assess underwriting risks

Underwriting risk, sometimes referred to as “insurance risk”, arises from deviations of observed actuarial parameters from those used in product pricing assumptions. These are typically actuarial assumptions that cover policyholder behavior and claims. Underwriting risk is the result of both the inaccuracies in projecting liability cash flows over several future time periods, as well as fluctuations in the incidence of claims.

The material underwriting risks for Aegon Levensverzekering are mortality/longevity risk, policyholder behavior risk and expense risk.

Mortality/longevity risk

Mortality risk arises from economic losses due to mortality levels deviating from their expected levels (when mortality is lower than expected, this is referred to as longevity). Policyholders are typically grouped into different classes and each class is expected to have the same mortality rates. Best estimate assumptions are then determined for each policyholder class. Aegon is exposed to the risk that the best estimate assumptions are inaccurate.

Aegon Levensverzekering’s insurance portfolio contains policies that are at risk if mortality increases, such as term life insurance and policies that are at risk if mortality decreases (longevity risk) such as annuity and pension products.

Policyholder behavior risk

Policyholder behavior risk arises from economic losses due to policyholder behavior deviating from expectations. Insurance contracts typically provide policyholders with a variety of options that they may or may not exercise. Policyholder behavior risk is the risk that actual policyholder behavior deviates from the assumptions built into the reserve calculations. This includes assumptions about lapses, withdrawals, premium payment levels, allocation of funds, and the utilization of possible options in the products.

The above-mentioned elements of policyholder behavior risk all relate to insurance contracts. The prepayment risk on mortgages constitutes another important component of policyholder behavior risk; it is the risk of higher or lower prepayments that anticipated, including early redemption rates, thus impacting the value of the mortgage portfolio.

In general, Aegon Levensverzekering is at risk if policy lapses decrease as in some cases higher claim payments including guaranteed returns have to be provided.

Expense risk

Expense risk is the risk that the expenses arising from servicing (re)insurance contracts develop differently than expected. Various types of expense risk are distinguished:

- Expense inflation risk is the risk that expenses inflate at a higher rate than that assumed in the calculation of the technical provisions. It does not cover the risk of general price inflation increases, which would be covered by mismatch risk; and
- Expense level risk is the risk that unexpected changes in maintenance expenses for in-force business will occur (assuming that the volumes of business are unchanged from best estimate assumptions). The risk therefore corresponds to an increase in the total expenses spread among the same number of policies – i.e., the per policy expenses increase. It is effectively the change in the best estimate expense assumption given a 1-in-200-year expense event.

Most expenses Aegon Levensverzekering has within its business will be subject to expense risk if not contractually defined. These types of expenses may include salaries, office space, software licenses and fees to intermediaries.
Underwriting risk assessment
Aegon Levensverzekering monitors and manages its underwriting risk by underwriting risk type. Attribution analyses are performed on earnings and reserve movements to understand the source of any material variation in actual results compared to expectations. Aegon Levensverzekering also performs experience studies for underwriting risk assumptions, comparing Aegon Levensverzekering’s experience and industry experience as well as combining Aegon Levensverzekering’s experience and industry experience based on the depth of the history of each source for use in Aegon Levensverzekering’s underwriting assumptions. Where policy charges are flexible in products, Aegon Levensverzekering uses these analyses as the basis for modifying these charges, with a view to maintain a balance between policyholder and shareholder interests. Aegon Levensverzekering also has the ability to reduce expense levels over time, thus mitigating unfavorable expense variation.

C.1.2. Risk Concentrations
In addition to the risk tolerance limits as described in Chapter B.3, it’s common practice to address ‘concentration’ of risk on insured lives, using a risk limit per individual life (or joint lives). The exposures on a few lives with a much higher risk than the average in the portfolio can create too high a volatility in the results. Limiting such exposures reduces the impact of process risk and also increases the stability of the underwriting results. These risk limits per single life (or joint lives) will be further referred to as ‘retention limits’.

The retention limits are typically chosen in such a way that the remaining exposure is acceptable, relative to the size of the earnings and the size of the balance sheet of the company. Risk mitigation and managing compliance with the retention limits can be achieved by reinsurance (external or internal), by the underwriting process or by the product design.

C.1.3. Risk mitigation techniques used for underwriting risks
Aegon Levensverzekering has put in place a number of reinsurance contracts with external parties that mitigate its exposure to underwriting risk. Reinsurance helps Aegon Levensverzekering to manage, mitigate and diversify its insurance risks, and limit the maximum loss it may incur in an adverse scenario.

Reinsurance
Per December 31, 2021 Aegon Levensverzekering has two longevity reinsurance swap agreements in place that provide protection against the longevity risk associated with the Defined Benefit pension liabilities. A first reinsurance agreement is in place with Canada Life Re since year-end 2019, and a second similar agreement is in place with Reinsurance Group of America since year-end 2021.

Both agreements cover the longevity risk associated with Aegon’s actual policyholders, including both deferred pensioners as well as in-payment policies of pensioners and dependents during the full run-off of these policies. Additionally, both agreements are collateralised to mitigate any potential counterparty risk and the agreements have no impact on the services and guarantees that Aegon provides to its policyholders.

Together, these two agreements mitigate approximately 40% of the longevity risk exposure of Aegon Levensverzekering. As such, these agreements strongly reduce the concentration of Aegon’s risk exposure in longevity risk.

Mortality Risk
Aegon Levensverzekering has reinsured a share of the mortality risk associated with its term life assurance book through quota-share reinsurance agreements with Reinsurance Group of America and Munich Re.

C.1.4. Risk sensitivity for underwriting risks
The main underwriting risk Aegon Levensverzekering is exposed to, is longevity risk, i.e. the risk that life expectancy improves and policyholders will live longer. As a result, policyholders who are entitled to pension benefits after retirement, will receive these benefits during a longer period.

On the other hand, payments to policyholders holding coverage of death benefits, such as Term and Whole Life Insurance, are expected to decline when life expectancy improves.

In the sensitivity scenario for longevity, the impact of a 5% decrease of mortality rates by age cohort in all future years is analyzed, including coverage of pension as well as death benefits. The impact on the Solvency ratio is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Change to Solvency ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rates +/- 5%</td>
<td>+/- 8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Expected future benefits to policyholders increase markedly, as the increase in future pension benefits outweighs the reduction of death benefit payments. As a result, the value of obligations to policyholders increases and the value of Own Funds declines. The SCR will also increase, as the total risk exposure increases in line with the increase in future benefits to policyholders. In total, the Solvency ratio declines by 8%-points.

C.2. Market risk

C.2.1. Description of the measures used to assess market risks

As a life insurance company, Aegon Levensverzekering is exposed to a variety of risks. Aegon Levensverzekering’s largest exposures are to changes in financial markets (e.g. fixed-income market, equity market, interest rate risk and credit risk relating to investments). When market prices fall, the value of Aegon Levensverzekering’s investments is reduced. For certain products, Aegon Levensverzekering’s insurance liabilities may also increase, as investments held for the benefit of policyholders reduce in value. In addition, the value of future fee income potential reduces. The cost of insurance liabilities is also determined with reference to interest rates and the liabilities associated with long term benefits (such as annuities) increase and decrease as interest rates fall and rise.

To align with the SCR in QRT S.25.02 and section E, we only discuss Counterparty Default Risk (as defined in the Delegated Regulation) in section C.3. More generally, we consider the term ‘credit risk’ to also include spread risk, migration risk and default risks, and market concentration risk related to financial investments. To align with QRT S.25.02 throughout the SFCR, these other components of credit risk are discussed in this section.

Further explanations of the material market risk components are provided below.

Credit risk

Internally Aegon considers credit risk to consist of the following three components:

- **Spread risk**: the risk that the value of bonds reduces due to a general widening of credit spreads;
- **Migration risk**: the risk that the rating of bonds fall due to an increased risk of default and as a consequence their value falls; and
- **Default risk**: the risk that the counterparty fails to meet agreed obligations.

For general account products, Aegon typically bears the risk for investment performance and is exposed to credit risk in the fixed income portfolio and over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives.

Aegon is also indirectly exposed to credit risk on separate account investments held for the benefit of policyholders. Credit losses reduce account values, leading to lower fee income to Aegon. For certain products, Aegon has also provided guarantees to protect customers against the risk of losses in the separate account. For these benefits Aegon is directly exposed to separate account credit losses.

**Interest rate risk (Mismatch risk)**

Aegon Levensverzekering bears interest rate risk in many of its products. In cases where cash flows are highly predictable, investing in assets that closely match the cash flow profile of the liabilities can offset this risk. For some products, cash flows are less predictable as a result of policyholder actions that can be affected by the level of interest rates.

Aegon is exposed to interest rate risk, as both its assets and liabilities are sensitive to movements in long- and short-term interest rates. The majority of Aegon Levensverzekering’s products are long-term in nature and consequently low interest rates, or high interest rate volatility may adversely affect Aegon Levensverzekering’s profitability and shareholders’ equity. It is also the case that a steepening of the interest rate curve could have negative consequences for Aegon Levensverzekering. For example, in such a scenario surrenders and withdrawals may increase. This activity may result in cash payments by Aegon requiring the sale of invested assets at a time when the prices of those assets have fallen due to the increase in market interest rates.

**Currency risk**

Aegon Levensverzekering faces limited currency exchange risk on policies denominated in a currency other than the Euro. Currency risk in the investment portfolios is managed using asset liability matching principles and hedged as much as possible.

**Equity market risk and other investments risk**

A decline in equity markets may adversely affect Aegon Levensverzekering’s profitability and shareholders’ equity, sales of savings and investment products, and the amount of assets under management. Declining market values of equity investments may constitute a risk for both Aegon and its customers. Exposure to equity markets exists in both assets and liabilities. Asset exposure exists through direct equity investments in which Aegon bears all or most of the volatility in returns and investment performance risk. The existence of direct equity risk is very limited, as defined by Aegon Levensverzekering’s Risk policies.
Equity market exposure is also present in policyholders’ accounts for insurance and investment contracts in which funds are invested in equities. Although most of the risk remains with the policyholder, guarantees within certain products may transfer some or all of this risk to Aegon. Lower investment returns also reduce the asset management fee that Aegon earns on the asset balance in these products, and prolonged investment under-performance may cause existing customers to withdraw funds and potential customers not to grant investment mandates.

Some of Aegon Levensverzekering’s insurance business have minimum return or accumulation guarantees that require Aegon to establish reserves to fund these future guaranteed benefits when equity market returns do not meet or exceed these guarantee levels. Deteriorating general economic conditions may again result in a significant decrease in the value of Aegon’s equity investments.

**Deterministic adjustment**

In 2020 Aegon Levensverzekering identified improvements to its internal model that mitigate volatility caused by the basis risk between the EIOPA VA reference portfolio and its own asset portfolio. These improvements were implemented for year-end reporting 2020 onwards and they will be in place until changes arising from the Solvency II review are enacted. The Deterministic adjustment has been included in QRT S.25.02 under Market risk as a separate risk type.

**C.2.2. Risk Concentrations**

Concentration of market risks could occur in case relatively high amounts are invested in a single security, or where a collection of highly correlated investments is held. Aegon Levensverzekering specifically manages concentration risk within the investment portfolio to mitigate concentration risks. Where concentrations risks exist nonetheless, an additional amount of SCR is determined.

**C.2.3. Risk mitigation techniques used for Market risks**

Aegon Levensverzekering has generally positive income benefits from equity market increases and negative impacts from equity market declines as it earns fees on policyholder account balances and in certain cases provides minimum guarantees for account values. Hedging of exposures may change those effects significantly and equity hedges are used extensively to manage the equity market risk related to products with guarantees that have underlying equity funds.

Aegon Levensverzekering sets a limit on equity risk. Hedging programs are in place that are designed to manage the risks within these defined limits. Equity hedge programs use equity options to provide protection against the impact of equity market declines.

Aegon Levensverzekering employs sophisticated interest rate measurement techniques. Fixed income assets along with interest rate swap and swaption derivatives are used extensively to manage the interest rate risk exposure. Aegon Levensverzekering sets a limit on interest rate risk. All derivative use is governed by Aegon’s Derivative Use Policy.

Aegon Levensverzekering sets a limit on currency risk. Aegon Levensverzekering does not engage in direct currency speculation or program trading and any assets or liabilities not in the functional currency of the business are hedged back to that currency. In any case where this is not possible or practical, the remaining currency exposure is controlled by limits on total exposure.

Aegon Levensverzekering manages credit risk exposure by individual counterparty, sector and asset class, including cash positions through its ERM framework as described in section B.3 Risk management system. Different exposures are mitigated with derivatives as described below.

**Credit risk mitigation**

Aegon Levensverzekering mitigates credit risk in derivative contracts by entering into a credit support agreement, where practical, and in ISDA (International Swaps and Derivatives Association) master netting agreements. The counterparties to these transactions are investment banks that are typically rated ‘A’ or higher. The credit support agreement generally dictates the threshold over which collateral needs to be pledged by Aegon Levensverzekering or its counterpart.

Transactions requiring Aegon Levensverzekering or its counterparty to post collateral are typically the result of derivative trades, comprised mostly of interest rate swaps, equity swaps, currency swaps, credit swaps and other bilateral exposure derivatives. Collateral received is mainly cash. The credit support agreements that outline the acceptable collateral require high quality instruments to be posted.

**C.2.4. Risk Sensitivity for Market risks**

For Market Risk, the following sensitivity tests are performed on a quarterly basis with respect to credit spreads, interest rates and equity prices.

Increase (decrease) of interest rates by 0.5%-point

Interest rates used for the valuation of assets are increased (decreased) by 0.5% through a parallel shift across the entire yield curve. Assets affected include bonds, loans, mortgages, and derivatives. Derivatives form part of Aegon Levensverzekering’s hedge program to mitigate interest rate risk.
For technical provisions, only interest rates for maturities up to 20 years are increased (decreased) by 0.5%. For technical provisions with maturities longer than 20 years, interest rates converge from the increased (decreased) 20-year rate to a fixed rate derived from the UFR (of 3.60% at year-end 2021). Liabilities other than the technical provisions are not affected.

The impact of these scenarios is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Change to Solvency ratio in Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate curve + 0.5%</td>
<td>-/- 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate curve +/- 0.5%</td>
<td>+ 7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interest rate risk is driven by the mismatch between interest rate sensitivities of assets and interest rate sensitivities of liabilities on the savings and pension business. Interest rate risk is partially offset by the interest rate hedge program. In terms of solvency ratio, Aegon Levensverzekering is exposed to a steepening of interest rates.

In a decreasing interest rate scenario, the residual interest rate mismatch will have a positive impact on the Own Funds. The positive impact offsets the increase of the SCR. Interest rates have a large impact on the SCR for spread risk because both the market value and the duration are affected. These two effects reinforce each other.

In an increasing interest rate scenario, the residual interest rate mismatch will have a negative impact on the Own Funds. Interest rates have a large impact on the SCR for spread risk because both the market value and the duration are affected. These two effects reinforce each other. When interest rates increase, we see a decrease in SCR. The positive impact of a lower SCR offsets the decrease in the Own Funds.

20 – 30 curve steepening + 0.10%

In the interest rate steepening scenario, the asset valuation curve is shocked with +0.10% after the last liquid point. The steepening is applied for maturities between 20 and 30 years through a linear increase from 0 to +0.10%. A steepening of the curve has a negative impact on Own Funds as a result of the impact on the valuation of the assets. The steepening does not have any effect on the valuation of the Solvency II liabilities, as the last liquid point of the Solvency II curve is at 20 years.

The impact of the scenario’s is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Change to Solvency ratio in Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 – 30 curve steepening +</td>
<td>-/- 7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase (decrease) in equity prices by 25%

In these scenarios, an overall increase (decrease) of all equities on the balance sheet of Aegon Levensverzekering is assumed. Aegon Levensverzekering is exposed to equity risk arising from its own equity investments, the unit-linked portfolio with guarantees and fee income that depends on the amount of unit-linked assets. Both the equity exposure of guarantees and fee income are part of the investment hedge program.

In an increasing equity scenario, the residual mismatch risk on listed equity arising from the unit-linked portfolio and the gains on (mainly) private equity investments will have a positive impact on Own Funds.

In a decreasing equity scenario, both the residual mismatch risk on listed equity arising from the unit-linked portfolio and the losses on (mainly) private equity investments will have a negative impact on Own Funds. The capital requirement is driven both by the guarantee provision and the (mainly) private equity investments. The guarantee provision will increase as a result of lower asset value and as a consequence the capital requirement for investment risks (mainly spread risk) will be higher. The capital requirement for (mainly) private equity investments decreases because the value of the portfolio decreases.

The impact of the scenario’s is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Change to Solvency ratio in Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equities + 25%</td>
<td>-/- 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equities +/- 25%</td>
<td>-/- 2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity to Non-Government Credit Spreads

In this scenario, the credit spreads on mortgage loans, other loans and bonds excluding government bonds increase (decrease) by 0.5%-point. The increase in credit spreads results in a lower value of the mortgages, corporate bond and loan portfolios. The SCR will decrease as the exposure decreased due to higher spreads.

The net impact of lower Own Funds and lower SCR leads to a decrease in the Solvency ratio by 11%-points. In a scenario where credit spreads decrease by 0.5%, an opposite impact can be observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Change to Solvency ratio in Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credit Spreads – Non-Gov + 0.5%</td>
<td>-/- 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Spreads – Non-Gov +/- 0.5%</td>
<td>+ 11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sensitivity to Government Spreads
In this scenario, the spreads on government bonds increase (decrease) by 0.5%-point. The increase in spreads results in a lower value of the government bonds. The SCR will decrease as the exposure decreased due to higher spreads.

The net impact of lower Own Funds and lower SCR leads to an increase of the Solvency ratio by 6%-points. In a scenario where credit spreads decrease by 0.5%, an opposite impact can be observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Change to Solvency ratio in Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Credit Spreads – Gov + 0.5%</td>
<td>+6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Spreads – Gov -/- 0.5%</td>
<td>-/- 3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity to Mortgage Credit Spreads
In this scenario, credit spreads on mortgage investments increase (decrease) by 0.5%-point. All other assumptions, including the VA that impacts the liabilities, remain unchanged given that the composition of this VA is not dependent on mortgage spreads. The SCR impact includes the Deterministic Adjustment (DA).

In a scenario where mortgage spreads increase by 0.5%-point, Own Funds decline due to a lower value of the mortgage portfolio. The SCR also decreases as the impact of the SCR shock is applied to a lower base value. As a result, the Solvency ratio declines by 6%-points. In a scenario where mortgage spreads decrease by 0.5%-point an opposite impact can be observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Change to Solvency ratio in Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Spreads + 0.5%</td>
<td>-/- 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortgage Spreads -/- 0.5%</td>
<td>+ 6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity to EIOPA VA
In this scenario, the EIOPA VA increases (decreases) by 5 basis points. All other assumptions remain unchanged.

The change in the EIOPA VA significantly affects technical provisions and therefore the Own Funds. The SCR impact includes the Deterministic Adjustment (DA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Change to Solvency ratio in Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EIOPA VA + 5 bps</td>
<td>-/- 1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIOPA VA +/- 5 bps</td>
<td>+ 1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.3. Credit risk (Counterparty Default Risk)

C.3.1. Description of the measures used to assess credit risks
To align with the SCR in QRT S.25.02 and chapter E, we only discuss Counterparty Default Risk (as defined in the Delegated Regulation) in section C.3. More generally, we consider the term ‘credit risk’ to also include spread risk, migration risk and default risk (market risk concentration) relating to financial investments. To keep this alignment with QRT S.25.02 consistent throughout the SFCR, these other components of credit risk are discussed instead in section C.2 Market risk.

Counterparty default risk mainly covers exposure to risk mitigating contracts (such as reinsurance), cash at bank and receivables for which capital is calculated under the standard formula.

C.3.2. Risk Concentrations
Concentration within Counterparty Default risk could occur in case relatively high amounts are outstanding with a single counterparty, or if default risks of many counterparties are highly correlated.

An important measure to avoid concentration within Counterparty Default risk is to diversify and limit exposure to individual issuers. More specifically, Aegon Levensverzekering has put in place a policy to limit the aggregate exposure to any single counterparty. Exposures are monitored on a weekly basis and any potential violations of exposure limits must be reduced on short notice. Concentration in exposures is managed by setting limits on risk types and single counterparties, by testing extreme scenarios in the Budget/MTP process.

As a result, no Risk Concentrations within Counterparty Default Risk have been identified as per December 31, 2021.

C.3.3. Risk mitigation techniques used for Counterparty Default risks
Counterparty risk embedded in derivatives transactions are contained with strong collateral processes that Aegon Levensverzekering has put in place in all of its derivatives, through the use of high-quality collateral. Central clearing for parts of the derivatives markets has increased the collateral requirements and reduced counterparty risk.

C.3.4. Risk sensitivity for Counterparty Default risks
Given the relatively small amount of the SCR for Counterparty Default Risk, no specific sensitivities have been determined.
The stress scenario develops over a two-year period. Extreme withdrawals of liabilities occur as a result of an immediate major downgrade of both Aegon Nederland’s long term financial health and short-term credit rating. Furthermore, assets suffer an immediate capital market shock resulting in an inability to sell investments other than ‘highly liquid’ ones, over a one-year period. Assets and liabilities experience an instantaneous upwards shock to the risk-free interest rate by 1.5%-points, which increases linearly to 3%-points after exactly one year. In addition, the value of non-highly liquid investments decreases further as a result of a credit spread shock of 1.5%-points. The initial margin requirements are also instantaneously shocked by 75% which linearly goes to 0% after exactly one year. Furthermore, a mortality shock of 15% is assumed, leading to a material amount of experience collateral to be posted by Aegon Levensverzekering as a result of the reinsurance contracts of Aegon Levensverzekering.

In this scenario, available liquidity remains in excess of required liquidity over the entire two-year period. The following table shows available and required liquidity, prior to occurrence of the stress scenario at the end of 2021, and the liquidity up to and including the end of 2023 after the occurrence of the stress scenario compared to the excess liquidity per December 31, 2020. The main decrease comes from the change in Available Liquidity. This is the consequence of a change in the asset portfolio according to the investment strategy, where there is a move from Euro Sovereign Bonds to other less liquid asset classes. Furthermore, the initial margin requirements for cleared derivatives at Eurex are increased leading to higher Required Liquidity and initial margin requirements were introduced for non-cleared derivatives (the UMR, Uncleared Margin Rules).

### Stress Testing for Liquidity Risks

- **Base scenario**, assuming current market conditions; this is the ‘business as usual’ situation;
- **Stressed liquidity scenario**, in which both liabilities and assets are stressed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>December 31, 2021</th>
<th>December 31, 2021 Stress scenario</th>
<th>December 31, 2023 Stress scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available Liquidity</td>
<td>14,148</td>
<td>12,475</td>
<td>26,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required Liquidity</td>
<td>1,917</td>
<td>8,838</td>
<td>16,916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Liquidity</td>
<td>12,230</td>
<td>3,637</td>
<td>9,497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C.4.2. Risk Concentrations**

The described stressed liquidity scenario can be regarded as a concentration with respect to liquidity risk. The liquidity risk policy requires that sufficient liquid assets are available in this scenario.
C.4.3. Risk mitigation techniques used for liquidity risks
In 2018, two liquidity facilities were established with third parties. Only one of the facilities is still available per year-end 2021. This facility will only be used in case of a liquidity stress event. The facilities were established to facilitate the transition of the asset portfolio to partly more illiquid assets. The current facility provides an extra of EUR 500 million buffer of excess liquidity in the stress scenario. There are no additional measures undertaken in 2021.

C.4.4. Expected Profit in Future Premiums (EPFIP)
EPFIP reflects the current value of the net cash flow expected to arise from in-force contracts until the end date of each contract. Note that the EPFIP is determined only for contracts where such a value is positive. EPFIP forms part of the technical provisions of Aegon Levensverzekering, where a positive EPFIP value leads to a reduction of the total technical provisions. The total amount of the Expected Profit in Future Premiums (EPFIP) amounts to EUR 573 million at year end 2021. Note that this value does not reflect derivatives contracts that have been put in place in previous years to hedge against the risk of low interest rates.

C.4.5. Risk sensitivity for liquidity risks
The sensitivity to liquidity risk is tested using the stressed liquidity scenario described above. The result of the calculation of the stressed liquidity scenario contains the separate components that contribute to liquidity use in such a scenario. This gives insight in the sensitivity of the liquidity position to these separate components. Besides the described stressed liquidity scenario additional sensitivities for that scenario are also tested. The sensitivities tested comprise of the most material liquidity risks for Aegon Levensverzekering.

C.5. Operational risk
C.5.1. Description of the measures used to assess operational risks
Operational risk is defined as the risk of losses resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes and controls, people and systems or from external events. These definitions highlight the four causes of operational risk events: (1) external events; (2) inadequate or failing processes and controls; (3) people; and (4) systems.
### Legal and Compliance risk

Legal and compliance risk is the risk that losses occur due to non-voluntary legal liabilities, inadequate legal documentation, inadequate patenting of brands and intellectual property, and the risk of impairment to the organization’s business model, reputation, integrity and financial condition, resulting from failure to comply with laws, regulations and internal company rules and policies, as well as late identification of significant legal and regulatory developments, possibly resulting in an inability to influence the final outcome.

### Processing risk

Processing risk is the risk of losses due to inadequate or failing administrative processes and related internal controls, capturing of source data, reporting errors, modeling errors and failing outsourcing and supplier arrangements.

### Business risk

Business risk is the risk of losses due to failed or inadequate strategy execution, marketing and sales practices, distribution channels, pricing, investment returns, handling of customer complaints, or late reaction to changes in the business environment.

### Tax risk

Tax risk is the risk of losses due to fiscal authorities challenging Aegon Levensverzekering’s tax treatment of transactions on technical grounds or as a result of inconsistent argumentation, imperfections in the tax planning, concentration risk and late identification of significant tax developments in relevant jurisdictions, possibly resulting in an inability to influence the final outcome.

### Financial crime risk

Financial crime risk is the risk of losses due to a wrongful act, omission, breach of duty or trust, intentionally performed by an employee, intermediary or external party, which potentially could or results in a disadvantage to Aegon Levensverzekering or another.

### People risk

People risk is the risk of losses due to inadequate or failing employee practices (including discrimination, wrongful termination, and sexual harassment) and consideration for employees’ health and well-being, including workplace safety.

### Facility risk

Facility risk is the risk of losses due to inadequate or failing physical asset management (including physical security incidents and inefficient procurement) and events causing damage to physical assets (vandalism, water damage, fire, explosions, etc.).

### Systems risk

Systems risk and business disruption risk is the risk of losses due to inadequate or failed business continuity planning, back-up and recovery, fallback arrangements, information security, IT maintenance and change management, identification of relevant technological developments and other technical causes for systems related failures and errors.

### Table: Types of Operational risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legal and Compliance</td>
<td><strong>Legal and compliance risk</strong> is the risk that losses occur due to non-voluntary legal liabilities, inadequate legal documentation, inadequate patenting of brands and intellectual property, and the risk of impairment to the organization’s business model, reputation, integrity and financial condition, resulting from failure to comply with laws, regulations and internal company rules and policies, as well as late identification of significant legal and regulatory developments, possibly resulting in an inability to influence the final outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing risk</td>
<td><strong>Processing risk</strong> is the risk of losses due to inadequate or failing administrative processes and related internal controls, capturing of source data, reporting errors, modeling errors and failing outsourcing and supplier arrangements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business risk</td>
<td><strong>Business risk</strong> is the risk of losses due to failed or inadequate strategy execution, marketing and sales practices, distribution channels, pricing, investment returns, handling of customer complaints, or late reaction to changes in the business environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax risk</td>
<td><strong>Tax risk</strong> is the risk of losses due to fiscal authorities challenging Aegon Levensverzekering’s tax treatment of transactions on technical grounds or as a result of inconsistent argumentation, imperfections in the tax planning, concentration risk and late identification of significant tax developments in relevant jurisdictions, possibly resulting in an inability to influence the final outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial crime risk</td>
<td><strong>Financial crime risk</strong> is the risk of losses due to a wrongful act, omission, breach of duty or trust, intentionally performed by an employee, intermediary or external party, which potentially could or results in a disadvantage to Aegon Levensverzekering or another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People risk</td>
<td><strong>People risk</strong> is the risk of losses due to inadequate or failing employee practices (including discrimination, wrongful termination, and sexual harassment) and consideration for employees’ health and well-being, including workplace safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility risk</td>
<td><strong>Facility risk</strong> is the risk of losses due to inadequate or failing physical asset management (including physical security incidents and inefficient procurement) and events causing damage to physical assets (vandalism, water damage, fire, explosions, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systems risk</td>
<td><strong>Systems risk</strong> and business disruption risk is the risk of losses due to inadequate or failed business continuity planning, back-up and recovery, fallback arrangements, information security, IT maintenance and change management, identification of relevant technological developments and other technical causes for systems related failures and errors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Operational risk is inherent to Aegon Levensverzekering’s business and may manifest itself in many ways, including business interruption, poor vendor performance, information systems malfunctions or failures, regulatory breaches, processing errors, modeling errors, and/or internal and external fraud. These events may result in financial loss, harm Aegon Levensverzekering’s reputation, or hinder Aegon Levensverzekering’s operational effectiveness.

Aegon Levensverzekering’s approach to operational risk assessment is based on scenario analysis. Aegon Levensverzekering utilizes this approach for internal monitoring and quantification of operational risk. Risk identification takes place through periodic Risk (& Control) Self Assessments (RSAs or RCSAs) to get an understanding of business objectives and identification of operational risks for realizing these objectives.

### C.5.2. Risk Concentrations

Operational risk concentration can occur where specific risk exposures are in excess of operational risk appetite. Aegon Levensverzekering’s management maintains a well-controlled environment and sound (conduct) policies and practices to control these risks and keep operational risk at appropriate levels. Operational risk capital (ORC) is held on the basis of the economic framework model and is determined annually. Operational risk for Aegon is dominated by the following material risk concentrations:

- Legal, regulatory, conduct & compliance; and
- Processing risk.
Legal, regulatory, conduct & compliance risk
ORC is held on the basis of potential but unlikely extreme loss events such as punitive damages issued by a court resulting from accusations of corporate misconduct, substantial changed legislation due to regulatory regime change, or inability to enforce policy terms. Further details are provided in Section D.5.

Processing risk
ORC is held on the basis of potential but unlikely extreme loss events such as a material financial misstatement, non-payment of claims by reinsurer, modelling errors, or failure of an outsourcing partner.

C.5.3. Risk mitigation techniques used for operational risks
Operational risks at Aegon are mitigated by maintaining a strong risk control framework and culture. Please refer to section B.4.2 for a detailed description of the compliance OCRM risk framework. All operational risks that are assessed as exceeding the set risk tolerance levels require management to determine a risk response in terms of accepting, controlling, transferring or avoiding risks.

No specific risk mitigation techniques, in the form of contracts with third parties, are currently in place for Operational risk exposures, nor under consideration for purchase.

C.5.4. Risk sensitivity for Operational risk
Stress testing and sensitivity analysis for Operational risk are performed in the form of scenario analyses as described above.

C.6. Other Material Risks
Aegon Levensverzekering has identified a number of uncertainties that may have a material impact on the valuation of its obligations and the level of the SCR in the near future. These are not included in the descriptions of the separate risk types. The identified uncertainties are:
1. Adjustments to the LAC-DT;
2. Adjustments to the UFR.

C.6.1. Loss Absorbing Capacity of Deferred Taxes
An indirect risk that is considered by Aegon Levensverzekering is an adjustment to the LAC-DT, as explained at the beginning of this chapter. In the following scenario, the impact of a reduction of the LAC-DT factor by 25%-points is shown.

\[
\text{Loss Absorbency Factor } -/- 25\% \quad \text{Scenario} \quad \text{Change to} \quad \text{Solvency ratio in Scenario} \\
\text{Solvency ratio in Scenario: } -/- 13\% \\
\]

In this scenario, Own Funds are not affected as no loss or change in value of assets or liabilities is assumed. Only the SCR increases as a result of the reduced recoverability of taxes in case a large loss were to occur. As a result, the Solvency ratio declines by 13%-points.

C.6.2. Adjustment of the Ultimate Forward Rate
The UFR is the risk-free interest rate over a one-year period that is expected after an extremely long period, i.e. after 60 years. It is used in combination with market observed interest rates up to 20 years, to derive interest rates for maturities longer than 20 years.

In 2021 the UFR has been set at 3.60% by the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (“EIOPA”). It is based on historically observed real interest rates in combination with long term inflation expectations. In 2017, EIOPA proposed a gradual adjustment of the UFR over a number of years, including a decrease to 3.45% in 2022.

In the scenario shown below, the impact of an immediate adjustment of the UFR from 3.60% to 3.45% is quantified.

\[
\text{UFR down to 3.45\%} \\
\text{Change to Solvency ratio in Scenario} \\
\text{Solvency ratio in Scenario: } -/- 6\% \\
\]

The reduction of the UFR leads to a reduction in Own Funds due to higher insurance obligations. At the same time, the overall SCR increases as a result of the increase in underwriting risk exposure. In total, the ratio of Own Funds over SCR decreases by 6%-points in the UFR down to 3.45% scenario.
C.7. Any other information

Since January 2020, the Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak is causing significant disruption to society, impacting Aegon, its employees, suppliers and customers worldwide. Financial markets have been severely impacted by significant decreases in interest rates, equity markets and commodity prices, and by credit spreads widening. Governments and central banks worldwide are responding to this crisis with aid packages and further quantitative easing. Given the extraordinary circumstances resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic, Aegon’s key priority is to protect the health, safety and security of its employees, and fulfil its responsibilities towards its stakeholders.

Furthermore, Aegon is continuously monitoring the market and economic turbulence that has arisen as a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic, and its impact on Aegon. The most significant financial risks Aegon faces are related to financial markets (particularly credit, equity and interest rates), and to a lesser extent underwriting as Aegon Levensverzekering is especially exposed to longevity risk. Chapter C includes elaborate descriptions and related financial market and underwriting sensitivities.

Aegon has responded pro-actively to the pandemic with the establishment of Crisis Management teams across the business to manage the business implications of the pandemic and has conducted a range of scenario assessments to allow us to understand the financial implications and plan our response. As a result of the uncertainties triggered by COVID-19 pandemic, Aegon has taken measures to increase financial flexibility in order to conserve resources for the benefit of policyholder protection.

On February 24, 2022, Russia invaded Ukraine, which caused a humanitarian crisis and is also impacting global financial markets and causing economic turbulence. At the date of this report the depth and length of this war is unknown, and the situation is changing rapidly from day to day.

Aegon is closely monitoring the financial markets and economic turbulence that has arisen as a consequence of the situation and the related international sanctions, and its impact on Aegon. The most significant risks Aegon faces are related to financial markets, particularly from volatility in credit, equity and interest rates. The notes to Aegon’s financial statements include elaborate descriptions and related financial market sensitivities. Aegon is actively managing its risks and capital position to maintain a robust balance sheet, as the Company navigates through the uncertainty created by the current geopolitical situation. The Company is on high alert status to help ensure the safety and well-being of its staff, as well as its capability to support its customers, while maintaining our financial and operational resilience.

Aegon Levensverzekering has no direct operations in Russia and Ukraine. As at March 3, 2022, Aegon Levensverzekering’s direct investment exposure in the company’s general account portfolio to Russia and Ukraine is to mainly corporates and amounts to approximately EUR 3 million to Russia and no exposure to Ukraine. The combined exposure to Russia and Ukraine on investments for account of policyholders with guarantees amounts to approximately EUR 8 million. In March 2022, Aegon has announced that it will not make future investments in Russia or Belarus-based companies and has updated its Responsible Investment Policy accordingly.
D. Valuation for Solvency Purposes

In chapter D, the valuation for Solvency purposes is disclosed and the differences compared to the valuation under IFRS in the annual report will be addressed. The balance sheet is that of Aegon Levensverzekering on a stand-alone basis, in line with Solvency II regulations concerning disclosure of QRT 02.01. The overall balance sheet under Solvency II and under IFRS statutory reporting is shown below.

**Table: Balance Sheet (in EUR million)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Solvency II value</th>
<th>Statutory accounts value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred acquisition costs</td>
<td>D.1.2.1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts)</td>
<td>D.1.2.2</td>
<td>35,706</td>
<td>34,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property (other than assets for own use)</td>
<td>D.1.2.3</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>2,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holdings in related undertakings, including participations</td>
<td>D.1.2.4</td>
<td>1,826</td>
<td>1,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>D.1.2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>D.1.2.6</td>
<td>21,972</td>
<td>21,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective Investments Undertakings</td>
<td>D.1.2.7</td>
<td>2,655</td>
<td>1,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivatives</td>
<td>D.1.2.8</td>
<td>6,489</td>
<td>6,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits other than cash equivalents</td>
<td>D.1.2.9</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other investments</td>
<td>D.1.2.10</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts</td>
<td>D.1.2.11</td>
<td>24,725</td>
<td>24,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans and mortgages</td>
<td>D.1.2.12</td>
<td>21,787</td>
<td>20,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinsurance recoverables</td>
<td>D.2.7</td>
<td>-/- 560</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits to cedants</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance and intermediaries receivables</td>
<td></td>
<td>119</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinsurance receivables</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables (trade, not insurance)</td>
<td>D.1.2.13</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>D.1.2.14</td>
<td>1,814</td>
<td>1,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other assets, not elsewhere shown</td>
<td>D.1.2.15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>83,956</strong></td>
<td><strong>82,025</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The difference between equity as shown in the financial statements and the Solvency II value excess of assets over liabilities is explained in section E.1.3.
D.1. Assets

The overview in table below shows the value of assets by material asset class under Solvency II and IFRS statutory reporting.

Table: Total Assets (in EUR million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Solvency II value</th>
<th>Statutory accounts value</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deferred acquisition costs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>-/- 235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets</td>
<td>D.1.2.1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked funds)</td>
<td>D.1.2.2</td>
<td>35,706</td>
<td>34,379</td>
<td>1,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property (other than for own use)</td>
<td>D.1.2.3</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>2,049</td>
<td>-/- 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holdings in related undertakings, including participations</td>
<td>D.1.2.4</td>
<td>1,826</td>
<td>1,826</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>D.1.2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>-/- 901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>D.1.2.6</td>
<td>21,972</td>
<td>21,544</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective Investments Undertakings</td>
<td>D.1.2.7</td>
<td>2,655</td>
<td>1,753</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivatives</td>
<td>D.1.2.8</td>
<td>6,489</td>
<td>6,305</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits other than cash equivalents</td>
<td>D.1.2.9</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other investments</td>
<td>D.1.2.10</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts</td>
<td>D.1.2.11</td>
<td>24,725</td>
<td>24,601</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans and mortgages</td>
<td>D.1.2.12</td>
<td>21,787</td>
<td>20,236</td>
<td>1,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinsurance recoverables</td>
<td>D.2.7</td>
<td>-/- 560</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-/- 561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposits to cedants</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance and intermediaries receivables</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>-/- 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinsurance receivables</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-/- 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables (trade, not insurance)</td>
<td>D.1.2.13</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>-/- 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>D.1.2.14</td>
<td>1,814</td>
<td>1,814</td>
<td>-/- 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other assets, not elsewhere shown</td>
<td>D.1.2.15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>83,956</strong></td>
<td><strong>82,025</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,931</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.1.1. Solvency II valuation

In this section, the valuation under Solvency II is described per main asset class. Where the valuation method or classification differs between IFRS and Solvency II, a qualitative and quantitative explanation is provided per asset category.

In accordance with Solvency II regulations, figures are based on fair value. To ensure consistency with Aegon Levensverzekering’s annual report, fair value under IFRS and market value under Solvency II is the same.

Fair value is defined as the amount that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions (i.e. an exit price at the measurement date from the perspective of a market participant that holds the asset). A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset takes place:

a. in the principal market for the asset; or
b. in the absence of a principal market, in the most advantageous market for the asset.
Aegon Levensverzekering uses the following hierarchy for measuring and disclosing the fair value of assets:

- **Level I:** quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets that Aegon Levensverzekering can access at the measurement date;

- **Level II:** inputs other than quoted prices included within Level I that are observable for the asset, either directly (that is, as prices) or indirectly (that is, derived from prices of identical or similar assets) using valuation techniques for which all significant inputs are based on observable market data; and

- **Level III:** inputs for the asset that are not based on observable market data (that is, unobservable inputs) using valuation techniques for which any significant input is not based on observable market data.

The best evidence of fair value is a quoted price in an actively traded market. In the event that the market for a financial instrument is not active or quoted market prices are not available, a valuation technique is used.

The degree of judgement used in measuring the fair value of assets generally negatively correlates with the level of observable valuation inputs. Aegon Levensverzekering maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable valuation inputs when measuring fair value. Financial instruments, for example, with quoted prices in active markets generally have more pricing observability and as a consequence little judgment has to be used in measuring fair value. Conversely, financial instruments for which no quoted prices are available have little observability and are measured at fair value using valuation models or other pricing techniques that require more judgment.

The asset categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The evaluation as to whether a market is active may include, although is not necessarily limited to, lower transaction volumes, reduced transaction sizes and, in some cases, no observable trading activity for short periods. In inactive markets, assurance is obtained that the transaction price provides evidence of fair value or determined that the adjustments to transaction prices are necessary to measure the fair value of the instrument.

The majority of valuation techniques employ only observable market data, ensuring high reliability of the fair value measurements. However, certain assets are valued on the basis of valuation techniques that feature one or more significant market inputs that are unobservable and, for such assets the derivation of fair value is more judgmental. An instrument is classified in its entirety and valued using significant unobservable inputs (Level III) if a significant portion of the instrument’s carrying amount is driven by unobservable inputs. “Unobservable” in this context means that little or no current market data are available from which the price at which an transaction at arm’s length would be likely to occur can be determined. It generally does not mean that no market data are available at all upon which to base a determination of fair value. The use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain instruments (both financial and non-financial) could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

To operationalize the fair value hierarchy of Aegon Levensverzekering, individual financial and non-financial instruments are assigned a fair value level based primarily on the type of instrument and the source of the prices (e.g., index, third-party pricing service, broker, internally modeled). Periodically, this logic for assigning fair value levels is reviewed to determine if any modifications are necessary in the context of the current market environment.

**D.1.2. Differences between Solvency II and IFRS valuation per asset class**

In this section of the report, the valuation bases under Solvency II and IFRS annual reporting (2021) of the material asset classes and the reconciliation are discussed. The value of the assets is disclosed in the balance sheet at the beginning of Chapter D.

**D.1.2.1. Deferred tax assets**

The Solvency II methodology for the calculation of deferred taxes follows the provisions of IAS 12 in the financial statements. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying value of an item and its tax value. A tax asset is recognized for tax loss carry forward to the extent that it is probable at the reporting date that future taxable profits will likely be available against which the tax losses and tax credits can be utilized.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are reviewed at the balance sheet date and are measured at tax rates that are expected to apply when the asset is realized, or the liability is settled. Since no absolute assurance can be granted that these assets will ultimately be realized, management reviews Aegon Levensverzekering’s deferred tax positions periodically to determine whether it is probable that the assets will be realized. Periodic reviews include, among other things, the nature and amount of the taxable income and deductible expenses, the expected timing when certain assets will be used or liabilities will be required to be reported and the reliability of historical profitability of business expected to provide future earnings. Furthermore, management considers the tax-planning strategies it can utilize to increase the likelihood that the
tax assets can be realized. These strategies are also considered in the periodic reviews. The carrying amount is not discounted and reflects the expectation of Aegon Levensverzekering concerning the manner of recovery or settlement.

The deferred tax assets related to temporary differences do not have an expiry date, as Aegon makes sufficient profits to counteract possible future losses linked to the deferred tax assets. Furthermore, the deferred tax assets on the balance sheet of Aegon Levensverzekering N.V. are offset by the deferred tax liabilities which are incorporated in its subsidiaries. The run-off of the deferred tax assets of Aegon Levensverzekering is largely in line with the run-off of the technical provisions. After reversion of the deferred tax liabilities a remaining amount of the deferred tax asset is substantiated through probable future taxable profits as Aegon makes sufficient profits to counteract possible future losses linked to the deferred tax assets.

Reconciliation difference IFRS to Solvency II:

IFRS to Solvency II reconciliation of deferred tax items should comprise of DTA and DTL adjustments reflecting the tax impact of all the individual revaluations processed for all components of Balance Sheet. The Solvency II balance sheet contains a Deferred Tax Asset position of EUR 92 million. The IFRS balance sheet contains a Deferred Tax Asset position of EUR -/- 55 million, presented as a Deferred Tax Liability.

The revaluation of the DTA (EUR 146 million) from IFRS to Solvency II mainly relates to the tax on the ‘IFRS – Solvency II revaluation items’:

- Tax on the revaluation of Loans and mortgages (EUR -/- 637 million);
- Tax on the revaluation of the Technical provisions (EUR 824 million);
- Tax on the revaluation of other smaller items (EUR -/- 41 million).

During 2021 the corporate tax rate was 25%. As part of a second note of amendment to Dutch government’s tax plan 2022, it is proposed to raise the Corporate Income Tax to 25.8% from 25%. The plans were finalized following approval of the senate (Eerste Kamer) on 14 December 2021. This implies that all the movements on Q4 2021 have been done with a tax rate of 25.8%.

**D.1.2.2. Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked funds)**

If financial assets are valued at amortized cost under IFRS, insurers will need to convert them to fair value under Solvency II. This requirement is particularly relevant for financial instruments that are classified as Held-to-maturity or Loans and receivables under IAS39. The fair value measurement is applicable.

The Solvency II balance sheet contains an investment position of EUR 35.7 billion. The IFRS balance sheet contains an investment position of EUR 34.4 billion. Differences will be explained in the next sections.

General account investments comprise financial assets excluding derivatives as well as investments in real estate.

**Financial assets, excluding derivatives**

Financial assets, excluding derivatives, are recognized on the trade date when Aegon Levensverzekering becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument. They are classified for accounting purposes depending on the characteristics of the instruments and the purpose for which they were purchased.

**Classification**

The following financial assets are measured at fair value through profit or loss: 1) financial assets held for trading; 2) financial assets managed on a fair value basis in accordance with the investment strategy of Aegon Levensverzekering; and 3) financial assets containing an embedded derivative that is not closely related and that cannot be reliably bifurcated. In addition, in certain instances Aegon Levensverzekering designates financial assets to this category when by doing so a potential accounting mismatch in the financial statements is eliminated or significantly reduced.

Financial assets with fixed or determinable payments, that are not quoted in an active market and that Aegon Levensverzekering does not intend to sell in the near future, are classified as loans. Those for which the holder may not recover substantially all of its initial investment, for other reasons than credit deterioration, are accounted for as available-for-sale.

All remaining non-derivative financial assets are classified as available-for-sale.

**Measurement**

Financial assets are initially recognized at fair value excluding interest accrued to date plus, in the case of a financial asset not at fair value through profit or loss, any directly attributable incremental transaction costs.

Loans and financial assets held-to-maturity are subsequently carried at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method. Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss are measured at fair value with all changes in fair value recognized in the income statement as incurred. Available-for-sale assets are recorded at fair value with unrealized changes in fair value recognized in other comprehensive income. Financial assets that are designated as hedged items are measured in accordance with the requirements for hedge accounting.
The effective interest rate method is a method for calculating the amortized cost and allocating the interest income or expense over the relevant period. The effective interest rate is the rate that exactly discounts estimated future cash payments or receipts through the expected life of the debt instrument or, when appropriate, a shorter period to the net carrying amount of the instrument. When calculating the effective interest rate, all contractual terms are considered. Possible future credit losses are not taken into account. Charges and interest paid or received between parties to the contract that are an integral part of the effective interest rate, transaction costs and all other premiums or discounts are included in the calculation.

Amortized cost
The amortized cost of a debt instrument is the amount at which it is measured at initial recognition minus principal repayments, plus or minus the cumulative amortization of any difference between the initial amount and the maturity amount and minus any reduction for impairment.

Fair value
The financial statements provide information on the fair value of all financial assets, including those carried at amortized cost where the fair values are provided in the notes to the financial statements.

Fair value is defined as the amount that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions (i.e. an exit price at the measurement date from the perspective of a market participant that holds the asset or owes the liability). For quoted financial assets for which an active market exists, the fair value equals the bid price at the balance sheet date. In the absence of an active market, fair value is estimated by using present value based or other valuation techniques. Where discounting techniques are applied, the discount rate is based on current market rates applicable to financial instruments with similar characteristics. The valuation techniques that include unobservable inputs can result in a different outcome compared to the actual transaction price at which the asset was acquired. Such differences are not recognized in the income statement immediately but are deferred. They are released over time to the income statement in line with the change in factors (including time) that market participants would consider in setting a price for the asset. Interest accrued to date is not included in the fair value of the financial asset.

Derecognition
A financial asset is derecognized when the contractual rights to the asset’s cash flows expire and when Aegon Levensverzekering retains the right to receive cash flows from the asset or has an obligation to pay received cash flows in full without delay to a third party and either has transferred the asset and substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership, or has neither transferred nor retained all the risks and rewards but has transferred control of the asset.

Financial assets of which Aegon Levensverzekering has neither transferred nor retained significantly all the risk and rewards are recognized to the extent of the Aegon Levensverzekering continuing involvement. If significantly all risks are retained, the assets are not derecognized.

On derecognition, the difference between the proceeds from disposal and the carrying amount is recognized in the income statement as a realized gain or loss. Any cumulative unrealized gain or loss previously recognized in the revaluation reserve in shareholders’ equity is also recognized in the income statement.

Collateral
With the exception of cash collateral, assets received as collateral are not separately recognized as an asset until the financial asset they secure defaults. When cash collateral is recognized, a liability is recorded for the same amount.

D.1.2.3. Property (other than for own use)
Valuations of both investments in real estate and real estate held for own use are conducted in full by independent external appraisers at least every three to five years and reviewed at least once a year by qualified internal appraisers to ensure the value correctly reflects the fair value at the reporting date. Appraisals are different for each specific local market but are based on market guidelines such as International Valuation Standards, Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice or guidelines issued by the Investment Property Databank. Valuations are mostly based on active market prices, adjusted for any difference in the nature, location or condition of the specific property. If such information is not available, other valuation methods are applied, considering the value that the property’s net earning power will support, the value indicated by recent sales of comparable properties and the current cost of reproducing or replacing the property. Discount rates used in the valuation of real estate reflect the risk embedded in the projected cash flows for the asset being valued. Capitalization rates represent the income rate for a real estate property that reflects the relationship between a single year’s net operating income expectancy and the total property price or value. For property held for own use, appraisers consider the present value of the future rental income cash flows that could be achieved had the real estate been rented to a third party.
The Solvency II balance sheet contains a property position of EUR 2.0 billion which is equal to the position on the IFRS balance sheet.

D.1.2.4. Participations
The Solvency II balance sheet contains a participation position of EUR 1.8 billion which equals the participation position on the IFRS balance sheet.

D.1.2.5. Equities
Solvency II and the IFRS balance sheets both measure equities at fair value. Equities are EUR 0.9 billion lower on the Solvency II balance sheet due to reclassification to Investment funds.

D.1.2.6. Bonds
The Solvency II and the IFRS balance sheets both measure bonds at fair value. The Solvency II balance sheet contains bond positions of EUR 22 billion. The IFRS balance sheet contains bond positions of EUR 21.5 billion. The Solvency II balance sheet is EUR 429 million higher (namely Government Bonds and collateralized securities). The valuation is the same, the only difference is a reclassification of accrued interest from Any other assets.

D.1.2.7 Collective Investment Undertakings
The Solvency II and the IFRS balance sheets both measure Investment funds at fair value.

The Solvency II balance sheet contains an investment funds position of EUR 2.7 billion. The IFRS balance sheet contains an investment funds position of EUR 1.8 billion. Investment funds are EUR 0.9 billion higher on the Solvency II balance sheet which is due to a reclassification from Equities.

Investment funds managed by Aegon Levensverzekering, in which Aegon Levensverzekering holds an interest, are consolidated in the financial statements if Aegon Levensverzekering has power over that investment fund and is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. In assessing control, all interests held by Aegon Levensverzekering in the fund are considered, regardless of whether the financial risk related to the investment is borne by Aegon Levensverzekering or by the policyholders.

Aegon Levensverzekering concluded, for all investment funds, that it does not exercise control, as Aegon Levensverzekering has no power over the asset manager (key decision maker).

Participations in investment funds held for general account are recognized as equity investments. Some of these investments in venture capital entities, mutual funds and investment funds are managed on the basis of market value and accounted for as financial assets at fair value through profit or loss. Participations in investment funds that are measured using the equity method are regarded as part of the investment portfolio.

D.1.2.8. Derivatives
Solvency II and the IFRS balance sheet both measure derivatives at fair value.

In September 2021 DNB published a Q&A and a good practice document on the treatment of savings mortgages assets. These documents address the valuation and capital treatment for various types of savings mortgages (excluding bank savings mortgages). This impacts the results by the change in fair value of assets and a change in classification on the balance sheet for the mortgage receivables that are being held by external entities. The future term component of these mortgages is classified as Derivatives. In total this is a reclassification of EUR 119 million that was previously classified as Loans and mortgages. The reclassification is only done on the SII balance sheet and not on the IFRS balance sheet.

The Solvency II balance sheet contains a derivatives position of EUR 6.5 billion. The IFRS balance sheet contains a derivatives position of EUR 6.3 billion. There is a difference of EUR 183 million, of which EUR 119 million is caused by the reclassification described above. The other part, EUR 65 million, is mainly due to the reclassification of accrued interest from Any other assets.

D.1.2.9. Deposits other than cash equivalents
Since Q4 2021 the balance sheet item Deposits other than cash equivalents on the SII balance sheet is filled as a result of the DNB publications on the treatment of savings mortgages as mentioned above. The fair value of the built-up part of the savings mortgages that are being held by external entities is classified as deposits other than cash equivalents and result in a position of EUR 361 million on the SII balance sheet. The future term contract of these savings mortgages is classified as derivatives. The reclassification is only done on the SII balance sheet, therefore the position on the IFRS balance sheet remains zero.
D.1.2.10. Other investments

The other investments contain a depot Aegon Levensverzekering which is valued at fair value.

Just like for Derivatives and Deposits other than cash equivalents, an adjustment has been made for the Other investments as part of the published documents from DNB on savings mortgages. The fair value of the built-up part of the savings mortgages that relate to an SPV or other fund structure is classified as Other investments, resulting in a position on the SII balance sheet of EUR 355 million. The future term contract of these savings mortgages is classified as derivatives. This reclassification is only done on the SII balance sheet.

D.1.2.11. Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts

IFRS does not distinguish between index-linked and/or unit-linked funds. Investments held for account of policyholders consist of investments in financial assets, as well as investments in real estate. Investment return on these assets is passed on to the policyholders. Also included are the assets held by consolidated investment funds which are backing liabilities towards third parties.

Investments for account of policyholders are valued at fair value through profit or loss.

The Solvency II balance sheet contains a position of EUR 24.7 billion. The IFRS balance sheet contains a position of EUR 24.6 billion.

The Solvency II Balance sheet is EUR 124 million higher due to two items:

- Reclassification of EUR -/- 34 million due to a regulatory split in Solvency II between policyholder assets and policyholder liabilities to Receivables and Payables;
- Revaluation of loans and mortgages (amortized cost) in separate accounts to fair value of EUR 158 million. This is related to the revaluation of loans and mortgages relating to the pension scheme of Aegon personnel.

D.1.2.12. Loans and mortgages

Loans and mortgages are measured at amortized cost in the financial statements. Under Solvency II fair value measurement is required.

Mortgages

The valuation methodology for mortgage loans includes the following procedures:

1. Projection of future cash flows of mortgage loans;
2. Determination of the interest rate curve to use for discounting; and
3. Net present value (NPV) calculation.

In this approach, cash flows for each mortgage loan part in Aegon’s portfolio are projected separately, based on product characteristics, mortgage rates and interest reset dates. Aegon’s methodology recognizes four mortgage cash flow profile types, being: Interest only, Annuity, Linear and Savings mortgages.

Cash flows are adjusted for expected early repayments (also known as prepayments). The rate of early repayments follows from a model calibrated with historical data. Cash flows of non-performing loans are adjusted based on their estimated probability of default and loss given default.

The interest rate curve used for discounting is determined by applying a spread curve over the risk-free yield curve, which varies over the maturity of the term structure. The spread curve applicable to each mortgage loan part is dependent on the Loan to Value and remaining time until the next interest reset date.

The spread is derived from the most recent, most competitive consumer mortgage rates observed in the market, after deduction of a ‘Margin Earned’, which serves to cover the risks and expenses of originating the mortgage portfolio. The consumer rate minus the Margin Earned reflects the yield that an external investor would be able to obtain when investing in mortgage loans.

The method described above for obtaining the spread is also known as a top-down approach. The prevailing consumer rate is determined as the single average of the mortgage rates offered by the top three providers in the market after filtering for representative mortgage products (not including Aegon affiliated entities), for a particular Loan to Value and duration.

For the purpose of valuation, it is assumed that each mortgage will be redeemed at the next interest reset date of that mortgage, i.e. at the date at which the mortgage provider can reset the interest rate and the mortgagee can terminate the contract without a penalty.

The assumption that all mortgages will be terminated at the first interest reset date will, generally speaking, lead to some degree of underestimation of the value of a portfolio. As interest rates can be set or reset to a profitable level at the interest reset date, profits occurring after this date are not included in the valuation. This assumption is made nonetheless, as mortgagees do not have a contractual obligation to continue their mortgage after the interest reset date and can exit without a penalty.

The estimated rate of prepayment is compared annually against actual prepayment rates for verification, and the prepayment rate in the valuation is updated accordingly.
Reconciliation between IFRS and Solvency II: Adjustments of Loans and Mortgages

Based on the Q&A and good practice document of DNB regarding the treatment of savings mortgages, there has been a reclassification of the loans and mortgages. For Aegon Levensverzekering in total EUR 770 million has been classified as derivatives, deposits other than cash equivalents or other investments. This reclassification is only part of the SII balance sheet and not on the IFRS balance sheet.

The Solvency II balance sheet is EUR 1.6 billion higher than the IFRS balance sheet due to the revaluation of Loans, Mortgages and inflation linked bonds to fair value of EUR 1.5 billion and a reclassification of EUR 24 million in accrued interest from Any other assets.

D.1.2.13. Receivables (trade, not insurance)

The fair value of assets maturing within a year is assumed to be approximated by their carrying amount adjusted for credit risk where appropriate. Credit risk adjustments are based on market observable credit spreads if available, or management’s estimate if not market observable.

Solvency II balance sheet is EUR 367 million lower mainly due to the following:

- A reclassification of accrued interest to Investments (EUR -/- 439 million);
- A reclassification of the reinsurance contract with Canada Life Re. covering a substantial part of the Pensions annuity book (EUR 3 million). For more information on this reclassification refer to section D.2.7;
- A reclassification from Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts (EUR 69 million) explains the remainder of the difference.

D.1.2.14. Cash and cash equivalents

The fair value of assets maturing within a year is assumed to be approximated by their carrying amount adjusted for credit risk where appropriate. Credit risk adjustments are based on market observable credit spreads if available, or management’s estimate if not market observable.

The Solvency II balance sheet contains a cash and cash equivalents position of EUR 1.8 billion which is equal to the position on the IFRS balance sheet.

D.1.2.15. Any other assets, not elsewhere shown

The fair value of assets maturing within a year is assumed to be approximated by their carrying amount adjusted for credit risk where appropriate. Credit risk adjustments are based on market observable credit spreads if available, or management’s estimate if not market observable.

The position on the Solvency II balance sheet is equal to the position on the IFRS balance sheet.

Prevailing consumer rates are collected by an external party on a weekly basis. The mortgage valuation spreads are updated monthly on the basis of the latest consumer rates.

The Margin Earned, which is deducted from the consumer rate to derive the discount rate, is benchmarked against mortgage fund fees of Aegon Asset Management. The margin is verified annually on the basis of the most recent data.

The valuation of the mortgage portfolio is based on a number of factors that are not known precisely or may change over time, creating a degree of uncertainty. Main uncertainties relate to the rate of early repayments, and the dependence of the valuation on mortgage rates offered by other providers in the market.

Loans

Fair value measurement of loans on policies, IC loans and other loans on the Solvency II balance sheet is based on amortized cost measurement on the IFRS balance sheet. The fair value of floating interest rate mortgage loans, policy loans and private placements used for disclosure purposes is assumed to be approximated by their carrying amount, adjusted for changes in credit risk. Credit risk adjustments are based on market observable credit spreads if available, or management’s estimate if not market observable.

Fair value of private loans is based on an internal valuation model. On a monthly basis, the Dutch government curve and additional spreads are received and used as input for matrix pricing. The curves per sector are uploaded into the system. Based on private loan characteristics and classifications, the system selects the appropriate curve and yield per security. Via the net present value (“NPV”) component combining yields and security cash flow the system calculates prices via interpolation where bid, mid and ask are populated with the same price.

Inflation linked bonds

Aegon Levensverzekering holds Inflation linked notes and bonds. The inflation linked notes are tailored to liabilities of Aegon Levensverzekering. The generic inflation linked bonds are issued by governments and have all characteristics of a government bond with as additional aspect that the bond is linked to the inflation index. The ILB’s cannot in general be matched with a single client. The valuation of the IL notes and bonds is equal. On the IFRS balance sheet these instruments are valued based on their nominal value. The valuation on the SII balance sheet is based on the market value which is calculated as the discounted value of future cashflows. For these bonds, index-linked coupons are payable, resulting in additional increasing future cashflows, over and above the nominal value payable on expiry. This drives the material difference between the current market value and the original notional value. The calculation is performed by an external valuation agent.
D.2. Technical provisions

D.2.1. Technical provisions analyzed by each material line of business
The table below shows the Solvency II and IFRS (statutory) liabilities at year end 2021 (in EUR million).

Table: Total Technical Provisions (in EUR million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Solvency II value</th>
<th>Statutory accounts value</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)</td>
<td>D.2.3</td>
<td>38,709</td>
<td>40,372</td>
<td>-1,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)</td>
<td>D.2.3</td>
<td>38,709</td>
<td>40,372</td>
<td>-1,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance with profit participation</td>
<td></td>
<td>17,426</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best estimate life with options or guarantees</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best estimate life without options and guarantees</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk margin</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,373</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linked</td>
<td>D.2.3</td>
<td>32,497</td>
<td>26,600</td>
<td>5,898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best estimate index linked and unit linked with options or guarantees</td>
<td></td>
<td>25,865</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best estimate index linked and unit linked without options and guarantees</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,545</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk margin</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Aegon Levensverzekering</td>
<td>D.2.3</td>
<td>71,207</td>
<td>66,972</td>
<td>4,235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The provisions are split in ‘Technical provisions-Life’ and ‘Technical provisions - Index-linked and Unit Linked’ and further in provisions with profit participation, with options or guarantees and without options and guarantees.

For Solvency II the default valuation approach is to use market prices whenever available. If these prices are not available alternative valuation methods can be applied. As no active market for insurance liabilities exists, Aegon Levensverzekering calculates the Solvency II provisions as the sum of the probability weighted average of future cash flows, the time value of options and guarantees and the risk margin.

The calculation of the best estimate liability is on a policy-by-policy basis, using a market consistent basis and the current risk-free rate as prescribed by EIOPA and including indirect overhead expenses. Scaling is applied if products are not modelled and when data are incomplete or unavailable.

For products that contain options and/or guarantees the fair value of the options and guarantees is taken into account. These provisions are calculated separately on a stochastic basis, taking into account risk and volatility. The provisions for options and guarantees are calculated using full data in combination with scenario shuffling.

Aegon Levensverzekering determines homogeneous risk groups in such a way that the risk groups are stable over time. The following criteria are taken into account in determining the homogeneous risk groups:

- Branch (Group pensions and Individual Life);
- Underwriting criteria (Medical examination or not);
- Claim pattern (Lump sum, annuities);
- Risk profile (Longevity or mortality risk);
- Specific product features (savings or term insurance, guarantees or participating/non-participating); and
- Administrative unit (Own account Aegon Levensverzekering or risk policyholder).
Based on the features described above, Aegon Levensverzekering has split the portfolio into 21 homogenous risk groups.

Aegon Levensverzekering does not offer products with profit participation where the policyholder participates in the profit of the firm. All profit sharing is in the form of index or unit-linked, or based on external benchmarks such as TL (interest rebate contracts) and U-yield (external yield to determine level of profit sharing).

Best Estimate Cash Flow
Cash flows are projected on a best estimate basis, i.e. as a probability weighted average taking into account all uncertainties affecting these cash flows. The cash flows are split in claims, expenses and premiums, and are based on specific product characteristics.

The main assumptions used to derive the best estimate cash flows are set by Aegon Levensverzekering, are updated annually and approved by management. The assumptions can be subdivided into underwriting assumptions and assumptions for mortgages. The underwriting assumptions are:

- Mortality;
- Morbidity;
- Cancellation rates / Policy holder behavior;
- Expenses; and
- Other typical Group Pensions parameters (career development and marriage & partner frequencies).

Below we discuss the main drivers (mortality, cancellation rates and expenses) for the calculation of the Solvency II technical provisions.

Mortality
Mortality rate tables applied are generally developed based on a blend of company experience and industry wide studies, taking into consideration product characteristics, own risk selection criteria, the insured population, mortality trend and past experience. Mortality experience is monitored through regular studies, the results of which are fed into the pricing cycle for new products and reflected in the liability calculation when appropriate.

Cancellation Rates / policy holder behavior
Aegon Levensverzekering is exposed to considerable potential financial impact from changes in the value of its liabilities caused by policy cancellations. Cancellation rates depend on product features, policy duration and external circumstances such as the interest rate environment and competitor and policyholder behavior.

Policyholder behavior can be reflected in several ways, depending on the product and policy agreements. The main items are:

- Full or partial surrender or termination;
- Premium termination (policy becomes paid up before end of premium payment term);
- Decrease or suspension of premiums;
- Policy conversion (fund switching, reduce or reverse paid up status);
- Utilization of policyholder fund allocation privileges; and
- Decisions on when and how much to annuitize.

Adverse changes in underlying risk drivers will affect Aegon Levensverzekering’s ability to meet business objectives and in particular to ensure business continuity. Reliable own experience, as well as available industry wide data, are used in establishing assumptions.

Expenses
The cost base for the determination of the maintenance expenses allocated to the insurance contracts is determined at Aegon Nederland level, using the results from the Activity Based Costing analyses. In these analyses, the expenses are determined per cost place for each business line and support units.

The maintenance expenses allocated to the insurance contracts are transformed into expenses per policy, taking into account product/contract features like type of contract or status (active, retired or inactive).

The cash flow projections for expenses are based on budget 2022 expense levels and take into account inflation in future periods. Also fixed expense levels are assumed in the long run and it is taken into account that part of the administration activities associated with the portfolio will be outsourced.

Discounting
The cash flows are discounted using the Solvency II yield curve, including VA, UFR and a credit risk adjustment.

The risk-free yield curves used for the purposes of the Solvency II valuation are published by EIOPA for each relevant currency. The curves are determined by EIOPA using principles outlined in the Solvency II regulations.

The UFR is the risk free interest rate over a one year period that is expected to prevail after an extremely long period, i.e. after 60 years. It is used, in combination with market observed interest rates up to 20 years, to derive interest rates for maturities longer than 20 years.
EIOPA has set the current UFR at 3.60%. It is based on historically observed real interest rates in combination with long-term inflation expectations.

For Index Linked and Unit Linked products the expected investment return is equal to the Solvency II yield curve. Expenses incurred and deducted in case of surrender or other charges (e.g. risk premiums and service fees) are also taken into account in the best estimate and discounted with the Solvency II yield curve.

Any guarantees given with respect to the performance of the funds are valued separately, as explained further below.

**Options & Guarantees**

If products contain options and/or guarantees (e.g. surplus interest profit sharing or guaranteed investment returns), the fair value of the option or guarantee is calculated separately on a stochastic basis, taking into account risk and volatility.

The market value contains an intrinsic as well as a time value and the basis curve to calculate the market value of the options and/or guarantees is the Solvency II swap curve. To create a scenario set for investment returns and interest rates, market volatilities are used. The market volatilities are derived from market prices of tradable financial instruments.

Aegon Levensverzekering has the following categories of products that can contain options and/or guarantees:

- Universal Life (UVL) and Unit-linked;
- Surplus Interest profit sharing; and
- Group pension products.

We describe the options and guarantees embedded in the insurance products in more detail below.

**UVL and Unit Linked**

When investing in a fund with a guarantee (mix or interest fund), the proportion of the policy invested in this fund will accumulate at a guaranteed rate (in the range of 3% to 4% and before deduction of the asset management fee and the service fee). The accumulation rate varies by fund and the guarantee is fund specific.

Some products have other type of guarantees, e.g. some products contain guarantees that the minimum amount paid on maturity will be the sum of all premiums paid (with or without interest). These products have mixed exposure due to the variety of underlying funds, accumulation rates and the possibility of other guarantees on the policy.

**Surplus interest profit sharing**

Surplus interest profit sharing can be split into a tariff discount rate guarantee and a profit guarantee at maturity. The profit sharing is linked to government bond investment returns (U-rate).

For products with a guaranteed interest rate for the determination of the premium (mostly 3% or 4%), interest surplus profit sharing can be applied and is then dependent on the return on specific government bonds. If the return on government bonds is higher than the interest rate for the determination of the premiums, profit sharing is applicable. If the return on government bonds is lower than the interest rate for the determination of the premiums, no profit sharing is applicable.

The profit guarantee is applicable for policies where upfront (part) of the profit sharing (percentage of the sum assured) is guaranteed under the condition that the guarantee is only applicable if the insured is alive at the maturity date.

**Group pension contracts**

The following categories of pension products can contain guarantees:

- GB & FTK (Separate Account and ‘Financieel Toetsingskader’ contracts);
- Unit-linked;
- OA (Surplus interest profit sharing contracts); and
- TL (interest rate rebate contracts).

**GB & FTK**

Each contract premium is invested in a specific pool of assets. In case a guarantee is issued, then this guarantee involves the settlement of all liabilities that were financed during the period in which the contract was in place. Upon retirement, the maturity amount is based on an interest rate guarantee of either 3% or 4% for GB contracts. For FTK policies, premiums are based on the DNB curve at the time of payment (the liabilities financed from these premiums are guaranteed as well, similar to the GB portfolio). In case of an interest rate surplus, it may be paid to the customer, depending on the specific arrangements that have been agreed in the contract, at the discretion of Aegon Levensverzekering. The policyholder pays a fee for this guarantee.
**Unit-linked**
The vast majority (70%) of this portfolio consists of endowment retirement policies whose premiums are invested in funds that policyholders are free to select by themselves to a certain degree. Certain funds have a guaranteed return. On maturity date, for the portion of the maturity benefit that is contained in a guaranteed fund, the guaranteed amount is paid or the fund value itself if the actual fund value is higher. If the actual fund value is less than the guaranteed value, the guaranteed value is for account of Aegon Levensverzekering. For the part of the maturity benefit in non-guaranteed funds, the fund value is paid. These products have mixed exposure due to the variety of underlying funds and the possible combinations of guaranteed and non-guaranteed funds.

**OA**
OA is a traditional pension product with guaranteed liabilities. The contract features an agreed interest rate and an agreed mortality table. The part of the provisions that is not needed for the direct claims are invested in so-called “tranches”, being equal redemption loans with a maturity of usually 10 years. The reference interest rate on these tranches is the U-rate at the time of the purchase. Over time if an interest surplus emerges, it may be distributed to policyholders. It may be used to offset previous losses or to act as a buffer for future losses. A charge for indexation is applied to this product.

**TL**
TL contracts have both interest guarantees and profit sharing mechanisms, leading to mixed exposure. Based on the pricing rates, clients do not pay a surcharge on future premiums, if interest rates are low. However if interest rates are high, then policyholders receive a rebate on future premiums. The profit share is given once in advance. Future discounts cannot be negative, therefore all rebates applied are guaranteed. Aegon Levensverzekering maintains a guarantee provision for these items.

**Risk Margin**
The risk margin is to ensure that the value of technical provisions is equivalent to the amount that insurance undertakings would be expected to require in order to take over and meet the insurance and reinsurance obligations. A Cost of Capital approach is applied to determine the value of the risk margin.

The risk margin captures the following risks:
- underwriting risk;
- credit risk with respect to reinsurance contracts, arrangements with special-purpose vehicles, intermediaries, policyholders and any other material exposures which are closely related to the insurance and reinsurance liabilities; and
- operational risk

In order to calculate the risk margin, the SCR’s for above mentioned risks for future years need to be determined. The expected SCR in future years is projected by using the projected best estimate liability as “risk driver” and the SCR at reporting date as starting point. Aegon Levensverzekering applies a cost of capital percentage of 6%, in accordance with the Delegated Acts. Note that the application of the risk driver is a simplification relative to recalculating the expected SCR in each point in time in the future. This simplification does not lead to a material misstatement of the risk margin.

**Contract boundaries**
According to Solvency II regulations the valuation of insurance and reinsurance obligations should include obligations relating to existing insurance and reinsurance business. Obligations relating to future business should not be included in the valuation. Where insurance and reinsurance contracts include policyholder options to establish, renew, extend, increase or resume the insurance or reinsurance cover, or undertaking options to terminate the contract or amend premiums or benefits, a contract boundary is defined to specify whether the additional cover arising from those options is regarded as existing or future business.

For the Individual Life portfolio the contract boundary is equal to the end date of the contract. Until Q4 2021 the savings mortgages were an exception as these were calculated until interest reset date. Following the DNB Q&A on savings mortgages that was published in September 2021 cash flows are now projected until maturity. For group pension contracts the boundary is equal to the contract renewal date. For contracts that can be renewed on an annual basis the contract boundary is equal to one year.

For group pension contracts the contract boundary for the premium payments is equal to the contract renewal date. After the contract renewal date the contract becomes paid up and will run-off until the last insured person dies. For contracts that can be renewed on an annual basis, the new contract term is equal to one year.

**D.2.2. Level of uncertainty associated with the value of technical provisions**
The main source of uncertainty associated with the technical provisions is in the setting of assumptions where a significant level of judgment may be required about how future experience may differ from past experience. The assessment of uncertainty in this case is addressed by sensitivity testing of key assumptions so that the governing body can understand how different choices would impact the technical provisions. Main uncertainties affecting the technical provisions of Aegon Levensverzekering relate to mortality rates, cancellation rates and expense levels.
The risk margins relate to the cost of holding capital to allow for uncertainty around the best estimate assumptions and are included in the technical provisions as addition to the best-estimate liabilities.

Other sources of uncertainties are associated with scaling (applied to portfolio segments for which accurate portfolio data are incomplete or unavailable at all) and the applied UFR and VA.

### D.2.3. Differences between Solvency II valuation and local GAAP/IFRS valuation of Technical Provisions analyzed by each material line of business

Refer to the table in chapter D for the difference between the IFRS and Solvency II liabilities, split in Life and Index-Linked and Unit-Linked. We describe the difference in the valuation basis for IFRS and Solvency II below.

#### IFRS measurement

**Life (excluding index linked and unit linked)**

The statutory liabilities arising from traditional life insurance products, particularly those with fixed and guaranteed account terms, are typically measured using the 'net premium method'. Under this method, the liability is determined as the sum of the discounted value of the expected benefits and future administration expenses directly related to the contract, less the discounted value of the expected theoretical premiums that would be required to meet the future cash outflows based on the valuation assumptions used.

The liability is based on historic underwriting assumptions and a fixed discount rate of 3% or 4% (depending on the inception date of the policy). For contracts exposed to longevity recent mortality assumptions are used. Furthermore, the liability for life insurance comprises reserves for unearned premiums and accrued annuity benefits payable.

The liabilities for life insurance products include the provisions for future administration expenses, set up to cover expected future expenses related to the period after premium payment, as well as provisions for future expenses associated with processing benefits (such as annuities and pension payments).

Terms and conditions, including participation features and expected lapse rates (for the determination of the guarantee provisions), are considered when establishing the insurance liabilities. When Aegon Levensverzekering has discretion over the amount or timing of the bonuses distributed resulting from participation features, a liability is recognized equal to the amount that is available at the balance sheet date for future distribution to policyholders.

In establishing the liability, guaranteed minimum benefits issued to the policyholder are reflected in the guarantee provisions.

**Index linked and unit linked**

Life insurance contracts under which the policyholder bears the risks associated with the underlying investments are classified as insurance contracts for account of policyholders. The IFRS liability for the insurance contracts for account of policyholders is measured at the policyholders account balance. In case guarantees are applicable, the fair value of the guarantees is not included in the technical provisions but is presented as a derivative liability.

Contracts with unit-denominated payments are measured at current unit values, which reflect the fair values of the assets of the fund.

A liability adequacy test (IFRS LAT) is performed every reporting period. The IFRS LAT provision is calculated as the sum of the best estimate provisions, including a risk margin and provisions for options and guarantees. The measurement for IFRS LAT also includes provisioning for expected expenses and longevity. If the IFRS LAT shows a deficit, which is the case at year end 2021, the IFRS provisions will be set equal to the IFRS LAT provisions. For the determination of the IFRS LAT provisions, the differences between fair values and book values of assets (mortgages and other loans) are also taken into account.

### Difference between IFRS and Solvency II measurement

For details on the methodology and the underlying assumptions to calculate the Solvency II technical liabilities we refer to section D2.1.

The main differences between the IFRS liabilities (EUR 67.0 billion) and Solvency II liabilities (EUR 71.2 billion) are:

- Reclassification of the provision for options and guarantees from derivatives to 'Technical provisions - Index-linked and Unit Linked' (+ EUR 1.4 billion). Under IFRS the guarantee provision of unit linked policies is classified as derivative or 'Technical provisions - Life' since policyholders are not bearing the investment risk, while under Solvency II the guarantee provision related to unit linked policies is classified as 'Technical provisions - Index-linked and Unit Linked'.
- Surplus value mortgages included in IFRS LAT (+ EUR 1.8 billion);
- Difference in risk margin (+ EUR 1.4 billion);
- Difference in curves, the EIOPA curve for Solvency II and the interest curve used for the IFRS LAT (EUR -/- 0.9 billion);
- Valuation differences in the guarantee provision between IFRS LAT and Solvency II (EUR -/- 0.5 billion).

Important drivers for the difference between the IFRS LAT liabilities and the Solvency II liabilities are the difference in the risk margin and the surplus value of mortgages.
The IFRS LAT and Solvency II risk margin are both calculated using the cost of capital approach with a cost of capital rate of 6%. Moreover, the same shock categories apply and future SCRs are projected using the projected best estimate liability as ‘risk driver’. Despite these similarities in methods, the following differences apply:

- IFRS LAT uses no diversification between SCRs and uses a 80% confidence interval, where Solvency II uses diversification and a confidence interval of 99.5%.
- Zero yields used for determining the projected SCRs differ as IFRS LAT and Solvency II have different yield curves. Please note that the SCRs for Solvency II are based on the risk free rate without VA, as per the Level 3 guidelines; and
- Zero yields used for discounting the cost-of-capital differ as IFRS LAT and Solvency II have different yield curves. Please note that the SCRs for Solvency II are based on the risk free rate without VA.

D.2.4. Matching adjustment
The Matching Adjustment (‘MA’) is a mechanism that (partially) mitigates the impact of spread movements on the net balance sheet numbers, where assets and liabilities are cash flow matched. Aegon Levensverzekering does not apply the matching adjustment.

D.2.5. Volatility adjustment
The Volatility Adjustment (‘VA’) is applied by Aegon Levensverzekering and is equal to 3 basis points at year end 2021. The VA aims to avoid pro-cyclical investment behavior of insurers when bond prices deteriorate due to low liquidity of bond markets or exceptional expansion of credit spreads. Removing the VA would lead to lower discount rates for calculating the technical provisions, which leads to higher technical provisions and thereby lower Own Funds.

Aegon applies a dynamic VA model and assesses the impact of changes in spreads on assets through scenario analyses. Key rationale is that Aegon is a long term investor (given its long dated liabilities) and that initial market value losses on assets after a spread shock will be (partially) regained over time as the assets keep paying the interest and notional. This effect is quantified in Aegon’s Dynamic VA model and therefore lowers the capital requirement for spread risk. In line with regulatory requirements, Aegon Levensverzekering determines the VA-impact on the SCR if the regulatory concept of the VA would not exist at all and without adjusting for the spread risk on the asset side. Aegon considers the dynamic VA an integral part of the modelling of spread risk. In case the VA were not applied in the SCR calculation, other model changes would be triggered as well, leading to compensatory effects which are not incorporated in the table below.

The impact of the application of the VA on several Solvency II metrics is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvency II</th>
<th>Technical provision</th>
<th>Own Funds</th>
<th>SCR</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>MCR</th>
<th>Eligible OF to meet MCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aegon Levensverzekering Q4 2021</td>
<td>71,207</td>
<td>5,545</td>
<td>2,978</td>
<td>186%</td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>5,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA spread</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>+/- 169</td>
<td>1,305</td>
<td>+/- 61%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+/- 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegon Levensverzekering Q4 2021</td>
<td>71,432</td>
<td>5,376</td>
<td>4,284</td>
<td>126%</td>
<td>1,326</td>
<td>5,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>without VA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The IFRS LAT and Solvency II risk margin are both calculated using the cost of capital approach with a cost of capital rate of 6%. Moreover, the same shock categories apply and future SCRs are projected using the projected best estimate liability as ‘risk driver’. Despite these similarities in methods, the following differences apply:

- IFRS LAT uses no diversification between SCRs and uses a 80% confidence interval, where Solvency II uses diversification and a confidence interval of 99.5%.
- Zero yields used for determining the projected SCRs differ as IFRS LAT and Solvency II have different yield curves. Please note that the SCRs for Solvency II are based on the risk free rate without VA, as per the Level 3 guidelines; and
- Zero yields used for discounting the cost-of-capital differ as IFRS LAT and Solvency II have different yield curves. Please note that the SCRs for Solvency II are based on the risk free rate without VA.

D.2.4. Matching adjustment
The Matching Adjustment (‘MA’) is a mechanism that (partially) mitigates the impact of spread movements on the net balance sheet numbers, where assets and liabilities are cash flow matched. Aegon Levensverzekering does not apply the matching adjustment.

D.2.5. Volatility adjustment
The Volatility Adjustment (‘VA’) is applied by Aegon Levensverzekering and is equal to 3 basis points at year end 2021. The VA aims to avoid pro-cyclical investment behavior of insurers when bond prices deteriorate due to low liquidity of bond markets or exceptional expansion of credit spreads. Removing the VA would lead to lower discount rates for calculating the technical provisions, which leads to higher technical provisions and thereby lower Own Funds.

Aegon applies a dynamic VA model and assesses the impact of changes in spreads on assets through scenario analyses. Key rationale is that Aegon is a long term investor (given its long dated liabilities) and that initial market value losses on assets after a spread shock will be (partially) regained over time as the assets keep paying the interest and notional. This effect is quantified in Aegon’s Dynamic VA model and therefore lowers the capital requirement for spread risk. In line with regulatory requirements, Aegon Levensverzekering determines the VA-impact on the SCR if the regulatory concept of the VA would not exist at all and without adjusting for the spread risk on the asset side. Aegon considers the dynamic VA an integral part of the modelling of spread risk. In case the VA were not applied in the SCR calculation, other model changes would be triggered as well, leading to compensatory effects which are not incorporated in the table below.

The impact of the application of the VA on several Solvency II metrics is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvency II</th>
<th>Technical provision</th>
<th>Own Funds</th>
<th>SCR</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>MCR</th>
<th>Eligible OF to meet MCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aegon Levensverzekering Q4 2021</td>
<td>71,207</td>
<td>5,545</td>
<td>2,978</td>
<td>186%</td>
<td>1,322</td>
<td>5,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA spread</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>+/- 169</td>
<td>1,305</td>
<td>+/- 61%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+/- 227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aegon Levensverzekering Q4 2021</td>
<td>71,432</td>
<td>5,376</td>
<td>4,284</td>
<td>126%</td>
<td>1,326</td>
<td>5,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>without VA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D.2.6. Transitional Measures

Insurance undertakings may, subject to prior approval by DNB, apply a transitional measure to the relevant risk free interest rate term structure to calculate the provisions or to apply a temporary deduction of the technical provisions (article 308c of Directive 2009/138/EC). Aegon Levensverzekering decided not to apply this transitional measure.

Aegon Levensverzekering also does not apply measures on technical provisions (article 308d of Directive 2009/138/EC).

D.2.7. Recoverables from reinsurance contracts and special purpose vehicles

Reinsurance contracts are contracts entered into by Aegon Levensverzekering in order to receive compensation for losses on contracts written by Aegon Levensverzekering (outgoing reinsurance). For contracts transferring sufficient insurance risk, a reinsurance asset is recognized for the expected future benefits, less expected future reinsurance premiums. Reinsurance contracts with insufficient insurance risk transfer are accounted for as investment or service contracts, depending on the nature of the agreement. Reinsurance recoverables at the end of 2021 amounted to EUR 1 million on the IFRS and EUR -/- 560 million on Solvency II balance sheet.

The difference between the positions on the Solvency II and IFRS balance sheet is driven by the reinsurance contract with Canada Life Re., effective as per December 31, 2019, and the contract with Reinsurance Group of America, effective as per December 31, 2021, which cover a substantial part of the Pensions annuity book. The accounting treatment of this contract is different between Solvency II and IFRS:

• Under IFRS a Deferred Cost of Reinsurance (DCoR) and a net reinsurance liability for an equal amount are established at inception. The DCoR is amortized over the duration of the underlying insurance contracts while the reinsurance liability will decrease over time to nil by the end of the contract following the run off of the reinsured portfolio. The DCoR is presented under Receivables (trade, not insurance), while the reinsurance liability is presented under Reinsurance payables;
• Under Solvency II, both the DCoR and the reinsurance payable are eliminated and a reinsurance recoverable is established, consisting of the present value of all expected future cash in- and outflows relating to the reinsurance arrangement.

Reinsurance assets are measured consistently with the assumptions associated with the underlying insurance contracts and in accordance with the terms of each reinsurance contract. They are derecognized when the contractual rights are extinguished or expire, or when the contract is transferred to another party.

Aegon Levensverzekering is not relieved of its legal liabilities when entering into reinsurance transactions, therefore the reserves relating to the underlying insurance contracts will continue to be reported on the statement of financial position during the run-off period of the underlying business.

Reinsurance premiums, commissions and claim settlements are accounted for in the same way as the original contracts for which the reinsurance was concluded. The insurance premiums for the original contracts are presented gross of reinsurance premiums paid.

D.2.8. Material changes in the relevant assumptions made in the calculation of technical provisions compared to the previous reporting period

In 2021 the total Solvency II technical provisions decreased by EUR 4.7 billion from EUR 75.9 billion per year end 2020 to EUR 71.2 billion per year end 2021.

The decrease in the technical provision is mainly caused by economic variances (EUR -/- 2,329 million), the roll forward (EUR -/- 2,312 million) and the experience variances (EUR -/- 257 million), with an offset of the model and assumption changes (EUR + 206 million).
D.3. Other liabilities

The break-out in the table below shows the value of the other liabilities by material liability class under Solvency II and IFRS.

Table: Other Liabilities (in EUR million)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other liabilities</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Solvency II value</th>
<th>Statutory accounts value</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions</td>
<td>D.3.1.1</td>
<td>3,126</td>
<td>3,131</td>
<td>-/- 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance &amp; intermediaries payables</td>
<td>D.3.1.2</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinsurance payables</td>
<td>D.3.1.3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>-/- 412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payables (trade, not insurance)</td>
<td>D.3.1.4</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subordinated liabilities</td>
<td>D.3.1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown</td>
<td>D.3.1.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-/- 49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D.3.1. Solvency II valuation for each material class of other liabilities

In this section, the valuation under Solvency II is described per material other liability class (i.e. total liabilities excluding technical provisions). Where the valuation method or classification differs between IFRS and Solvency II, a qualitative and quantitative explanation is provided per other liability category.

In accordance with Solvency II regulations, the amounts are based on fair value. To assure consistency with annual reporting, fair value under IFRS and market value under Solvency II is the same.

Fair value is defined as the amount that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions (i.e. an exit price at the measurement date from the perspective of a market participant that holds the asset).

D.3.1.1. Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions

Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions primarily include other long-term loans issued as well as intercompany loans (used as collateral) to Aegon Derivatives N.V..

The Solvency II balance sheet contains a Financial liability other than debts owed to credit institutions position of EUR 3.1 billion at fair value which is EUR 5 million lower than this position on the IFRS balance sheet. This is due to a revaluation.

D.3.1.2. Insurance and intermediaries payables

The fair value of liabilities maturing within a year is assumed to be approximated by their carrying amount adjusted for credit risk where appropriate. Credit risk adjustments are based on market observable credit spreads if available, or management’s estimate if not market observable.

The Solvency II balance sheet position of EUR 279 million includes payables to individual and pension clients and is equal to the IFRS position for insurance and intermediaries payables.

D.3.1.3. Reinsurance payables

The fair value of liabilities maturing within a year is assumed to be approximated by their carrying amount adjusted for credit risk where appropriate. Credit risk adjustments are based on market observable credit spreads if available, or management’s estimate if not market observable.

The Reinsurance payables position on the Solvency II balance sheet of EUR 48 million is EUR 412 million lower than the position on the IFRS balance sheet. This difference is due to the reclassification of the reinsurance contracts with Canada Life Re and Reinsurance Group of America covering a substantial part of the Pensions annuity book. For more information on this reclassification refer to section D.2.7.

D.3.1.4. Payables (trade, not insurance)

The fair value of liabilities maturing within a year is assumed to be approximated by their carrying amount adjusted for credit risk where appropriate. Credit risk adjustments are based on market observable credit spreads if available, or management’s estimate if not market observable.
The Payables (trade, not insurance) position on the Solvency II balance sheet predominantly consists of the current account payables and is EUR 27 million higher than the IFRS position due to a reclassification.

**D.3.1.5. Subordinated liabilities**

Per December 31, 2021 the subordinated loans from Aegon Levensverzekering have been terminated. Therefore no more subordinated liabilities are present at the balance sheet.

**D.3.1.6. Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown**

The Solvency II balance sheet includes an Any other liabilities position of EUR 0 million, whereas the equivalent position on the IFRS balance sheet is EUR 49 million. The EUR -/- 49 million difference is due to reclassifications related to accrued interest.

**D.4. Alternative methods of valuation**

Alternative methods of valuation are used for assets and liabilities for which no quoted markets prices exist in active markets for the same or similar assets and liabilities. This concerns the following assets and liabilities; deferred tax assets, Property, Loans and mortgages, and the Technical provisions.

For these assets and liabilities we refer to sections D.1, D.2 and D.3, for information regarding these alternative methods of valuation.

**D.5. Any other information**

In the Netherlands, unit linked products (beleggingsverzekeringen) have been controversial and the target of litigation since at least 2005. Allegations include excessive cost, unfair terms, inadequate disclosure, and failure to perform as illustrated. Consumer groups have formed to address these issues and initiate mass claims against insurers. Regulators as well as the Dutch Parliament have been involved ever since, with the principal goal of achieving an equitable resolution. Aegon has made improvements across its product lines, including after settlements reached in 2009 with Stichting Woekerpolis and Stichting Verliespolis. Aegon also decided to reduce future policy costs for the large majority of its unit-linked portfolio. Some of the unit linked products are still involved in ongoing litigation. In September 2014, consumer interest group Vereniging Woekerpolis.nl filed a claim against Aegon in court. The claim related to a range of unit linked products that Aegon Levensverzekering sold in the past, including Aegon products involved in the earlier litigation. The claim challenges a variety of elements of these products, on multiple legal grounds, including allegations made previously. In June 2017 (and revised in December 2017), the court issued a verdict which upheld the principle that disclosures must be evaluated according to the standards at the time when the relevant products were placed in-force. Most of the claims of Vereniging Woekerpolis.nl were dismissed under this standard, although the court found that Aegon did not adequately disclose certain charges on a limited set of policies. The district court did not decide on the reasonableness of the cost levels and whether the previous compensation arrangements provide sufficient compensation. This court decision has been appealed by both parties. The Court of Appeal has stayed the proceedings during the preliminary proceedings at the Supreme Court in another class action of Vereniging Woekerpolis.nl against another insurance company. On February 11, 2022 the Supreme Court ruled in these preliminary proceedings. The answers to the preliminary questions of the court regarding transparency and consent about costs and cost levels are a (re)confirmation of the EU Court ruling in a previous case against another insurance company. The legal debate will now continue at the level of the Court of Appeal. Aegon expects the uncertainty about the possible impact to continue for the foreseeable future.

Further there are individual claims pending at the Klachteninstituut Financiële Dienstverlening (KiFID) filed by customers over products of Aegon Levensverzekering that arguably include similar allegations. At this time the decisions of KiFID and courts are far from homogeneous.

Aegon Levensverzekering expects the claims and litigation on unit-linked products to continue for the foreseeable future. Developments in similar cases against other Dutch insurers currently before regulators and courts may also affect Aegon. At this time, Aegon is unable to estimate the range or potential maximum liability. There can be no assurances that these matters, in the aggregate, will not ultimately result in a material adverse effect on Aegon’s business, results of operations and financial position.

It is to be noted that discussions regarding the treatment of contingent liabilities arising from litigation started by the end of 2015 between the insurance industry and DNB. The discussion particularly focuses on differences in treatment under IFRS versus Solvency II as well as the ability of insurers to reliably quantify contingent liabilities related to litigation related to Unit-Linked investment policies. These discussions continue into 2022.

All other relevant information is covered in the previous sections.
E. Capital Management

E.1 Own Funds

E.1.1. Objective, policies and processes for managing Own Funds

Objective and policies

The capital and risk strategy for Aegon Levensverzekering is aligned with the Aegon Group capital management policy and risk strategy. The principles laid out in the Group capital management policy and the Group risk strategy form the foundation for limit and appetite setting in the Aegon Nederland capital management policy.

Under the Aegon Nederland capital management policy, a level of additional capital above the Solvency II SCR is targeted such that the company can withstand plausible risk events and still meet its regulatory capital requirement. When the capital coverage is in the target range, the entity would normally be expected to pay a regular dividend. When the capital coverage is in excess of the upper end of the target range, the expectation is that this provides opportunity for accelerated investment in its growth strategy or an increased dividend payment to the shareholder. When the capital coverage is below the lower end of the target zone it would become necessary to reassess dividend payments and develop plans to strengthen the capital position back to within the target range over a limited period of time.

The policy contains statements on risk appetite and limits that are in place for each type of risk, the desired and minimum level of Own Funds, as well as the escalation procedures (including governance process) in case limits are breached. Projections of Own Funds and required capital are made as part of the Budget / Medium Term Plan and ORSA. These longer-term projections are also taken into account in dividend assessments. The projections consider regular, mildly adverse as well as extreme scenarios, in order to ascertain that Aegon Levensverzekering is able to fulfil its obligations to policyholders in these scenarios.

Key figures

Eligible Own Funds of Aegon Levensverzekering equaled 186% of the SCR at year-end 2021. This ratio being higher than 100%, demonstrates Aegon’s ability to meet policyholder obligations when they fall due, even under stressed conditions.

The lower bound for the target range for the Solvency II ratio (Eligible Own Funds divided by SCR) of Aegon Levensverzekering is set by the company’s Executive Board at 135% (per January 1, 2021). The Solvency II ratio at year-end 2021 (186%) is higher than at year-end 2020 (159%).

The current capitalization of Aegon Levensverzekering is within the target range.

E.1.2. Own Funds – Quality & Amounts

Own Funds are classified into different tiers, indicating their quality and availability to fully absorb losses. Total Own Funds of Aegon Levensverzekering are comprised of Unrestricted Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 capital. Under the Solvency II regime, Own Funds are split into the tiers as shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unrestricted Tier 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Dated or perpetual</strong></td>
<td><strong>Dated or perpetual</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Equity (Share capital and share premium)</td>
<td>• Subordinated capital instruments</td>
<td>• Subordinated capital instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reconciliation Reserve</td>
<td>• With an original maturity of at least 10 years</td>
<td>• With an original maturity of at least 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Restricted Tier 1</strong></td>
<td>• Limited loss absorption</td>
<td>• Limited loss absorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Perpetual subordinated capital instruments</td>
<td>• With suspension of payments and deferral of interest</td>
<td>• With suspension of payments and deferral of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with loss absorption</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Net deferred tax assets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An overview of Own Funds components including an allocation by tier is given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in EUR million</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted Tier 1 – before adjustments</td>
<td>5,458</td>
<td>5,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-available</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total eligible Own Funds to meet the SCR</td>
<td>5,545</td>
<td>5,507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared to year-end 2020, eligible Own Funds increased. The increase is driven by operating capital generation (OCG), market movements (where the benefit from narrowed credit spreads is offset by increased interest and inflation rates and a lower EIOPA VA) and management actions (including a new longevity reinsurance transaction and a co-insurance settlement). The combined Own Funds increase from OCG, market movements and management actions is offset by the impact from framework, model and assumption changes (including the lowering of the UFR and an unfavorable impact from more granular modeling driven by the conversion of defined benefit pensions to TKP) and dividend payments.

The components of the Own Funds of Aegon Levensverzekering are described below:

**Element of Own Funds**

**Tier 1 capital**: consists of ordinary shares, share premium and reconciliation reserve, which are fully available without restrictions. There are no obligations to redeem these Own Fund items at any time, hence no maturity date applies.

The Reconciliation Reserve is determined as the excess of assets over liabilities minus the ordinary share capital and share premium account related to ordinary share capital. As mentioned in the table below the Reconciliation Reserve amounts to approximately EUR 4.0 billion and as such, is the dominant component of the Own Funds. It originates mostly from earnings accumulated in previous years which have not been distributed to shareholders. Compared to year-end 2020, Tier 1 capital increased due to operating capital generation (OCG), market movements (where the benefit from narrowed credit spreads is offset by increased interest and inflation rates and a lower EIOPA VA) and management actions (including a new longevity reinsurance transaction and a co-insurance settlement). The combined Own Funds increase from OCG, market movements and management actions is offset by the impact from framework, model and assumption changes (including the lowering of the UFR to 3.60% and an unfavorable impact from more granular modeling driven by the conversion of defined benefit pensions to TKP) and dividend payments.

**Tier 2 capital**: consist of Ancillary Own Funds and tier 2 capital instruments. Ancillary Own Funds consist out of items other than Basic Own Funds which can be called up to absorb losses.

As the previous grandfathered subordinated loan of EUR 600 million from Aegon Nederland to Aegon Levensverzekering was redeemed, Aegon Levensverzekering does no longer hold Tier 2 capital.

Aegon Levensverzekering does not have Ancillary Own Funds.

**Tier 3 capital**: consist of deferred tax assets

Tier 3 capital consists of net deferred tax assets in the amount of EUR 86 million at year-end of 2021.

This is the net position of Aegon Levensverzekering consolidated, being EUR 91 million for Aegon Levensverzekering itself offset by EUR -/- 5 million DTL of the Aegon Levensverzekering subsidiaries (Direct Lending and a small remaining part of Vastgoed).

The starting position for determining the deferred tax position on the Solvency II balance sheet is the statutory net deferred tax position on the IFRS balance sheet. Subsequently valuation differences between Solvency II and IFRS are recognized and taxed if applicable. Aegon Levensverzekering holds a net DTA since the insurance liabilities exceed the fiscal value resulting in a DTA. The Aegon Levensverzekering subsidiaries hold a small DTL which is due to the fact that these entities only have assets. Given current low interest rates and historic appraisals of residential real estate the market value of assets is higher on the Solvency II balance sheet compared to fiscal balance sheet. This as such results in a DTL position on the Solvency II balance sheet.
E.1.2.1 Detailed breakdown eligible amount of Own Funds to cover the Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Required

Eligible Own Funds to meet the SCR of Aegon Levensverzekering amount to approximately EUR 5.5 billion. This is shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligible Own Funds to meet SCR and MCR</th>
<th>Total Tier</th>
<th>U-Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ordinary share capital- gross of own share</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share premium account related to ordinary share capital</td>
<td>1,355</td>
<td>1,355</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconciliation reserve</td>
<td>4,081</td>
<td>4,081</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subordinated liabilities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax assets</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own Funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II Own Funds</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available Own Funds</td>
<td>5,545</td>
<td>5,458</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible funds to meet SCR</td>
<td>5,545</td>
<td>5,458</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible funds to meet MCR</td>
<td>5,458</td>
<td>5,458</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total eligible Own Funds to meet the SCR are equal to the available Own Funds. There is no capital loss or capital overflow after applying capital restrictions to all 3 Tiering buckets. Approximately 98% of the eligible Own Funds consist out of unrestricted Tier 1 capital, covering 183% of the total SCR.

The total amount of eligible Own Funds to cover the MCR is lower than the SCR eligible Own Funds, as Tier 3 capital is not considered eligible to meet MCR.
E.1.3. Difference between equity as shown in the financial statements and the Solvency II value excess of assets over liabilities

Below mentioned graph shows the reconciliation between statutory IFRS equity and SII Own Funds.

**Figure: Reconciliation between statutory IFRS equity and SII Own Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IFRS equity</th>
<th>Revaluation of assets</th>
<th>Revaluation of liability</th>
<th>Other revaluations</th>
<th>Eligible Own Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5,965</td>
<td>1,950</td>
<td>-/- 2,369</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5,545</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Main reasons for the differences in valuation are as follows:

1. Revaluation of assets in the amount of EUR 1.95 billion mainly reflects mortgages and private loans held for general account and for index linked and unit linked which are valued at amortized cost under IFRS, and at market value under Solvency II;
2. Revaluation of liabilities in the amount of EUR -/- 2.4 billion mainly relates to technical provisions, which are valued using different economic and non-economic assumptions in both frameworks;
3. Other smaller revaluations in the amount of EUR -/- 0.002 billion.

A more extensive analysis is given in Chapter D.

---

**E.2. Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement**

**E.2.1. Solvency Capital Requirement**

SCR methodology based on the Solvency II PIM

Aegon uses a Solvency II PIM to calculate the solvency position of its insurance activities under Solvency II. Aegon’s internal model was approved by the College of Supervisors as part of the Internal Model Application Process. For Aegon, a (partial) internal model is a better representation of the actual risk since this contains Aegon Levensverzekering specific modeling and sensitivities as opposed to industry-wide approximations included in the standard formula methodology. The purpose of the internal model is to better reflect the actual risk profile of Aegon Levensverzekering in the SCR. The most material risk types for Aegon Levensverzekering are therefore covered by the internal model as part of the Solvency II PIM, and less material risk types are covered by the standard formula part of the Solvency II PIM. A visual representation of the structure of the internal model is provided below.
Aegon Levensverzekering uses the Solvency II PIM for various purposes:

- Quantification of risk exposures in order to set adequate capital buffers;
- Monitoring of these exposures against the stated risk appetite and risk tolerance;
- Product pricing, where the cost of capital has a significant impact on overall costs;
- Assessment of the value of new business sold, in particular the value of options and guarantees included therein; and
- Budgeting of capital requirements, Dividend Policy & Contingency Planning.

For every risk factor, a marginal probability distribution function is fitted using historical data and expert judgement. The overall joint probability distribution function of all the risk factors combined takes into account the dependency structure between the risks. The loss from 2 million scenarios simulating the samples from this joint distribution are used to fit an overall empirical loss distribution function, from which we derive the 1-200 loss by taking the 99.5% point.

The following risk types are modeled under the internal model component of the Solvency II PIM:

- Mismatch risk
- Investment & Counterparty risk
- Underwriting risk
- Operational risk
- Diversification

### Risk Class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Class</th>
<th>QRT S.25.02</th>
<th>Risk Type</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mismatch risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interest rate level</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interest rate volatility</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Currency</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed income</td>
<td>IM &amp; SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Equity level</td>
<td>IM &amp; SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Equity volatility</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alternative investment</td>
<td>IM &amp; SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deterministic adjustment</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment &amp; Counterparty risk</td>
<td>Market risk</td>
<td>Counterparty</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Underwriting risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mortality Contagion</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mortality Parameter</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Longevity Parameter</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disability/morbidity</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Persistency</td>
<td>IM &amp; SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Expense risk</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Health</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-life Underwriting risk</td>
<td></td>
<td>P&amp;C</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational risk</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversification</td>
<td>Aggregation</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIM - integration</td>
<td>Integration technique 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Within the **Mismatch risk** category:
- Interest rate risk and interest rate volatility risk.

Within the **Investment and counterparty risk** category:
- Regular equity risk excluding private equity;
- Equity volatility risk;
- Spread, default and migration risk for fixed income securities including mortgages, but excluding certain illiquid investments; and
- Property risk for the direct real estate investments intended for rentals.

Within the **Underwriting risk** category:
- Mortality and longevity risk; and
- Mortgage prepayment risk.

Since year-end 2020, Aegon Levensverzekering has included the category “Deterministic adjustment” in the Investment and counterparty risk category. In 2020, Aegon Levensverzekering identified improvements to its internal model that mitigate volatility caused by the basis risk between the EIOPA VA reference portfolio and its own asset portfolio. These improvements were implemented for year-end reporting 2020 and they will be in place until changes arising from the Solvency II review are enacted.
All risk types that are not covered by the internal model are covered under the standard formula component of the Solvency II PIM. The risk measure used in all components of the Solvency II PIM is the 99.5% value at risk applied over a one-year time horizon. The standard formula SCRs and internal model SCRs are combined to calculate the Solvency II PIM SCR using Integration Technique 3 as listed in annex XVIII.D of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35 (Delegated Acts).

**Diversification within the Solvency II PIM SCR**

Under Solvency II PIM, Aegon Levensverzekering calculates the diversification benefit across risk types. Within the standard formula components, diversification is determined following the prescribed correlation matrices.

Within the internal model component, diversification is calculated as follows: For each risk type a worst-case shock is calibrated at the 99.5% confidence level over a one-year time horizon. These shocks reflect the adverse value change of the assets and liabilities over the time horizon including the amounts paid during the one-year time horizon, as well as the change in present value of cash flows projections at the end of the projected time horizon. The combination of these adverse value changes are the Own Funds losses.

To calculate the total SCR and diversification, the Own Funds losses are determined not only at the 99.5% confidence level of the risk types, but at two million equally likely scenarios. This is a Monte Carlo simulation approach. These scenarios are generated using a scenario generator and a dependency structure, defining the dependency (correlation) between risk drivers based on market data and expert judgment. Each scenario contains values for risk drivers such as interest rates, equity returns and mortality levels.

Aegon uses loss functions to calculate the Own Funds losses in all these scenarios. These loss functions are fitted using full valuations at several points (percentiles) of the distribution of the applicable risk type. For each of the two million scenarios, the Own Funds losses are summed between the risk types and business units that apply the internal model, resulting in the total loss in Own Funds for the scenario. By ordering these scenarios based on their aggregated losses, the 99.5 percentile of the losses is determined. The total net SCR (after diversification) is then determined by the average loss in Own Funds for the 5,001 scenarios around the 99.5 percentile.

Diversification is defined as the difference between the sum of the standalone SCRs of the risk types and the total net SCR.

Diversification between the internal model and the standard formula components of the Solvency II PIM are calculated using Integration Technique 3 (“IT3”) in accordance with Solvency II regulation. IT3 describes how an implied linear correlation coefficient between the internal model and standard formula components is calculated. This correlation coefficient is subsequently used to calculate the total Solvency II PIM SCR using a square root formula.

**Data quality**

Aegon Levensverzekering has implemented the Data Quality Policy of Aegon Group for the Solvency II reporting processes, including the required data directory and a description of the criteria regarding data completeness, accurateness and appropriateness. Data used in the internal model originate from internal as well as external sources, for example:

- Policy Data level detailing characteristics and coverage of individuals insured;
- Data specifying the portfolio of assets, e.g. type of asset, amount, and maturity date;
- Data from external sources such as population mortality tables and prices of traded securities.

The internal model design aims to make optimal use of all available data in the stages of model design and execution. An assessment of the appropriateness of data usage forms part of the model validation process.

**Other**

No simplified calculations or undertaking specific parameters have been used for the SCR components determined on the basis of the Standard Formula.

**Solvency II PIM SCR as per December 31, 2021**

Aegon Levensverzekering’s Partial Internal Model SCR amounted to EUR 2,978 million on December 31, 2021 (2020: EUR 3,459 million). The decrease in SCR is driven by model and assumption changes and management actions with an offset from market movements and cross effects. The impact from model and assumption changes reflects several Pension model changes, a change in the capital treatment of callable bonds, a Dynamic VA model change and the increase in the LAC-DT factor. The impact from management actions reflects the benefit from reinsuring part of the longevity exposure of Aegon Leven. The combined SCR lowering impact from these initiatives is offset by market movements, where the benefit from increased interest rates is offset by the negative impact from narrowed credit spreads (namely mortgage and corporate spreads). Furthermore, changes in diversification benefits during the year also had an increasing impact on the SCR.

The table below shows the breakdown of the Solvency II PIM SCR for Aegon Levensverzekering at year-end 2021, as reported in QRT S.25.02:
Diversification of EUR 2,029 million shown in QRT S25.02 includes the integration between the SF and IM parts of the PIM SCR and diversification between the risk categories, but does not include diversification within each risk component.

Within the QRT S.25.02 risk categories there is diversification within each risk category, mainly:

- **Market Risk (MR)** diversification, driven by diversification between spread and interest rate level risk, as well as diversification between interest rate level and other market risk types. Diversification benefits for interest rate level risk are relatively large as Aegon is exposed to an increase in interest rates, which has low correlation with the spread widening scenarios. Diversification benefits for spread risks are relatively small as spread risk (exposure to spread widening) is the largest risk category for Aegon in terms of SCR and therefore drive the aggregated Own Funds losses in a 1-in-200-year event. Note that all these figures are after applying the dynamic volatility adjustment;

- **Underwriting risks (UR)** diversification benefits are driven by longevity risk, which is the largest underwriting risk with comparably small diversification. Longevity risk has a relatively low correlation with other underwriting risk types, such as lapse risk. Underwriting risks typically also have low correlation with Market risk types, like spread risk, that drive the aggregated Own Funds losses around the 99.5th percentile;

The LAC-DT is calculated after diversification and lowered the net PIM SCR by EUR 597 million (2020: EUR 457 million).

## Developments in 2021

The following adjustments in regulation in 2021 have resulted in changes to the LAC-DT model:

- **Corporate Income Tax (CIT)** rates
  
The Dutch CIT was announced to be changed to 25.8% (from 25%) starting from 2022. In determining the LAC-DT for year-end 2021 reporting, the tax rate increase in 2022 is taken into account.

- **Publication of DNB Q&A on the role of deferred taxes in SII**
  
  On December 8, 2020 the DNB published a Q&A for the treatment of deferred taxes in the Solvency II framework. With this Q&A additional guidance is provided around:
  - Inclusion of with time increasing uncertainty within profit sources, both pre- and post-shock.
  - External recapitalization is no longer allowed unless the recapitalization is unconditional, but there is also no need for recapitalization to 100% Solvency ratio after shock.
  - For substantiation of the DTA position the IAS 12 substantiation is not sufficient anymore. It should be made clear from the Solvency II projections that sufficient future profit sources are available to substantiate the DTA position.
  - Several reporting requirements.

Aegon reflected this guidance in year-end 2020 reporting by considering additional scenarios derived from the DNB guidance in setting the LAC-DT factor. In 2021, the LAC-DT methodology was revised and a final implementation reflecting the DNB Q&A was structurally embedded into the model. This has resulted in an increase in the LAC-DT factor from 45% to 65% per year-end 2021.

This LAC-DT factor is based on tax benefits of previous year fiscal profits (carry back), current year fiscal profits and potentially current deferred tax liabilities existing pre-shock in the base balance sheet. Furthermore, eligible future profits, including tax planning, are taken into account to underpin the tax recovery on SCR losses which occur in the future.
### E.2.2. Minimum Capital Requirement

The MCR has been determined as the sum of the following components, leading to a linear MCR of EUR 1,322 million. The MCR contains a minimum of 25% and a maximum of 45% of the SCR, as stipulated in article 292(2)(g) of the Delegated Regulation. Applying the MCR cap, the MCR remains EUR 1,322 million.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component MCR 2021</th>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Capital at Risk</th>
<th>MCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical Provisions for Obligations with profit participation - guaranteed benefits, excluding the risk margin, net of reinsurance with a floor equal to zero</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
<td>17,426</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Provisions for index-linked and unit-linked insurance, excluding the risk margin, net of reinsurance with a floor equal to zero</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
<td>31,410</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Provisions for all other life insurance, excluding the risk margin, net of reinsurance with a floor equal to zero.</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
<td>20,470</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital at Risk by policy summed over for all life insurance policies</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>39,002</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total linear MCR | 1,322 |
| MCR cap | 1,340 |
| MCR combined | 1,322 |

As a comparison, the MCR on December 31, 2020 is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component MCR 2020</th>
<th>Charge</th>
<th>Capital at Risk</th>
<th>MCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical Provisions for Obligations with profit participation - guaranteed benefits, excluding the risk margin, net of reinsurance with a floor equal to zero</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
<td>18,666</td>
<td>691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Provisions for index-linked and unit-linked insurance, excluding the risk margin, net of reinsurance with a floor equal to zero</td>
<td>0.70%</td>
<td>32,531</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Provisions for all other life insurance, excluding the risk margin, net of reinsurance with a floor equal to zero.</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
<td>21,768</td>
<td>457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital at Risk by policy summed over for all life insurance policies</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>39,003</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total linear MCR | 1,403 |
| MCR cap | 1,557 |
| MCR combined | 1,403 |

Compared to 2020, the MCR decreased. As the linear MCR does not exceed the MCR cap, the linear MCR result is leading per year-end 2021. Overall, the technical provisions decreased compared to 2020 (for more details on the technical provision movement, refer to paragraph D.2) while the Capital-at-Risk is in line with last year.

### E.3. Use of duration-based equity risk sub-module in the calculation of the Solvency

Aegon Levensverzekering does not make use of the duration-based equity risk sub-module set out in article 304 of Directive 2009/138/EC for the calculation of the Standard Formula SCR.
The main differences between the methodologies and assumptions of the Solvency II PIM and the standard formula are discussed by risk type below.

**Market risk**
The fixed income risk for bonds differs because Solvency II PIM shocks are calibrated on the basis of Aegon Levensverzekering’s fixed income portfolio. In contrast to the standard formula, government bonds are shocked with a factor larger than zero. Furthermore, the Solvency II PIM makes use of a dynamic volatility adjustment approach within Aegon Levensverzekering, while the standard formula does not.

Aegon’s Dynamic Volatility Adjustment methodology follows an asset-only approach, ensuring spread widening is the biting scenario. The performance of the fixed income portfolio is assessed under a broad range of credit scenarios and the model determines which part of the (short-term) losses experienced by the assets are recouped.

For mortgages, the Solvency II PIM contains a spread shock, while the standard formula implies a counterparty default risk shock.

Equity risk shocks are calibrated based on Aegon Levensverzekering’s own portfolio. In addition, the equity exposures are also shocked for equity volatility risks.

Within Aegon Levensverzekering, property risk shocks on the real estate portfolio are calibrated on the portfolio itself as opposed to a 25% shock in the standard formula.

The Solvency II PIM results for interest rate risks differ from the standard formula results for the following reasons:

- The standard formula interest rate shock only considers a parallel shift in the interest rate curve, whereas the Solvency II PIM considers not only a parallel shift, but also for a flattening/steepling and twisting of the interest rate curve;
- The Solvency II PIM interest rate curve shocks are calibrated based on historical market data;
- The Solvency II PIM assumes that the UFR does not change in a shock scenario, while the standard formula interest rate shock assumes that the whole curve moves, including the UFR; and
- In addition, the Solvency II PIM includes a capital requirement for interest rate volatility risk.

**Underwriting risk**
The Solvency II PIM for longevity and mortality risk differs from the standard formula as follows:

- The Solvency II PIM makes a distinction between a population mortality shock and an experience factor shock while the standard formula assumes a fixed decrease in all mortality rates; and
- The Solvency II PIM projects mortality rates by age and gender while the standard formula assumes the same shock for all ages and both genders.

For Aegon Levensverzekering, the Solvency II PIM includes pre-payment (lapse) risk on the mortgage portfolio.

**Diversification**
Diversification between the internal model and the standard formula components of the Solvency II PIM are calculated using Integration Technique 3. IT3 describes how an implied linear correlation coefficient between the internal model and standard formula components is calculated. This correlation coefficient is then used to calculate the total Solvency II PIM SCR using a square root formula. The standard formula makes use of correlation matrices to calculate the diversifications by risk module and on total level.

**E.5. Non-compliance with the Minimum Capital Requirement and non-compliance with the Solvency Capital Requirement**

During 2021, there were no instances in which the estimated Aegon Levensverzekering ratio was below the MCR and the SCR level. To ensure that Aegon maintains adequate solvency levels, actual and expected capital positions are monitored against capitalization zones that are defined in Aegon Levensverzekering’s capital management policy. Several activities are performed to monitor and assess the future development of Aegon’s solvency position, such as the annual Budget and Medium-Term Plan process, ORSA and periodic management reporting. Decisions to return capital or pay dividends to shareholders are based on solvency assessments that consider the impact of the decisions on the current and projected solvency position.

Any solvency position is subject to risks, and Aegon Levensverzekering therefore constantly monitors such risks. These are quantified to determine the impact on the current and the projected solvency position. The capital management policy provides actions that need to be performed as soon as the identified risks could cause the projected Solvency ratio to fall within a particular capitalization zone.
E.6. Any other information

E.6.1. G-SII designation

On November 3, 2015, Aegon was designated by the Financial Stability Board (FSB) as a Global Systemically Important Insurer (G-SII), based on an assessment methodology developed by the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS). Up until 2019, the FSB reviewed the G-SII designation annually. However, the FSB, in consultation with the IAIS and national authorities, decided not to publish a new list of G-SIIs for 2017 or 2018. In November 2019, in recognition of the fact that the holistic Framework (see below) provides an enhanced approach to assessing and mitigating systemic risk in the global insurance sector, the FSB decided to suspend the identification of global systemically important insurers (G-SIIs). In November 2022, the FSB will, based on the initial years of experience with the application of the Holistic Framework, review the need to either discontinue or re-establish an annual identification of G-SIIs.

Due to its G-SII status, Aegon has been subject to an additional layer of direct supervision at group level. In accordance with these requirements, Aegon submitted a liquidity risk management plan, a systemic risk management plan, and an ex-ante recovery plan to DNB and to the G-SII crisis management group (CMG) that was established. Aegon has updated these plans on an annual basis. In addition, the Aegon Group’s Resolution Authority (the Dutch Central Bank) was made responsible for the development of Aegon’s resolution plan.

In November 2019, the IAIS adopted the Holistic Framework for the assessment and mitigation of systemic risk in the insurance sector. Some of the provisions of the Holistic Framework are included in the IAIS Insurance Core Principles (that apply to all insurers), while others are included in ComFrame (the Common Framework for the Supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups, or IAIGs). The Holistic Framework consists of an enhanced set of supervisory policy measures and powers of intervention, an annual IAIS global monitoring exercise which includes a collective discussion of the outcomes and associated supervisory responses, and an assessment of consistent implementation of supervisory measures. ComFrame establishes supervisory standards and guidance focusing on the effective group-wide supervision of IAIGs. ComFrame is a comprehensive and outcome-focused framework that provides supervisory minimum requirements tailored to the international activities and sizes of IAIGs. ComFrame builds on the Insurance Core Principles that are applicable to the supervision of all insurers.

If the FSB were to discontinue the annual identification of G-SIIs after the review of the Holistic Framework in November 2022 or, alternatively, Aegon would not be identified as a G-SII, Aegon would still be subject to ComFrame and ICS, to the extent these would be implemented in local legislation.
Glossary

Collateral is an asset pledged by a borrower to secure a loan and is subject to seizure in the case of default.

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will fail to discharge an obligation and cause the other party to incur a financial loss.

Diversification is the general concept of reducing the total risk of a portfolio of assets and/or liabilities by spreading it across a mix of different risk exposures. Risk reduction occurs due to the less than perfect correlation among the individual risk exposures in the portfolio, meaning risks will not materialize all at the same time.

Financial risks are risks of a possible future change in one or more of the following variables: a specified interest rate, financial instrument price, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, index or prices or rates, credit rating or credit index or other variable, provided in the case of a non-financial variable, that the variable is not specific to a party to the contract.

Insurance contract is a contract under which one party (the insurer) accepts significant insurance risk from another party (the policyholder) by agreeing to compensate the policyholder if a specified uncertain future event (the insured event) adversely affects the policyholder.

Insurance risk is a risk, other than financial risk, transferred from the holder of a contract to the issuer.

Interest rate risk is a market risk, more specifically the risk that the value of a financial instrument will fluctuate due to changes in market interest rates.

Liquidity risk is the risk that an entity will encounter difficulty in raising funds to meet commitments associated with financial instruments.

Loss absorbing capacity of deferred taxes is a loss compensating effect of taxes taken into account in the solvency capital requirement.

Minimum capital requirement is the absolute minimum level of capital an insurance company must hold in excess of its Technical Provisions under Solvency II.

Operating expenses are all expenses associated with selling and administrative activities (excluding commissions) after reallocation of claim handling expenses to benefits paid.

Partial Internal Model is a combination of a Standard Formula and Internal Model, used to calculate the Solvency II capital requirement.

Policyholder is a party that has a right to compensation under an insurance contract if an insured event occurs.

Solvency II is the fundamental reform of European insurance legislation.

Solvency capital requirement is the level of capital an insurance company must hold in excess of its Technical Provisions under Solvency II.

Spread is the difference between the current bid and the current ask or offer price of a given security.

Standard Formula is a risk-based approach to the calculation of an insurer’s solvency capital requirement, prescribed by the regulator.

Stochastic modeling is a statistical process that uses probability and random variables to predict a range of probable investment performances.

Transitional measures allow EEA entities to gradually move to a full implementation of Solvency II over a period of time.

Volatility adjustment is a volatility adjustment to the discount rates for calculating technical provisions aims at avoiding pro-cyclical investment behavior of insurers when bond prices deteriorate owing to low liquidity of bond markets or exceptional expansion of credit spreads. The adjustment has the effect of stabilizing the capital resources of insurers and will be calculated by EIOPA.
Cautionary notes

**Intended use of the SFCR**
This Solvency and Financial Condition Report is prepared and published in accordance with the requirements of the Solvency II regulations and EIOPA guidelines and follows a prescribed format. The Group SFCR is primarily prepared for prudential considerations, which includes informing policyholders and other beneficiaries of Aegon’s insurance products. While the document is made available to the public in general and may be of interest to stakeholders such as investors in Aegon shares and other financial instruments, it is not specifically aimed at them.

**Statement pursuant to article 297 (2) of the Solvency II Delegated Regulation**
The Netherlands, as a Member State, uses the option that the capital add-on or the impact of the specific parameters, that Aegon is required to use, do not need to be separately disclosed during a transitional period ending no later than December 31, 2021 (third subparagraph of Article 51(2) of Directive 2009/138/EC).